
Institutional Accreditation

MANUAL for Self-study Report Affiliated/Constituent Colleges



राष्ट्रीय मूल्यांकन एवं प्रत्यायन परिषद विश्वविद्यालय अनुदान आयोग का स्वायत्त संस्थान

NATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND ACCREDITATION COUNCIL (An Autonomous Institution of the University Grants Commission)

PREFACE

It is heartening that National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) has brought in new spirit into its process of assessment and accreditation. This has been attempted as a continuance of the NAAC's concern for ensuring that its processes are in tune with local, regional and global changes in higher education scenario. The main focus of the revision process has been to enhance the redeeming features of the accreditation process and make them more robust, objective, transparent and scalable as well as make it ICT enabled. It also has reduced duration of accreditation process.

The revised process is an outcome of the feedback received by NAAC over a long period through various Consultative Meetings, Expert Group Meetings, which comprised of eminent academicians representing the University and College sectors. In addition, the NAAC also solicited feedback through the web from the stakeholders and specifically from the academia during the Assessors Interaction Meetings (AIM). The entire revision exercise has successfully resulted in the development of an assessment and accreditation framework which is technology Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) desirous of enabled and user friendly. seeking accreditation from now on will need to understand the changes made in the process. Keeping this in mind, the Manuals have been revised separately for Universities, Autonomous Colleges and Affiliated/Constituent Colleges. The Self-Study Report (SSR) forms the backbone of the entire process of accreditation. Special effort has been made to differentiate some of the items to render them more applicable to different categories of institutions. It is hoped that the Manuals will help the HEIs to prepare for the revised process of assessment and accreditation. As always, NAAC welcomes feedback from every corner.

In an effort to enhance the accountability of the accrediting agency as well as the institutions applying for accreditation, it is advised to look into the latest developments on the website of NAAC.

The contribution of the experts and NAAC officials/staff in developing the Manual is gratefully acknowledged.

December, 2019 Bengaluru

> (Dr. S. C. Sharma) Director, NAAC

Manual for Affiliated/Constituent UG & PG Colleges

CONTI	LN 18	Page No
Preface		2
	A: Guidelines for Assessment and Accreditation	_
I.	Introduction	5
1.	Vision and Mission	5
	Core Values	6
II.	Assessment and Accreditation of Higher Education Institutions	8
11.	Revised Assessment and Accreditation (A&A) Framework	8
	Focus of Assessment	9
III.	Quality Indicator Framework (QIF) - Description	9
	Eligibility for Assessment and Accreditation by NAAC	22
	The Assessment Process	23
VI.	Procedural Details	26
VII.	Assessment Outcome	29
	Calculation of Institutional CGPA	29
VIII.	Mechanism for Institutional Appeals	30
IX.	Re-Assessment	31
X.	Subsequent Cycles of Accreditation	31
XI.	Fee Structure and other Financial Implications	32
XII <u>.</u>	Getting Ready for Submission of Self - Study Report (SSR)	35
XIII	. Mandatory Disclosure on HEI's Website	36
Section E	B: Data Requirements for Self - Study Report (SSR)	
	Executive Summary	38
	Profile of the College	39
	Extended Profile of the College	45
4.	Quality Indicator Framework (QIF)	46
5.	Data Templates/Documents (Quantitative Metrics)	133
Sporter (N. Annondioss	
	Appendices Appendix 1: Classery and Notes	164
	Appendix 1: Glossary and Notes Appendix 2: Abbreviations	164 177
	Appendix 2: Abbreviations Appendix 3: Guidelines to opt out 'Non Applicable Metrics'	177 179
	Appendix 4: Optional Metrics for 'Affiliated/Constituent Colleges'	180
T.	Tipperiary T. Optional Metrics for Allinated/Constituent Colleges	100

SECTION A: GUIDELINES FOR ASSESSMENT AND

ACCREDITATION

This Section presents the NAAC framework for Assessment and Accreditation based on the Core Values and Criteria for assessment and Key Indicators. Further, it details out the procedures for institutional preparation for filling the Self Study Report online, Peer Assessment and the final Outcome of Accreditation. The procedure for re-assessment, mechanism for institutional appeals and accreditation of subsequent cycles are also presented.

I. INTRODUCTION

India has one of the largest and diverse education systems in the world. Privatization, widespread expansion, increased autonomy and introduction of Programmes in new and emerging areas have improved access to higher education. At the same time, it has also led to widespread concern on the quality and relevance of the higher education. To address these concerns, the National Policy on Education (NPE, 1986) and the Programme of Action (PoA, 1992) spelt out strategic plans for the policies, advocated the establishment of an independent National accreditation agency. Consequently, the National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) was established in 1994 as an autonomous institution of the University Grants Commission (UGC) with its Head Quarter in Bengaluru. The mandate of NAAC as reflected in its vision statement is in making quality assurance an integral part of the functioning of Higher Education Institutions (HEIs).

The NAAC functions through its General Council (GC) and Executive Committee (EC) comprising educational administrators, policy makers and senior academicians from a cross-section of Indian higher education system. The Chairperson of the UGC is the President of the GC of the NAAC, the Chairperson of the EC is an eminent academician nominated by the President of GC (NAAC). The Director is the academic and administrative head of NAAC and is the member-secretary of both the GC and the EC. In addition to the statutory bodies that steer its policies and core staff to support its activities NAAC is advised by the advisory and consultative committees constituted from time to time.

Vision and Mission

The vision of NAAC is:

To make quality the defining element of higher education in India through a combination of self and external quality evaluation, promotion and sustenance initiatives.

The mission statements of the NAAC aim at translating the NAAC's vision into action plans and define NAAC's engagement and endeavor as given below:

To arrange for periodic assessment and accreditation of institutions of higher education or
units thereof, or specific academic programmes or projects;
To stimulate the academic environment for promotion of quality in teaching-learning and
research in higher education institutions;
To encourage self-evaluation, accountability, autonomy and innovations in higher education;
To undertake quality-related research studies, consultancy and training programmes, and
To collaborate with other stakeholders of higher education for quality evaluation, promotion
and sustenance.

Striving to achieve its goals as guided by its vision and mission statements, NAAC primarily focuses on assessment of the quality of higher education institutions in the country. The NAAC methodology for Assessment and Accreditation is very much similar to that followed by Quality Assurance (QA) agencies across the world and consists of self-assessment by the institution along with external peer assessment organized by NAAC.

Core Values

Throughout the world, Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) function in a dynamic environment. The need to expand the system of higher education, the impact of technology on the educational delivery, the increasing private participation in higher education and the impact of globalization (including liberal cross-border and trans-national educational imperatives), have necessitated marked changes in the Indian higher education system. These changes and the consequent shift in values have been taken into cognizance by NAAC while formulating the core values. Accordingly, in order to ensure external and internal validity and credibility, the QA process of NAAC is grounded within a value framework which is suitable and appropriate to the National context.

The accreditation framework of NAAC is thus based on five core values detailed below.

(i) Contributing to National Development

Most of the HEIs have a remarkable capacity to adapt to changes and at the same time, pursue the goals and objectives that they have set forth for themselves. Contributing to national development has always been an implicit goal of Indian HEIs. The role of HEIs is significant in human resource development and capacity building of individuals, to cater to the needs of the economy, society and the country as a whole, thereby, contributing to the development of the Nation. Serving the cause of social justice, ensuring equity and increasing access to higher education are a few ways by which HEIs can contribute to the national development. It is therefore appropriate that the Assessment and Accreditation (A&A) process of NAAC looks into the ways HEIs have been responding to and contributing towards national development.

(ii) Fostering Global Competencies among Students

The spiraling developments at the global level also warrant that the NAAC includes in its scope of assessment skill development of students, on par with their counterparts elsewhere in the world. With liberalization and globalization of economic activities, the need to develop skilled human resources of a high caliber is imperative. Consequently, the demand for internationally acceptable standards in higher education is evident. Therefore, the accreditation process of NAAC needs to examine the role of HEIs in preparing the students to achieve core competencies, to face the global challenges successfully. This requires that the HEIs be innovative, creative and entrepreneurial in their approach. Towards achieving this, HEIs may establish collaborations with industries, network with the neighborhood agencies/bodies and foster a closer relationship between the "world of competent-learning" and the "world of skilled work".

(iii) Inculcating a Value System among Students

Although skill development is crucial to the success of students in the job market, skills are of less value in the absence of appropriate value systems. The HEIs have to shoulder the responsibility of inculcating desirable value systems among students. In a country like India, with cultural pluralities and diversities, it is essential that students imbibe the appropriate values commensurate with social, cultural, economic and environmental realities, at the local, national and universal levels. Whatever be the pluralities and diversities that exist in the country, there is a

persisting concern for inculcating the core universal values like truth and righteousness apart from other values emphasized in the various policy documents of the country. The seeds of values such as cooperation and mutual understanding during the early stages of education have to be reiterated and re-emphasized at the higher education also through appropriate learning experiences and opportunities. The NAAC assessment therefore examines how these essential and desirable values are being inculcated in the students, by the HEIs.

(iv) Promoting the Use of Technology

Most of the significant developments that one can observe today can be attributed to the impact of Science and Technology. While the advantages of using modern tools and technological innovations in the day-to-day-life are well recognized, the corresponding changes in the use of new technologies, for teaching learning and governance of HEIs, leaves much to be desired. Technological advancement and innovations in educational transactions have to be undertaken by all HEIs, to make a visible impact on academic development as well as administration. At a time when our educational institutions are expected to perform as good as their global partners, significant technological innovations have to be adopted. Traditional methods of delivering higher education have become less motivating to a large number of students. To keep pace with the developments in other spheres of human endeavor, HEIs have to enrich the learning experiences of their students by providing them with state-of-the-art educational technologies. The campus community must be adequately prepared to make use of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) optimally. Conscious effort is also needed to invest in hardware and to orient the faculty suitably.

In addition to using technology as a learning resource, managing the activities of the institution in a technology-enabled way will ensure effective institutional functioning. For example, documentation and data management in the HEIs are areas where the process of assessment by NAAC has made a significant impact. Moving towards electronic data management and having institutional website to provide ready and relevant information to stakeholders are desirable steps in this direction. In other words, effective use of ICT in HEIs will be able to provide ICT literacy to the campus community, using ICT for resource sharing and networking, as well as adopting ICT-enabled administrative processes. Therefore, NAAC accreditation would look at how the HEIs have put in place their electronic data management systems and electronic resources and their access to internal and external stakeholders particularly the student community.

(v) Quest for Excellence

Contributing to nation-building and skills development of students, HEIs should demonstrate a drive to develop themselves into centres of excellence. Excellence in all that they will contribute to the overall development of the system of higher education of the country as a whole. This 'Quest for Excellence' could start with the assessment or even earlier, by the establishment of the Steering Committee for the preparation of the Self - Study Report (SSR) of an institution. Another step in this direction could be the identification of the strengths and weaknesses in the teaching and learning processes as carried out by the institution.

The five core values as outlined above form the foundation for assessment of institutions that volunteer for accreditation by NAAC. The HEIs may also add their own core values to these in conformity with the goals and mission.

II. ASSESSMENT AND ACCREDITATION OF HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

The NAAC has been carrying out the process of quality assessment and accreditation of HEIs over the past two decades. Several HEIs have gone through this process and a sizeable number has also undergone subsequent cycles of accreditation. True to its commitment for promoting quality culture in HEIs in consonance with the overall developments in the field of education as well as the outside world, NAAC has strived to be sensitive to these and adequately reflect these in its processes. The A&A process of NAAC continue to be an exercise in partnership of NAAC with the HEI being assessed. As is known by now, the A&A process of NAAC is being revised and this revision attempts to enhance such a partnership. Over years the feedback procured from the HEIs, other stakeholders and the developments in the national scene – all have contributed in making appropriate revisions in the process so as to accelerate the process with greater quality rigor.

Revised Assessment and Accreditation (A&A) Framework

The Revised Assessment and Accreditation Framework was launched in July 2017. It represents an explicit Paradigm Shift making it ICT enabled, objective, transparent, scalable and robust. The Shift is:

- from qualitative peer judgement to data based quantitative indicator evaluation with increased objectivity and transparency
- towards extensive use of ICT confirming scalability and robustness
- in terms of simplification of the process drastic reduction in number of questions, size of the report, visit days, and so on
- in terms of boosting benchmarking as quality improvement tool. This has been attempted through comparison of NAAC indicators with other international QA frameworks
- introducing Pre-qualifier for peer team visit, as 25% of system generated score
- introducing *System Generated Scores* (SGS) with combination of online evaluation (about 70%) and peer judgement (about 30%)
- in introducing the element of third party validation of data
- in providing appropriate differences in the metrics, weightages and benchmarks to universities, autonomous colleges and affiliated/constituent colleges
- in revising several metrics to bring in enhanced participation of students and alumni in the assessment process

Focus of Assessment

The NAAC continues with its focus on quality culture of the institution in terms of Quality Initiatives, Quality Sustenance and Quality Enhancement, as reflected in its vision, organization, operations and the processes. Experience has reiterated that these can be ascertained either by on site observations and/or through the facts and figures about the various aspects of institutional functioning. The Revised Manual places greater confidence in the latter as reflective of internal institutional processes.

In line with NAAC's conviction that quality concerns are institutional, Quality Assessment (QA) can better be done through self-evaluation. The self-evaluation process and the subsequent preparation of the Self Study Report (SSR) to be submitted to NAAC involves the participation of all the stakeholders – management, faculty members, administrative staff, students, parents, employers, community and alumni. While the participation of internal stakeholders i.e. management, staff and students provide credibility and ownership to the activity and could lead to newer initiatives, interaction with the external stakeholders facilitate the development process of the institution and their educational services. Overall, the QA is expected to serve as a catalyst for institutional self-improvement, promote innovation and strengthen the urge to excel.

It is attempted to enlarge the digital coverage of the entire process of A&A. This, it is believed, will not only accelerate the process but also bring in greater objectivity into the process.

The possible differentiation required in respect of HEIs which are going for subsequent cycles of A&A, appropriate scope has been provided in the process. This will allow the HEIs to appropriately represent the developments they have attempted after the previous A&A cycle.

III. QUALITY INDICATOR FRAMEWORK (QIF) - DESCRIPTION

The criteria based assessment forms the backbone of A&A process of NAAC. The seven criteria represent the core functions and activities of a HEI. In the revised framework not only the academic and administrative aspects of institutional functioning but also the emerging issues have been included. The seven Criteria to serve as basis for assessment of HEIs are:

- 1. Curricular Aspects
- 2. Teaching-Learning and Evaluation
- 3. Research, Innovations and Extension
- 4. Infrastructure and Learning Resources
- 5. Student Support and Progression
- 6. Governance, Leadership and Management
- 7. Institutional Values and Best Practices

Under each Criterion a few Key Indicators are identified. These Key Indicators (KIs) are further delineated as Metrics which actually elicit responses from the HEIs. These seven criteria along with their KIs are given below explicating the aspects they represent.

Criterion I: - Curricular Aspects

The Curricular Aspects are the mainstay of any educational institution. However, the responsibilities of various HEIs in this regard vary depending on their administrative standing. That is, an Affiliated College is essentially a teaching unit which depends on a larger body namely university for legitimizing its academic and administrative processes. Its engagement with curricular aspects is mainly in their implementation while its participation in curriculum development, procedural detailing, assessment procedures as well as certification is peripheral and these are "givens". Whereas a University has the mandate to visualize appropriate curricula for particular programmes, revise/update them periodically, ensure that the outcomes of its programmes are defined by its bodies. In case of Autonomous Colleges curricular responsibilities are similar to the Universities.

Criterion I pertains to the practices of an institution in initiating a wide range of programme options and courses that are in tune with the emerging national and global trends and relevant to the local needs. Apart from issues of diversity and academic flexibility, aspects on career orientation, multi-skill development, feedback system and involvement of stakeholders in curriculum updating are also gauged.

The focus of Criterion I is captured in the following Key Indicators:

KEY INDICATORS

- 1.1*(U) -Curriculum Design and Development
- 1.1*(A) Curriculum Planning and Implementation
- 1.2 Academic Flexibility
- 1.3 Curriculum Enrichment
- 1.4 Feedback System
- *(U) applicable only for Universities and Autonomous Colleges
- *(A) applicable only for the Affiliated/Constituent Colleges

1.1 *(U) Curriculum Design and Development

One of the significant responsibilities of Universities and Autonomous Colleges is Curriculum Design and Development and thus are expected to have processes, systems and structures in place to shoulder this responsibility. Curriculum Design and Development is a complex process of developing appropriate need-based inputs in consultation with expert groups, based on the feedback from stakeholders. This results in the development of relevant programmes with flexibility to suit the professional and personal needs of the students and realization of core values. The Key Indicator (KI) also considers the good practices of the institution in initiating a range of programme options and courses that are relevant to the local needs and in tune with the emerging national and global trends.

Curriculum evolved by the University/Autonomous College comprises Programme Outcomes (POs), Programme Specific Outcomes (PSOs) and Course Outcomes (COs), the substantive outlines of courses in every discipline (syllabus), organizational details of implementation as well as assessment of student performance and thereby attainment of PSOs and COs. The quality element is reflected in the efforts to revise, update, include emerging concerns etc., the University/Autonomous College makes in this regard. The Curriculum designed by University/Autonomous College may also focus on employability, entrepreneurship and skill development. The POs, PSOs, COs could be uploaded on Institutional website.

1.1 *(A) Curricular Planning and Implementation

The Affiliating/Constituent Colleges have rather insignificant role in curriculum designing and development. They adopt the curriculum overview provided by the respective universities. Each college operationalize the curriculum within the overall frame work provided, in one's own way depending on its resource potential, institutional goals and concern and so on. That is, each college visualizes the way the curriculum has to be carried out – activities, who, how, when etc. This process makes each institution unique and reflects on the concern of the college for quality in the form of values emphasized, sensitivities focused on, etc.

1.2 Academic Flexibility

Academic flexibility refers to the freedom in the use of the time-frame of the courses, horizontal mobility, inter-disciplinary options and others facilitated by curricular transactions. Supplementary enrichment programmes introduced as an initiative of the college, credit system and choice offered in the curriculum, in terms of programme, curricular transactions and time-frame options are also considered in this key indicator.

1.3 Curriculum Enrichment

Holistic development of students is the main purpose of curriculum. While this is attempted through prescribing dynamic and updated curricular inputs, the HEI is expected to have provision for added courses and activities which may not be directly linked with one's discipline of study but contribute to sensitizing students to cross-cutting issues relevant to the current pressing concerns both nationally and internationally such as gender, environment and sustainability, human values and professional ethics, development of creative and divergent competencies. A progressive university would provide a wide range of such "value-added" courses for students to choose from according to their interests and inclinations.

1.4 Feedback System

The process of revision and redesign of curricula is based on recent developments and feedback from the stakeholders. The feedback from all stakeholders in terms of its relevance and appropriateness in catering to the needs of the society, economy and environment helps in improving the inputs.

A HEI with the feedback system in place will have an active process of not only collecting feedback from all stakeholders, but also analysing it and identifying and drawing pertinent pointers to enhance the learning effectiveness.

Criterion II: - Teaching Learning and Evaluation

Criterion II pertains to the efforts of an institution to serve students of different backgrounds and abilities, through effective teaching-learning experiences. Interactive instructional techniques that engage students in higher order 'thinking' and investigation, through the use of interviews, focused group discussions, debates, projects, presentations, experiments, practicum, internship and application of ICT resources are important considerations. It also probes into the adequacy, competence as well as the continuous professional development of the faculty who handle the programmes of study. The efficiency of the techniques used to continuously evaluate the performance of teachers and students is also a major concern of this Criterion.

The focus of Criterion II is captured in the following Key Indicators:

KEY INDICATORS

- 2.1 Student Enrolment and Profile
- 2.2 Catering to Student Diversity
- 2.3 Teaching-Learning Process
- 2.4 Teacher Profile and Quality
- 2.5 Evaluation Process and Reforms
- 2.6 Student Performance and Learning Outcomes
- 2.7 Student Satisfaction Survey

2.1 Student Enrolment and Profile

The process of admitting students to the programmes is through a transparent, well-administered mechanism, complying with all the norms of the concerned regulatory/governing agencies including state and central governments. Apart from the compliance to the various regulations the institution put forth its efforts in ensuring equity and wide access having representation of student community from different geographical areas and socio-economic, cultural and educational backgrounds. These will be reflected in the student profile.

2.2 Catering to Student Diversity

The HEIs are expected to satisfy the needs of the students from diverse backgrounds including backward community as well as from different locales. They would make special efforts to bring in students from special categories, reach out to their special learning needs by initial assessment of their learning levels, in addition to understand possible variations over years and how and what is done to deal with such students. While in uni-gender institutions explicit efforts are to be made to sensitise students about the other gender; and the like.

2.3 Teaching-Learning Process

Diversity of learners in respect of their background, abilities and other personal attributes will influence the extent of their learning. The teaching-learning modalities of the institution are rendered to be relevant for the learner group. The learner-centered education through appropriate methodologies such as participative learning, experiential learning and collaborative learning modes, facilitate effective learning. Teachers provide a variety of learning experiences, including individual and collaborative learning. Interactive and participatory approaches, if employed, create a feeling of responsibility in learners and makes learning a process of construction of knowledge. Of late, digital resources for learning have become available and this makes learning more individualised, creative and dynamic. Quality of learning provided in the institution depends largely on teacher readiness to draw upon such recently available technology supports and also the initiative to develop such learning resources to enrich teaching-learning; on teacher's familiarity with Learning Management Systems (LMSs), other eresources available and how to meaningfully incorporate them in one's scheme of teaching-learning.

2.4 Teacher Profile and Quality

"Teacher quality" is a composite term to indicate the quality of teachers in terms of their qualification, teacher characteristics, adequacy of recruitment procedures, faculty availability, professional development and recognition of teaching abilities. Teachers take initiative to learn and keep abreast with the latest developments, to innovate, continuously seek improvement in their work and strive for individual and institutional excellence.

2.5 Evaluation Process and Reforms

This Key Indicator looks at issues related to assessment of teaching, learning and evaluative processes and reforms, to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the system. One of the purposes of evaluation is to provide development-inducing feedback. The qualitative dimension of evaluation is in its use for enhancing the competence of students. Innovative evaluation process is to gauge the knowledge and skills acquired at various levels of the programmes.

These specifications are stated as PSOs and COs. The quality of assessment process in a HEI depends on how well the examination system actually tests the PSOs and COs, quality of questions, extent of transparency in the system, extent of development inducing feedback system, regularity in the conduct of examinations and declaration of results as well as the regulatory mechanisms for prompt action on possible errors.

2.6 Student Performance and Learning Outcomes

The real test of the extent to which teaching learning has been effective in a HEI is reflected in the student performance in the examinations. Student performance is seen as the realization of learning outcomes which are specifications of what a student should be capable of doing on successful completion of a course and/or a programme.

2.7 Student Satisfaction Survey

All the efforts of teachers and the institution to make learning a meaningful process can be considered impactful only to the extent students perceive it to be meaningful. Their satisfaction level is decided by the kinds of experiences they undergo, the extent of the "comfort" feeling as well as intellectual stimulation the learning situations provide. Their feedback significantly showcases the actual quality of teaching learning process enabling identification of the strengths of teaching as well as the possible improvements. Student satisfaction, thus, is a direct indicator of the effectiveness of teaching learning in the institution. It may be impractical to capture this aspect from every student; however, every HEI can resort to a sample survey on a formalized basis to capture this significant feature. This is the reason the revised assessment framework of NAAC adopts survey of student satisfaction.

Criterion III: - Research, Innovations and Extension

This Criterion seeks information on the policies, practices and outcomes of the institution, with reference to research, innovations and extension. It deals with the facilities provided and efforts made by the institution to promote a 'research culture'. The institution has the responsibility to enable faculty to undertake research projects useful to the society. Serving the community through extension, which is a social responsibility

 $\underline{\textbf{NAAC}}$ for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

and a core value to be demonstrated by institutions, is also a major aspect of this Criterion.

The focus of Criterion III is captured in the following Key Indicators:

KEY INDICATORS

- 3.1 *Promotion of Research and Facilities
- 3.2 Resource Mobilization for Research
- 3.3 Innovation Ecosystem
- 3.4 Research Publications and Awards
- 3.5 *Consultancy
- 3.6 Extension Activities
- 3.7 Collaboration

3.1 Promotion of Research and Facilities

The promotion of research is a significant responsibility of the HEIs particularly for Universities without which a 'research culture' on campus cannot be realised. The HEIs have to be actively engaged in this through evolving appropriate policies and practices, making adequate resources available, encouraging active research involvement of teachers and scholars in research as well as recognizing any achievement of teachers through research. It also includes responsiveness and administrative supportiveness (procedural flexibility) in the institution in utilizing the supports and resources available at the Government agencies and/or other agencies. Required infrastructure in terms of space and equipment and support facilities are made available on the campus for undertaking research. The institution collaborates with other agencies, institutions, research bodies for sharing research facilities and undertaking collaborative research.

3.2 Resource Mobilisation for Research

The institution provides support in terms of financial, academic and human resources required and timely administrative decisions to enable faculty to submit project proposals and approach funding agencies for mobilizing resources for research. The institutional support to its faculty for submitting research projects and securing external funding through flexibility in administrative processes and infrastructure and academic support are crucial for any institution to excel in research. The faculties are empowered to take up research activities utilizing the existing facilities. The institution encourages its staff to engage in interdisciplinary and interdepartmental research activities and resource sharing.

3.3 Innovation Ecosystem

The Institution has created an ecosystem for innovation including incubation centre and other initiatives for creation and transfer of knowledge. The institution conducts

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

^{*}Not Applicable to Affiliated Colleges

workshop/seminars on Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) and Industry-Academia Innovative practices. Awards for innovation won by institution/teachers/research scholars/students, start-ups incubated on-campus are explicitly commended by the institution.

3.4 Research Publications and Awards

Exploration and reflection are crucial for any teacher to be effective in one's job. Quality research outcome is beneficial for the discipline, society, industry, region and the nation. Sharing of knowledge especially theoretical and practical findings of research through various media enhances quality of teaching and learning. Research acumen in an institution is an evolving feature reflecting various research output with clear records such as - doctoral, post-doctoral, projects, inventions and discoveries, number of patents obtained and number of research publications.

3.5 Consultancy

Activity organized or managed by the faculty for an external agency for which the expertise and the specific knowledge base of the faculty becomes the major input. The finances generated through consultancy are fairly utilized by the institution. The faculty taking up consultancy is properly rewarded. University is a resource pool with several persons engaged in research at various levels. Consultancy shows the credibility of the university's research acumen in the outside world. While the university personnel extend their expertise to other agencies the university also generates some revenue along with the research faculty. For this it is necessary that the university has a formalized policy on consultancy with clear specification of revenue sharing between the teacher and the institution. This may not be a formalized aspect of a college.

3.6 Extension Activities

Learning activities have a visible element for developing sensitivities towards community issues, gender disparities, social inequity etc. and in inculcating values and commitment to society. Affiliation and interaction with groups or individuals who have an interest in the activities of the institution and the ability to influence the actions, decisions, policies, practices or goals of the organization leads to mutual benefit to both the parties. The processes and strategies inherent in such activities relevantly sensitize students to the social issues and contexts. Sustainable practices of the institution leading to superior performance results in successful outcomes in terms of generating knowledge useful for the learner as well as the community.

Extension also is the aspect of education which emphasizes community services. These are often integrated with curricula as extended opportunities, intended to help, serve, reflect and learn. The curriculum-extension interface has an educational value, especially in rural India.

3.7 Collaboration

Through collaboration the HEIs can maintain a closer contact with the work field. It helps keep the academic activities in the HEI in a more realistic perspective and also expand the scope of learning experiences to students. Collaboration can be sought with academic institutions or industry or other agencies of professional and social relevance. The range of activities could

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

include training, student exchange, faculty exchange, research and resource sharing, among others. For making collaborative endeavor impactful it is necessary there is a formal agreement or understanding between the institution and other HEIs or agencies for such activities.

Criterion IV: - Infrastructure and Learning Resources

The adequacy and optimal use of the facilities available in an institution are essential to maintain the quality of academic and other programmes on the campus. It also requires information on how every constituent of the institution - students, teachers and staff - benefit from these facilities. Expansion of facilities to meet future development is included among other concerns.

The focus of Criterion IV is captured in the following Key Indicators:

KEY INDICATORS

- 4.1 Physical Facilities
- 4.2 Library as a Learning Resource
- 4.3 IT Infrastructure
- 4.4 Maintenance of Campus Infrastructure

4.1 Physical Facilities

Adequate infrastructure facilities are keys for effective and efficient conduct of the educational programmes. The growth of infrastructure thus has to keep pace with the academic developments in the institution. The other supportive facilities on the campus are developed to contribute to the effective ambience for curricular, extra- curricular and administrative activities. A provision of expenditure in the budget is made annually for maintenance and replenishment of physical facilities which will ensure their availability on a continual basis.

4.2 Library as a Learning Resource

The library holdings in terms of books, journals and other learning materials and technology-aided learning mechanisms which enable students to acquire information, knowledge and skills required for their study programmes. A recent development in the field due to availability of digital means, the functioning of the library has undergone a drastic change. Automation of library using the ILMS, use of e-journals and books, providing remote access to e-resources in the library have become a matter of necessity. Providing for these and such other developments as well as utilizing them well are important indicators of the quality of an academic institution.

4.3 IT Infrastructure

The institution adopts policies and strategies for adequate technology deployment and maintenance. The ICT facilities and other learning resources are adequately available in the

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

institution for academic and administrative purposes. The staff and students have access to technology and information retrieval on current and relevant issues. The institution deploys and employs ICTs for a range of activities.

4.4 Maintenance of Campus Infrastructure

Having adequate infrastructure is not enough for effective institutional functioning, but regular maintenance and periodic replenishment of infrastructure is essential. It is necessary that the institution has sufficient resources allocated for regular upkeep of the infrastructure and there are effective mechanisms for the upkeep of the infrastructure facilities; and promote the optimum use of the same.

Criterion V: - Student Support and Progression

The highlights of this Criterion V are the efforts of an institution to provide necessary assistance to students, to enable them to acquire meaningful experiences for learning at the campus and to facilitate their holistic development and progression. It also looks into student performance and alumni profiles and the progression of students to higher education and gainful employment.

The focus of Criterion V is captured in the following Key Indicators:

KEY INDICATORS

- 5.1 Student Support
- 5.2 Student Progression
- 5.3 Student Participation and Activities
- 5.4 Alumni Engagement

5.1 Student Support

Facilitating mechanisms like guidance cell, placement cell, grievance redressal cell and welfare measures to support students. Specially designed inputs are provided to the needy students with learning difficulties. Provision is made for bridge and value added courses in relevant areas. Institution has a well structured, organized guidance and counseling system in place. Students benefited through scholarships, freeships and other means should be identified by HEIs.

5.2 Student Progression

The Institution's concern for student progression to higher studies and/or to employment is a pertinent issue. Identify the reasons for poor attainment and plan and implement remedial measures. Sustainable good practices which effectively support the students facilitate optimal progression. The institutional provisions facilitate vertical movement of students from one level

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

of education to the next higher level or towards gainful employment. Student qualifying for state/national/international level exam or competition should be identified by HEIs.

5.3 Student Participation and Activities

The institution promotes inclusive practices for social justice and better stakeholder relationships. The institution promotes value- based education for inculcating social responsibility and good citizenry amongst its student community. The institution has the required infrastructure and promotes active participation of the students in social, cultural and leisure activities. Encouraging students' participation in activities facilitates developing various skills and competencies and foster holistic development.

5.4 Alumni Engagement

The Alumni are a strong support to the institution. An active Alumni Association can contribute in academic matters, student support as well as mobilization of resources — both financial and non financial. The institution nurtures the alumni association/chapters to facilitate them to contribute significantly to the development of the institution through financial and non-financial means.

Criterion VI: - Governance, Leadership and Management

Effective functioning of an institution can be gauged by the policies and practices it has evolved in the matter of planning human resources, recruitment, training, performance appraisal, financial management and the overall role of leadership.

The focus of Criterion VI is captured in the following Key Indicators:

KEY INDICATOR

- 6.1 Institutional Vision and Leadership
- 6.2 Strategy Development and Deployment
- 6.3 Faculty Empowerment Strategies
- 6.4 Financial Management and Resource Mobilization
- 6.5 Internal Quality Assurance System (IQAS)

6.1 Institutional Vision and Leadership

Effective leadership by setting values and participative decision- making process is key not only to achieve the vision, mission and goals of the institution but also in building the organizational culture. The formal and informal arrangements in the institution to co-ordinate the academic and administrative planning and implementation reflects the institutions efforts in achieving its vision.

6.2 Strategy Development and Deployment

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

The leadership provides clear vision and mission to the institution. The functions of the institution and its academic and administrative units are governed by the principles of participation and transparency. Formulation of development objectives, directives and guidelines with specific plans for implementation by aligning the academic and administrative aspects improves the overall quality of the institutional provisions.

6.3 Faculty Empowerment Strategies

The process of planning human resources including recruitment, performance appraisal and planning professional development programmes and seeking appropriate feedback, analysis of responses and ensure that they form the basis for planning. Efforts are made to upgrade the professional competence of the staff. There are mechanisms evolved for regular performance appraisal of staff.

6.4 Financial Management and Resource Mobilization

Budgeting and optimum utilization of finance as well as mobilization of resources are the issues considered under this Key Indicator. There are established procedures and processes for planning and allocation of financial resources. The institution has developed strategies for mobilizing resources and ensures transparency in financial management of the institution. The income and expenditure of the institution are subjected to regular internal and external audit.

6.5 Internal Quality Assurance System (IQAS)

The internal quality assurance systems of HEIs are Self-regulated responsibilities of the higher education institutions, aimed at continuous improvement of quality and achieving academic excellence. The institution has mechanisms for academic and administrative auditing. It adopts quality management strategies in all academic and administrative aspects. The institution has an IQAC and adopts a participatory approach in managing its provisions.

Criterion VII: - Institutional Values and Best Practices

An educational institution operates in the context of the larger education system in the country. In order to be relevant in changing national and global contexts an educational institution has to be responsive to the emerging challenges and pressing issues. It has a social responsibility to be proactive in the efforts towards development in the larger contexts. This role of the institution is reflected in terms of the kinds of programmes, activities and preferences (values) that it incorporates within its regular functioning. The extent to which an institution is impactful in this is a sure reflection of its quality.

Every institution has a mandate to be responsive to at least a few pressing issues such as gender equity, environmental consciousness and sustainability, inclusiveness and professional ethics, but the way it addresses these and evolves practices will always be unique. Every institution faces and resolves various kinds of internal pressures and situations while doing this. Some meaningful practices pertinent to such situations are evolved within the institution and these help smooth functioning and also lead to enhanced impact. Such practices which are evolved internally by the institution leading to improvements in any one aspect of its functioning

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

– academic, administrative or organizational, - are recognized as a "best practices". Over a period of time, due to such unique ways of functioning each institution develops distinct characteristic which becomes its recognizable attribute.

The focus of Criterion VII is captured in the following Key Indicators:

KEY INDICATORS

- 7.1 Institutional Values and Social Responsibilities
- 7.2 Best Practices
- 7.3 Institutional Distinctiveness

7.1 Institutional Values and Social Responsibilities

The institution organizes gender equity promotion programmes. The institution displays sensitivity to issues like climate change and environmental issues. It adopts environment friendly practices and takes necessary actions such as – energy conservation, rain water harvesting, waste recycling (solid/liquid waste management, e-waste management), carbon neutral, green practices etc. The institution facilitates the differently abled (Divyangjan friendliness), effective dealing of location advantages and disadvantages (situatedness), explicit concern for human values and professional ethics etc. In other words, the concerns for social responsibilities as well as the values held by the institution are explicit in its regular activities.

7.2 Best Practices

Any practice or practices that the institution has internally evolved and used during the last few years leading to positive impact on the regular functioning of the institution can be identified as "best practice/s". These are not any activity prescribed by some authority. At some point in time the institution evolves some innovation or a change in some aspect of functioning. This practice is relevant mainly within the institution at a given point in time. It could be in respect of teaching learning, office practices, maintenance and up keep of things or dealing with human beings or money matters. But adopting that practice has resolved the difficulty or has brought in greater ease in working in that aspect. In brief, these 'best practices' are relevant within the institutional context and may pertain to either academic or administrative or organizational aspects of institutional functioning.

7.3 Institutional Distinctiveness

Every institution would like to be recognized for certain of its attributes which make it 'distinct', or, one of its kinds. Such attributes characterize the institution and are reflected in all its activities in focus and practice.

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

IV. ELIGIBILITY FOR ASSESSMENT AND ACCREDITATION BY NAAC

Higher Education Institutions (HEIs), if they have a record of at least two batches of students graduated or been in existence for six years, whichever is earlier, are eligible to apply for the process of Assessment and Accreditation (A&A) of NAAC, and fulfil the other conditions or are covered by the other provisions, if any, mentioned below:

1. Universities (Central/State/Private/Deemed-to-be) and Institutions of National Importance

- a. Provided the Institutions /Deemed –to-be Universities and their off-campuses if any are approved by MHRD/UGC. NAAC will not consider the unapproved off-campuses for A&A.
- b. Provided that these institutions have regular students enrolled in to the full time teaching and Research programmes offered on campus.
- c. Provided further that the duly established campuses within the country, if any, shall be treated as part of the Universities / Institutions of National Importance for the A&A process.
- d. NAAC will not undertake the accreditation of off-shore campuses

2. Autonomous colleges/Constituent Colleges/ Affiliated Colleges (affiliated to universities recognized by UGC as an affiliating University)

- a) Provided the Colleges are affiliated to a University recognized by UGC for the purposes of affiliation. Constituent colleges of a Private and Deemed- to-be Universities are considered as the constituent units of the University and thus will not be considered for A&A independently. Such constituent colleges need to come along with the University.
- b) Provided the colleges/institutions not affiliated to a University are offering programmes recognized by Statutory Professional Regulatory Councils and have been recognized by Association of Indian Universities (AIU) or other such Government agencies concerned, as equivalent to a degree programme of a University.

3. Accredited HEIs applying for Re-assessment or Subsequent Cycles (Cycle 2, Cycle 3, Cycle 4....) of Accreditation

- a) Institutions, which would like to make an improvement in the accredited status, may apply for **Re-assessment**, after a minimum of one year and before three years of accreditation subject to the fulfillment of other conditions specified by NAAC from time to time for the purpose.
- b) Institutions opting for **Subsequent Cycles (Cycle 2, Cycle 3, Cycle 4....) of Accreditation** can submit the Institutional Information for Quality Assessment (IIQA), during the last six months of the validity period subject to the fulfillment of other conditions specified by NAAC from time to time for the purpose.

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

4. Any other HEIs at the discretion of NAAC.

Note:

1. All the institutions intending to apply for Assessment and Accreditation by NAAC need to mandatorily upload the information on All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE) portal. AISHE code (reference number) is one of the requirements for Registration.

V. THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS

Taking cognizance of the diversity in the kinds of institutions HEIs have been grouped under three categories namely, Universities, Autonomous Colleges and Affiliated/Constituent Colleges.

The assessment process will be carried out in three stages. As stated earlier, it will comprise three main components, viz., Self Study Report (SSR), Student Satisfaction Survey and the Peer Team Report. The SSR has a total of 115 Metrics for Universities, 107 Metrics for Autonomous, 93 & 96 Metrics for UG & PG Affiliated/Constituent Colleges respectively, covering the seven Criteria described earlier. The SSR has two kinds of Metrics: one, those requiring quantifiable facts and figures as data which have been indicated as 'quantitative metrics' (Q_nM); and two, those metrics requiring descriptive responses and are accordingly named 'qualitative metrics' (Q_lM). Table 1 depicts the distribution of Key Indicators (KIs) and Metrics across them.

Table 1: Distribution of Metrics and KIs across Criteria

Type of HEIs	Universities	Autonomous Colleges	Affiliated/Constituent Colleges	
			UG	PG
Criteria	7	7	7	7
Key Indicators (KIs)	34	34	31	32
Qualitative Metrics (Q _l M)	36	35	35	36
Quantitative Metrics (Q _n M)	79	72	58	60
Total Metrics (Q _l M + Q _n M)	115	107	93	96

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

Manual for Affiliated/Constituent UG & PG Colleges

Table 2 gives the details of weightage given to the various Key Indicators and Criteria. In view of the variations in the institutional emphasis on the KIs among the three categories of HEIs, weightages have been appropriately demarcated. Each metric is designated a weightage which is indicated elsewhere in this Manual.

Table 2 Distribution of weightages across Key Indicators (KIs)

Criteria	Key Indicators (KIs)	Universities	Autonomous Colleges		d/Consti ent eges PG
1. Curricular Aspects	1.1 *(U)Curriculum Design and Development	50	50	NA	NA
	1.1. *(A) Curricular Planning and Implementation	NA	NA	20	20
	1.2 Academic Flexibility	50	40	30	30
	1.3 Curriculum Enrichment	30	40	30	30
	1.4 Feedback System	20	20	20	20
	Total	150	150	100	100
2. Teaching- Learning and	2.1 Student Enrolment and Profile	10	20	40	40
Evaluation	2.2 Catering to Student Diversity	20	30	50	50
	2.3 Teaching-Learning Process	20	50	50	50
	2.4 Teacher Profile and Quality	50	50	60	60
	2.5 Evaluation Process and Reforms	40	50	30	30
	2.6 Student Performance and Learning Outcomes	30	50	60	60
	2.7 Student satisfaction Survey	30	50	60	60
	Total	200	300	350	350
3. Research, Innovations	3.1 Promotion of Research and Facilities	20	20	NA	NA
and Extension	3.2 Resource Mobilization for Research	20	10	15	15
	3.3 Innovation Ecosystem	30	10	NA	10
	3.4 Research Publications and Awards	100	30	15	25
	3.5 Consultancy	20	10	NA	NA
	3.6 Extension Activities	40	50	60	50
	3.7 Collaboration	20	20	20	20
	Total	250	150	110	120

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

4. Infrastructure	4.1 Physical Facilities	30	30	30	30
and Learning Resources	4.2 Library as a Learning Resource	20	20	20	20
	4.3 IT Infrastructure	30	30	30	30
	4.4 Maintenance of Campus Infrastructure	20	20	20	20
	Total	100	100	100	100
5. Student	5.1 Student Support	30	30	50	50
Support and Progression	5.2 Student Progression	40	30	30	25
	5.3 Student Participation and Activities	20	30	50	45
	5.4 Alumni Engagement	10	10	10	10
	Total	100	100	140	130
6. Governance, Leadership and	6.1 Institutional Vision and Leadership	10	10	10	10
Management	6.2 Strategy Development and Deployment	10	10	10	10
	6.3 Faculty Empowerment Strategies	30	30	30	30
	6.4 Financial Management and Resource Mobilization	20	20	20	20
	6.5 Internal Quality Assurance System	30	30	30	30
	Total	100	100	100	100
7. Institutional Values and Best	7.1 Institutional Values and Social Responsibilities	50	50	50	50
Practices	7.2 Best Practices	30	30	30	30
	7.3 Institutional Distinctiveness	20	20	20	20
	Total	100	100	100	100
	TOTAL SCORE	1000 *	1000 *	100)0 *

^{*} In case of HEIs who exercise to opt for the weightage of \leq 3% of Non Applicable Metrics, the total score will vary accordingly.

NA - Not Applicable

⁽U) - applicable only for Universities and Autonomous Colleges

⁽A) - applicable only for the Affiliated / Constituent Colleges

VI. PROCEDURAL DETAILS

HEIs are expected to read the below given details carefully and note the specifications of the revised process of A&A.

- 1. Eligible HEIs seeking A&A are required to submit Institutional Information for Quality Assessment (IIQA) online any time during the year. Duly filled in IIQAs of eligible HEIs will be accepted by NAAC for further processing and others will be rejected.
- 2. In case of rejection of IIQA applications specific suggestions would be given to HEIs to facilitate them to resubmit IIQA. An institution can reapply twice after the first attempt resulted in rejection. That is, each HEI is permitted three attempts in a year, with a single fee. After this, it will be considered a fresh application with required fees.
- 3. After the acceptance of IIQA, the institution will be asked to fill the Self Study Report (SSR) with the required document to be uploaded in the portal of NAAC website within 45 days. The SSR of the HEI will then be subjected to further process. As preparation of SSR is a systematic process, so it is suggested that the HEIs should be ready with soft copy of SSR and related documents well in advance of submitting IIQA. Those institutions who fail to submit SSR within 45 days will have to apply afresh starting from IIQA & its fees. It is to be noted that the extension for submission of SSR will be possible, if the request (by raising the issue in Issues Management System (IMS) with proper reason & proof) is done by the HEI before the expiry of the stipulated time, only in cases of natural calamities, floods, payment settlement delay, technical problems for a period upto maximum of 15 days after seeking approval from the Competent Authority. No further extension will be given in the portal. In all such cases the A&A process gets terminated and IIQA fees paid shall be forfeited and the HEIs have to come afresh by submitting IIQA with the requisite fees. In any case fees for IIQA will not be refundable.
- 4. The SSR has to be uploaded as per the format in portal of NAAC. After submission of SSR on NAAC portal HEI would receive an auto generated link/ID of SSR in their registered email id. The same SSR in .pdf format should be then uploaded on institutional website.
- 5. The SSR has to be submitted only online. HEIs should make necessary preparations with the required data, documents and/or responses before logging on to the NAAC website for submission of SSR online. Careful study of the Manual will be of great help in this regard.
- 6. HEIs are requested to go through the Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) available in Apply Online Tab in NAAC website, before preparation of SSR.
- 7. As indicated earlier, the SSR comprises both Qualitative and Quantitative metrics. The Quantitative Metrics (Q_nM) add up to about 70% and the remaining about 30% are Qualitative Metrics (Q_lM) .
- 8. **Optional Metrics** (**Applicable only for Colleges**): In these diversified education system, there can be few metrics which may not be applicable to the HEI's. Thus in order to facilitate the HEI's NAAC has come out with this concept of Non Applicable Metrics.
 - Thus the provision is made for the HEI's to opt out some of the metrics which may not be applicable to them for various reasons. Following are the rules for opting out non applicable metrics:
 - a) Maximum weightage of metrics that can be opted out shouldn't exceed 30 (up to 3%).
 - b) Metrics with maximum of total 10 weightage per criteria can only be opted out.

- c) All metrics in Criteria 1, 2 & 7 are essential. None of the metrics in these Criteria can be opted out.
- d) Metrics identified as optional can only be opted out (list of optional metrics are stated in Appendices 3 of Autonomous and Affiliated College Manual).
- e) Qualitative metrics cannot be opted out.
- The calculation of Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA) of Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) will be done excluding the metrics as opted out with 30 weightage (up to 3%) by the HEIs. This decision is aimed at helping HEIs, as they will not be assessed on metrics not applicable to them. HEIs willing to opt out the non applicable metrics need to exercise the same, prior to final submission of SSR to NAAC.
- 9. The data submitted on Quantitative Metrics (Q_nM) will be subjected to validation exercise with the help of Data Validation and Verification (DVV) process done by NAAC. The responses to Qualitative Metrics (Q_lM) will be reviewed by the Peer Team on site only after the institution clears the Pre-qualifier stage.
- 10. Any Institution found to be providing wrong information/data during validation and verification stage will be asked for clarifications. On the basis of clarifications submitted by the HEIs the data will be again sent for DVV process. The process of Data Validation and Verification (DVV) by NAAC will be done in not more than 30 days.
- 11. **Pre-qualifier**: The Quantitative Metrics (Q_nM) of SSR will be sent for Data Validation and Verification (DVV) Process. After DVV process, a DVV deviation report will be generated. On the basis of the deviation report, the A&A process will proceed further as per the following conditions:
 - a) HEI whose Metrics are found to be deviated will be liable for the penalty or legal action. Their first installment of accreditation fees will also be forfeited, and the name of such HEI will be sent to statutory authorities for further actions.
 - b) HEI that clears the DVV process will proceed for Peer Team Visit with a condition of a Prequalifier, that the HEI should score at least 25% in Quantitative Metrics (Q_nM) as per the final score after the DVV Process. If the HEI does not clear the Pre-qualifier stage then they will have to apply afresh by submitting the IIQA and its fees. Such HEIs are eligible to apply again only after six months from the day of declaration of Pre-qualification status.
- 12. After the DVV process, NAAC will intimate the HEI, regarding the status of the pre-qualification. Only pre-qualified HEIs will enter the next round of assessment to be done by the Peer Team during their on-site visit. The focus of Peer Team visit will be on the Qualitative Metrics (Q₁M).
- 13. Student Satisfaction Survey (SSS): It will be conducted as per the following conditions:
 - a) SSS will be conducted simultaneously with DVV process.
 - b) Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) have to strictly upload data of at least 50% of currently enrolled students as per data template format of excel sheet given in portal.
 - c) The SSS questionnaire (20 objective & 01 subjective) will be e-mailed to all students and the following rule will be applied for processing the responses.
 - i. For colleges (UG/PG and Autonomous) responses should be received from at least 10% of the student population or 100, whichever is lesser.
 - ii. For Universities -10% of the student population or 500, whichever is lesser.
 - d) If the response rate is lower than the limits mentioned by NAAC, the metric will not be taken up for evaluation.

- e) SSS will be completed within one month after its initiation.
- 14. Peer Team visit of the institution should not exceed three months after clearance of Pre-qualifier stage.
- 15. Based on the size and scope of academic offerings at the HEIs, the number of days and experts for onsite visit may vary from 2-3 days with 2-5 expert reviewers visiting the institutions. The visiting teams' role would be very specific in the revised model limited to Qualitative Metrics (Q₁M). The teams would play an important role in reviewing the intangible aspects.
- 16. NAAC will disclose the details of the Peer Team members only three days before the scheduled PTV dates. HEIs will not be responsible for Logistics for the Visiting Teams. Hence forth NAAC will directly take care of all the logistics regarding the Peer Teams visiting the institutions. All payment towards TA, DA, Honorarium, etc., will be directly paid by NAAC to the nominated members. There would be no financial transactions between the Institution and the Peer Team members.
- 17. The institutions need to add a link in home page of their institutional website for NAAC records/files viz., SSR, Peer Team Report, AQAR, Certificate of NAAC and Accreditation documents etc., for easy access by its stakeholders. The said link should be clearly visible/highlighted (without password).

18. Guidelines for filling up Self-Study Report (SSR):

- Extended profile contains all the questions which are basically the figures of denominators of the formulas used for calculation of various Metrics values.
- There are Tool Tips at various places in portal, such as Metrics, sub-metrics, upload, etc. which are given as guidance regarding the sort of data required to be submitted by the institution. The Tool Tip is denoted in the form of . Institutions are required to go through the respective Tool Tip thoroughly before filling the data.
- The data filled should contextualize with the related metrics. There is an upload limit (5 MB) for the documents for various Metrics, if the size of the document exceeds that limit, Institution may upload the same in their own website without password protection. The link of the said uploaded document should be given in the portal.
- The data of the students for Student Satisfaction Survey (SSS) has to be submitted concurrently during online submission of SSR.
- Where-so-ever 'Asterisk Red mark' * is indicated in the portal it should be understood as mandatory requirement.
- 19. Policy to withdraw Accreditation applications by Higher Education Institutions (HEIs): HEIs which have submitted their Self Study Reports (SSRs) for any reason does not complete the A&A process: -
 - Will host the information that it has withdrawn / not completed the process on the HEI website and the information will be hosted on NAAC website too.
 - Will be allowed to apply for A&A only after a period of one year from the date of submission of SSR.
 - The fees submitted by HEI for Assessment and Accreditation process so far will be forfeited.
- 20. Non-compliance of DVV Process: Institutions are given 15 days time to complete the DVV process, and are supposed to respond within stipulated time during DVV clarification stage. In

unforeseen situations (such as natural calamities, political disturbances and alike) when the institutions fail to comply with the DVV process, a further extension of 7 days shall be granted on the basis of decision from Competent Authority. HEIs which do not comply to the DVV clarification process, assessment and accreditation process of such institutions will be terminated at the level of DVV clarification and the fees paid for IIQA and the SSR 1st installment will be forfeited. Such institutions shall reapply for accreditation after one year from the date of declaration of decision in Standing Committee (SC) meeting, by submission of IIQA and filling SSR afresh.

VII. ASSESSMENT OUTCOME

The final result of the Assessment and Accreditation exercise will be an ICT based score, which is a combination of evaluation of qualitative and quantitative metrics. This will be compiled as a document comprising three parts.

PART I - Peer Team Report

- Section 1: Gives the **General Information** of the institution and its context.
- Section 2: Gives Criterion wise analysis based on peer evaluation of qualitative indicators. Instead of reporting with bullet points, this will be a **qualitative**, **descriptive assessment report** based on the Peer Team's critical analysis presenting strengths and weaknesses of HEI under each Criterion.
- Section 3: Presents an **Overall Analysis** which includes Institutional Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Challenges.
- Section 4: Records **Recommendations for Quality Enhancement of the Institution** (not more than **10** major ones).

PART II - Graphical representation based on Quantitative Metrics (Q_nM)

This part will be a **System Generated Quality Profile** of the HEI based on statistical analysis of quantitative indicators in the NAAC's QIF (quality indicator framework). Graphical presentation of institutional features would be reflected through synthesis of quantifiable indicators.

PART III - Institutional Grade Sheet

Contains the **Institutional Grade Sheet** which is based on qualitative indicators, quantitative indicators and student satisfaction survey using existing calculation methods but it will be generated by a software.

The above three parts will together form "NAAC Accreditation Outcome" document. It is mandatory for the HEIs to display it on their institutional website apart from NAAC hosting it on its website.

Calculation of Institutional CGPA

The CGPA will be calculated based on the scores obtained from the three sources, viz., The System Generated Scores (SGS) of the quantitative metrics, the scores from the qualitative metrics includes critical appraisal by the Peer Team through on site visit and the scores obtained on the Student Satisfaction Survey. These will be collated through an automated procedure based on 'benchmarks' and assessed on a five point scale, viz., (0, 1, 2, 3 & 4).

The Final Grade

On the basis of the CGPA obtained by the institution in maximum possible score of 4.00, the final grade is assigned on a seven point scale as shown in Table 3. The seven point refers to the seven letter grades each aligned to the seven specific score range.

Table 3 Institutional Grades and Accreditation Status

Range of Institutional Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA)	Letter Grade	Status
3.51-4.00	A++	Accredited
3.26-3.50	A+	Accredited
3.01-3.25	A	Accredited
2.76-3.00	B++	Accredited
2.51-2.75	B+	Accredited
2.01-2.50	В	Accredited
1.51-2.00	С	Accredited
≤ 1.50	D	Not Accredited

Institutions which secure a CGPA equal to or less than 1.50 are notionally categorized under the letter grade "D". Such unqualified institutions will also be intimated and notified by NAAC as "Assessed and Found not qualified for Accreditation".

VIII. MECHANISM FOR INSTITUTIONAL APPEALS

The process of assessment and accreditation is viewed as an exercise in partnership done jointly by the NAAC and the institution being assessed. Every stage of the process is marked by transparency. The institution is consulted at various stages of the process, planning the visit schedule, sharing the draft peer team report before the team leaves the campus etc. In spite of this participatory approach, there may be institutions that might have grievances to be addressed. Therefore, to provide a review mechanism for institutions who are aggrieved about the process or its outcome or any other issues related thereof, the NAAC has evolved **Mechanism for Institutional Appeals**.

On announcement of the A & A outcome, the institution not satisfied with the accreditation status may:

1. Submit the Intent for Appeal within 15 days and appeal proforma within 45 days from the date of declaration of result, through HEI portal.

- 2. The application for appeal should be submitted along with the requisite non-refundable fee of Rs. 1,00,000/- + applicable taxes.
- 3. An Appeals Committee constituted for the purpose will consider the appeal and make recommendations to the Executive Committee (EC). The decision of the EC shall be binding on the institution. Generally the recommendations may be Re-DVV, Re-Visit, No change, etc.
- 4. The clarification process and time lines for Re-DVV is same as DVV process.
- 5. The process of Re-Visit is same except for the logistic expenses will be borne by the NAAC.

Note: HEIs are advised to check their portal & registered email-id frequently for updates throughout the process.

IX. RE-ASSESSMENT

Institutions, which would like to make an improvement in the accredited status, may volunteer for re-assessment, after completing at least one year, but not after the completion of three years. The option can be exercised only once in a cycle. Re-assessed institution cannot come for another re-assessment in the same cycle. The current procedures and methodology including the manual for the Assessment and Accreditation is applicable for all institutions applying for re-assessment. The fee structure and other process would be as per the current procedures of Assessment and Accreditation (more details can be obtained from NAAC website). Institutions that volunteer for re-assessment will not be eligible for fee waiver and reimbursement of accreditation expenses.

X. SUBSEQUENT CYCLES OF ACCREDITATION

The methodology for subsequent cycles of accreditation remains the same. However, due consideration would be given to the post-accreditation activities resulting in quality improvement, quality sustenance and quality enhancement. In the SSRs institutions opting for subsequent cycles of accreditation need to highlight the significant quality sustenance and enhancement measures undertaken during the last four years. A functional Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) and timely submission of Annual Quality Assurance Reports (AQARs) are the Minimum Institutional Requirements (MIR) to volunteer for second, third or fourth cycle accreditation.

Institutions intending to be assessed to continue their accreditation need to apply afresh by submission of A&A application during the last six months of their validity period.

The validity period of NAAC accreditation for third / fourth cycle institutions will be extended from five years to seven years, with a condition that they have obtained highest grade for immediate preceding two cycles continuously, in addition provided the institution again obtains highest grade in the third / fourth cycle also.

With reference to the Highest Grade obtained by HEI's in various cycle will be as below: -

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

- 'A++' with CGPA 3.51 in the Grading system that is effective from 1st March, 2018.
- $^{\circ}A++$ & A+ $^{\circ}$ with CGPA 3.51 in the Grading system that was effective, between 1st July, 2016 to 28th Feb, 2018
- 'A' in the Grading system that was effective, between 1st April, 2007 to 30th June, 2016
- 'A++, A+, & A' with score 85-100 that was effective between 16th March 2002 to 31st March 2007.

In the case of institutions which apply for reaccreditation within the stipulated period of six months before the end of the cycle of accreditation, as per the guidelines of National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC), the gap period between two consecutive accreditation will be condoned. In case of other institutions which have not applied as per the guidelines mentioned above, the maximum period for condonation would be one year between the two consecutive accreditation cycles.

XI. THE FEE STRUCTURE AND OTHER FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

New Fee Structure (w.e.f. Nov 27, 2019)

1. IIQA Fee For Registration – applicable to all institutions i.e., irrespective of their status of recognition under 12B of UGC Act, 1956(i.e., recognized / not recognized)

Duocoss	Total amount of Amplication for for Aggaggment and
Process	Total amount of Application fee for Assessment and Accreditation (A&A) to be paid by the Institution
Institutional Information for Quality Assessment (IIQA)	Rs. 25,000/- + G S T 18% (Non-refundable) *

^{*} In case of rejection of IIQA application, HEIs may resubmit IIQA applications for maximum of three attempts without IIQA fees, including the rejection attempt, within the period of a year.

2. Assessment and Accreditation (A&A) Fee For Universities and Professional Institutions			
Туре	Total amount of A&A Fee	Amount to be Paid by the Institution	

1 to 10 departments	Rs. 3,75,000/-**+ GST18%	Rs.1,87,500/-** + GST18% (50% of Total fee along with the online submission of SSR) (Non-refundable)
More than 10 departments	Rs. 7,50,000/-** + GST18%	Rs.3,75,000/-** + GST18% (50% of Total fee along with the online submission of SSR) (Non-refundable)

The accreditation fee will be limited to a maximum amount of Rs. 7,50, 000/-+ GST18%, per institution.

^{**} Balance 50% of total fees along with 18% GST before 15 days from the date of on site visit.

3.	3. Assessment and Accreditation (A&A) Fee					
	For Colleges (Government, Grant-in-Aid and Private)					
	Туре	Total amount of	Amount to be paid			
		A&A Fee	by the Institution			
a.	General College with multi	Rs. 1,85,000/-**	Rs.92,500/-** + GST18%			
	faculties	+ GST18%	(50% of Total fee along with the online			
			submission of SSR) (Non-refundable)			
b.	General College with mono	Rs. 1,25,000/-**	Rs.62,500/-** + GST18%			
	faculty	+ GST18%	(50% of Total fee along with the online			
			submission of SSR) (Non-refundable)			
c.	Teacher Education Institutions	Rs. 1,25,000/-**	Rs.62,500/-** + GST18%			
	(General TEIs, Physical Education	+ GST18%	(50% of Total fee along with the online			
	Institutions & Special Education		submission of SSR) (Non-refundable)			
	Institutions)					
		-				

^{**} Balance 50% of total fees along with 18% GST before 15 days from the date of on site visit.

Note:

- i. Professional Institutions Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in which all the programs offered are recognised by Statutory Regulatory Authority(s) (SRA) (Excluding Teacher Education Institutions) or HEIs in which 50 % or more of the programmes offered are recognised by the Statutory Regulatory Authority (s). Similar to Universities the A&A fee for Professional Institutions will be calculated depending on the number of the departments.
- NAAC classified the programs offered leading to specific Degrees awarded as Arts ii. Faculty, Commerce Faculty and Science Faculty. In case of General Colleges, HEIs are broadly categorised by NAAC for the purposes of deciding on the applicable Fee as Mono Faculty and Multi Faculty colleges. Colleges offering programs leading to Degrees such as BA, MA, BSW, MSW, BRS, MRS are considered as Arts Faculty, those colleges offering programs leading to Degrees such as B.Com, M.Com, BBA, BMS and other degrees relating to recognised programs business (not by SRA) administration/commerce/management are considered as Commerce Faculty. Science Faculty are those offering programs leading to Degrees such as B.Sc., M.Sc., B.F.Sc., M.F.Sc., BCA, B. Stat. M. Stat. and other degrees relating to programs (not recognised by any SRA) in applied and pure sciences.
 - a. Mono Faculty The Mono faculty institutions are those Higher education

Institutions (HEIs) offering programs in only one discipline i.e. either in Arts, Commerce or Science.

- **b.** Multi Faculty The Multi faculty institutions are those Higher education Institutions (HEIs) offering programs in more than one discipline i.e. either in a combination of Arts and Commerce, Science and Commerce, Science and Arts or Arts, Commerce and Science.
- **c. Teacher Education Institutions are those** Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) in which all the programs offered are recognised by Statutory Regulatory Authority(s) (SRA) i.e. NCTE or RCI or HEIs in which more than 50% of the programmes offered are recognized by SRAs i.e. NCTE or RCI.

4. Balance amount 50%

- i. 50% of the stipulated fee+ applicable taxes along with on line submission of Self-study Report (SSR) (Non-refundable).
- ii. The pre-qualified HEIs will be asked to pay balance 50% of the stipulated fees+ applicable taxes as shown in column 2 & 3 above before 15 days from the visit date. If the institution does not pay the fee within 15 days, the SSR will not be processed. They have to apply again / afresh with IIQA and its fees.

• Mandatory Taxes/GST will not be refunded.

iii. If the Institution does not take up the accreditation process, the fees will not be returned to the institution. However, the same will be adjusted when accreditation process is taken up. (The Maximum time limit up to which it can be carried forward shall be one year from the date of submission of SSR).

5. Logistics Fee: Institution has to pay an advance, towards logistic expenses for the arrangement of Peer Team Visit, after clearing Prequalifier, which is as follows:-

- a. All General colleges, Professional colleges and Teacher education institutions will have 2 day visit for which the fee structure will be 1,50,000 + GST.
- b. In case of exceptional case of Professional colleges with proper justifications and approval from the competent authority the Peer Team Visit can be extended to 3 days & the fee structure will be 3,00,000 + GST.
- c. For University the Fee structure of logistics will be 3,00,000 + GST for 3 or more days of visit.
- d. If the University has UGC recognized off-shore campus/centers, then the University has to pay an additional fees of Rs. 2,00,000/- + GST or actual per off-shore campus to be visited.

6. Appeals Mechanism and Fee:

Review of Accreditation (grievance) Rs. 1,00,000/- + GST 18% as applicable from time to time.

7. For subsequent cycles of Accreditation:

The fee structure proposed for Assessment and Accreditation and towards logistics as above applies for all the cycles of Accreditation and Re-assessment for all Institutions.

8. Provision for Reimbursement of A&A fees and PTV logistics fees to Government Higher Education Institutions Recognized under 2f & 12B of UGC act 1956

Government Higher Education Institutions which are recognized under section 2(f) and 12B of UGC Act 1956 should also pay the assessment and accreditation fees. The A&A fees and expenses on TA and logistics expenses of peer team would be reimbursed as per NAAC guidelines on submission of the latest General Developmental Grants sanction letter of UGC with an attestation by the Head of the Institution and other necessary documents. The reimbursement will be done as and when NAAC receives grants from UGC.

9. Mode of Payment: Online:

The fee can be paid through online using payment option available in the HEI portal.

XII. GETTING READY FOR SUBMISSION OF SELF - STUDY REPORT (SSR)

HEIs applying for A&A process should take note of the changes in the assessment process. It must be noted that the SSR has to be submitted online only through portal. The portal will be made available to the Institution on the NAAC website in 'Apply Online Tab'. It would be helpful if the institution read the Manual and Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) carefully and get ready with all kinds of details required to be filled up in online format. Use this Manual for understanding the revised process of A&A and preparing for the submission of SSR in the new online format.

Some significant tips are reiterated below.

- ➤ While submitting the IIQA, ensure that there is adequate number of days for processing the SSR within the stipulated period, after the date of its acceptance by NAAC.
- ➤ The SSR has to be filled online; for this NAAC will provide access to the respective portal on the website for institutions, according to pre-declared timeline.
- ➤ Read instruction about where to upload the documents and data, in what format data have to be presented for the various metrics and required verbal explication for the qualitative metrics.
- ➤ Kinds of information to be filled in the SSR are given in the QIF, presented in Section B
- ➤ The Profile of the Institution given in Section B is self-evident in seeking information about the institution.
- ➤ The QIF given in Section B indicates the kinds of data and documents required for each of the Metrics while filling up the SSR and also kinds of responses to be given.
- ➤ In an initial exercise, the institution can prepare details as sought in the QIF (Section B) about the various aspects of its functioning and upload them in a protected space

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

- on the institutional website. This will make it easy to upload and/or make them available through hyperlinks whenever required.
- ➤ Some of the documents indicated such as minutes of various committees/bodies, financial details and similar items for which the institution may not like to provide in open access could be kept ready and made available through hyperlinks whenever required.
- ➤ Keep all the relevant documents and data indicated in the QIF for each Metric under all KIs as a template so that when access to online SSR is available, it's easy to provide pertinent data.
- Wherever verbal descriptions are required write briefly as indicated (eg. . . in not more than 500 words.... or.... in not more than 200 words..., etc). Contemplate well and prepare the write ups explicating the highlights of the sought details about the institution without wasting space/words on 'frill' details.
- ➤ The online formats (templates) for submitting data with respect to Quantitative Metrics (QnM) is given in Sub Section 6 of Section B. The same template in excel format can be downloaded from NAAC website available in an 'Apply Online Tab'.
- Ensure authentic, correct data are provided throughout. **Incorrect data or false** details could lead to disqualification or penalty.
- > Strictly adhere to the time specifications given by NAAC.
- ➤ Some details may have to be worked out if they are not ready; eg. COs, PSOs, compiled reports from various minutes and analyses of feedback, etc...
- ➤ Keep a brief executive summary for upload as per details given in Section B.
- > Do not send any information as hard copy to NAAC unless specified.
- ➤ Read the Manual completely including the Glossary / Notes and SOP available in NAAC Website. This will help in clear understanding of the terms used in the Quality Indicator Framework (QIF).
- For Metric related to finance the preceding financial year (1st April to 31st March) may be used to consolidate data, for publication related data preceding calendar year (1st January to 31st December) data to be entered and for the other metrics the preceding academic year may be taken for data to be entered in 'data capturing format' of portal. Wherever the requirement of current year data is mentioned, use the data of last completed academic year.

XIII. MANDATORY DISCLOSURE ON HEI'S WEBSITE

To ensure the transparency in the process of Assessment and Accreditation, it is necessary for the Higher Educational Institution's (HEI's) to upload the SSR along with other relevant documents on Institutional website. Thus it is suggested to create a separate NAAC tab/link on Higher Educational Institution's (HEI's) website and upload following documents till the validity period of Accreditation is over:

- 1) SSR submitted online, to be uploaded after DVV process only (.pdf format).
- 2) Data templates which are uploaded along with SSR.
- 3) Annual Quality Assurance Report (AQAR Year wise).

4) Accreditation outcome document viz., Certificate, Grade sheet, etc. The Higher Educational Institution's (HEI's) may suitably design their NAAC tab/link to accommodate all relevant documents.

SECTION-B Data Requirements for Self - Study Report (SSR)

This section gives details of various data required for filling up the online format of the Self - Study Report, viz.,

- 1. Executive Summary
- 2. Profile of the Institution
- 3. Extended Profile of the Institution
- 4. Quality Indicator Framework (QIF)
- 5. Data Templates / Documents (Quantitative Metrics)

1. Executive Summary

Every HEI applying for the A&A process shall prepare an Executive Summary highlighting the main features of the Institution including

- **Introductory Note** on the Institution: location, vision mission, type of the institution etc.
- **Criterion-wise Summary** on the Institution's functioning in not more than 250 words for each criterion.
- Brief note on Strength Weaknesses Opportunities and Challenges
 (SWOC) in respect of the Institution.
- Any additional information about the Institution other than ones already stated.
- Over all conclusive explication about the institution's functioning.

The Executive summary shall not be more than 5000 words.

2. Profile of the Institution

1			- 0	
		Posio	Inform	otion
	_	Dasic		ancon

Name and Address of th	ne College:				
Name :					
Address:					
City:	Pin:		State :		
Website:	F				
2. For Communication	n:				
Designation	Name	Telephone with STD cod	Mobile le	Fax	Email
Principal		O: R:			
Vice Principal		O: R:			
IQAC Co-		O:			
ordinator		R:			
 Status of the Institution: Affiliated College Constituent College Any other (specify) Type of Institution: 	 :				
 a. By Gender i. For Men ii. For Won iii. Co-educa b. By Shift i. Regular ii. Day iii. Evening 	nen				
5. It is a recognized m	ninority institu	ition?			
Yes	No [

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

6.	Sources of fund	ling: Governmen	nt Grai	nt-in-aid Sel	lf- fin	ancing Any o	other
7.	a. Date of estab	olishment of the c	ollege:		((dd/mm/yyyy	y)
	b. University to a constituent of	_	e is affiliated	l/or which §	gover	ns the college	e (If it is
	c. Details of UG	C recognition:					
	Under Section		onth & Year m-yyyy)	r		Remarks(If a	any)
	i. 2 (f)						
	ii. 12 (B)						
	(Enclose the Certi	ficate of recognition	on u/s 2 (f) ar	nd 12 (B) of t	he UC	GC Act)	
_	d. Details of re NCTE, MCI,	cognition/approv DCI, PCI, RCI et	•		tory	bodies like	AICTE,
	Statutory Regulatory Authority	Recognition/A details Institution/Dep Programi	partment	Day, Mon and Yea (dd-mm-yy	r	Validity	Remarks
T	i.						
	ii.						
	iii.						
	iv.						
_	(Enclose the recog	gnition/approval le	tter)				
	Does the affiliation by the UGC), on			or conferme	nt of	autonomy (a	s recognized
	Yes		No				
	If yes, has the Co	ollege applied for	r availing the	e autonomo	us sta	tus?	
	Yes	1	No				
	Is the college rec	eognized					
	a. by UGC a	as a College with	Potential fo	r Excellence	e (CP	E)?	
	Yes	1	No				

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

8.

9.

	I	f yes, date of reco	ognition:	•••••	(dd/mn	n/yyyy)					
		-	formance by a	ny other g	overnmental	agency?					
T-	f vec	Name of the agency and									
L	ı yes,					/					
		Date of rec	ognition:		(aa/mr	n/yyyy)					
	10. L	ocation of the ca	ampus and are	a in							
	S	q.mts: Location *	ķ								
	C	Campus area in sq	ı. mts.								
	В	Built up area in sq	լ. mts.								
	(* Urban, Semi-urb	an, Rural, Trib	l al, Hilly Aı	rea, Any others	s specify)					
	,			•	•						
	11.	Details of p year)	orogrammes o	offered by	the college	(Give data	for current a	cademic			
	SI. No.	Programme Level	Name of the Programme/ Course	Duration	Entry Qualification	Medium of instruction	Sanctioned/ approved Student strength	No. of students admitted			
		Under-Graduate									
		Post-Graduate									
		Integrated Programmes PG									
		Ph.D.									
		M.Phil.									
		Ph.D									
		Certificate courses									
		UG Diploma									
		PG Diploma									
		Any Other (specify and provide details)									

1	2. Please fill in the fo	ollowing details if applicable:	
		Self-financed programmes offered	New Programmes introduced
			during the last five years
	Number of		
	programs		
۱			

13. List the departments: (respond if applicable only and do not list facilities like Library, Physical Education as departments, unless they are also offering academic degree awarding programmes. Similarly, do not list the departments offering common compulsory subjects for all the programmes

like English, regional languages etc.)

Faculty	Departments (eg. Physics, Botany, History etc.)	UG	PG	Research
Science				
Arts				
Commerce				
Any Other (Specify)				

14. Number of teaching and non-teaching positions in the Institution

		Teaching faculty					N 7 4	1.		
Positions	Profe	essor		ciate essor	Assis Profe		Non-te sta	acning aff		nical aff
	*M*I	*M		*F	*M	*F	*M	*F	*M	*F
Sanctioned by the UGC / University /										
State Government Recruited										
Yet to recruit										
Sanctioned by the Management/ society or other authorized bodies Recruited										

Yet to recruit

*M-Male *F-Female

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

15. Qualifications of the teaching staff:

Highest qualification	Professor		Assoc Profe		Assis Prof	Total	
4	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
Permanent teachers							
D.Sc./D.Litt.							
Ph.D.							
M.Phil.							
PG							
Temporary teachers				-		•	
Ph.D.							
M.Phil.							
PG							
Part-time teachers							
Ph.D.							
M.Phil.							
PG							

16 Number of Visiting Equality /Cuast Equality on good with the College	
16. Number of Visiting Faculty /Guest Faculty engaged with the College.	

17. Furnish the number of the students admitted to the college during the last four academic years.

Catanasian	Ye	Year 1		Year 2		Year 3		Year 4	
Categories	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
SC									
ST									
OBC									
General									
Others									

18. Details on students enrollment in the college during the current academic year:

Type of students	UG	PG	M. Phil.	Ph.D.	Total
Students from the same state where the college is located					
Students from other states of India					
NRI students					
Foreign students					
Total					

19. Please fill in the following details if applicable:

Unit Cost of		
Education		Excluding Salary
	Including Salary Component	Component

^{*} (Unit cost = total annual recurring expenditure (actual) divided by total number of students enrolled)

20.			accreditation* ent only)	(applicable	for	Cycle	2,	Cycle	3,	Cycle	4	and	re-
	Cycle	1:		(dd/mm/yy	уу)	A	ccre	ditation	ı C	outcome	/R	esult.	

Cycle 2: (dd/mm/yyyy) Accreditation Outcome/Result.........

21. Date of establishment of Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) IQAC (dd/mm/yyyy)

22. Details regarding submission of Annual Quality Assurance Reports (AQAR) to NAAC

 AQAR (i)
 (dd/mm/yyyy)

 AQAR (ii)
 (dd/mm/yyyy)

 AQAR (iii)
 (dd/mm/yyyy)

 AQAR (iv)
 (dd/mm/yyyy)

3. Extended Profile of the Institution

1 Programme:					
1.1 Numb	er of courses offe	ered by the Instit	ution across all	programe during	the last five years
Year	CI OI COUISCS OIIC	Ted by the fisher	duon across an	programs during	the last live years
Number					
2 Student:		I			
2.1 Number o	f students year w	ise during the l	ast five years		
Year					
Number					
the last five yea	er of seats earmark	ed for reserved c	ategory as per (GOI/ State Govt r	ule year wise durin
Year					
Number					
2.3 Number	er of outgoing/ fina	l year students y	ear wise during	the last five year	s
Number					
	full time teachers	year wise during	the last five year	ars	
Year					
Number					
3.3 Number of	Sanctioned posts y	year wise during	the last five yea	ars	
Year					
Number					
	ution: aber of Classroom	ns and Seminar	halls		
4.2 Total exper	nditure excluding s	salary year wise	during the last f	ive years (INR in	lakhs)
Year					
Number					
4.3 Number of	Computers				

4. Quality Indicator Framework (QIF)

Essential Note:

The SSR has to be filled in an online format available on the NAAC website. The QIF given below presents the Metrics under each Key Indicator (KI) for all the seven Criteria.

While going through the QIF, details are given below each Metric in the form of:

- data required
- formula for calculating the information, wherever required, and
- *File description for uploading of document* where so-ever required.

These will help Institutions in the preparation of their SSR.

For some Qualitative Metrics (Q_lM) which seek descriptive data it is specified as to what kind of information has to be given and how much. It is advisable to keep data accordingly compiled beforehand.

For the Quantitative Metrics (Q_nM) wherever formula is given, it must be noted that these are given merely to inform the HEIs about the manner in which data submitted will be used. That is the actual online format seeks **only** data in specified manner which will be processed digitally.

Metric wise weightage is also given.

The actual online format may change slightly from the QIF given in this Manual, in order to bring compatibility with IT design. Observe this carefully while filling up.

UG Colleges

REVISED ACCREDITATION FRAMEWORK

<u>Criterion 1 – Curricular Aspects (100)</u>

Key Indicator – 1.1 Curricular Planning and Implementation (20)

Metric No. 1.1.1. The Institution ensures effective curriculum delivery through a well planned and documented process Write description of initiatives in not more than 500 words File Description • Upload Additional information • Link for Additional information 1.1.2. The institution adheres to the academic calendar including for the conduct of CIE Write description in maximum of 500 words File Description • Upload Additional information • Link for Additional information 1.1.3. Teachers of the Institution participate in following activities related to curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years 1. Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university 2. Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs 3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/certificate/ Diploma Courses	
1.1.1. The Institution ensures effective curriculum delivery through a well planned and documented process Write description • Upload Additional information • Link for Additional information 1.1.2. The institution adheres to the academic calendar including for the conduct of CIE Write description • Upload Additional information • Upload Additional information • Upload Additional information • Link for Additional information • Link for Additional information • Link for Additional information 1.1.3. Teachers of the Institution participate in following activities related to curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years 1. Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university 2. Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs 3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/	itage
planned and documented process Write description of initiatives in not more than 500 words File Description • Upload Additional information • Link for Additional information 1.1.2. The institution adheres to the academic calendar including for the conduct of CIE Write description in maximum of 500 words File Description • Upload Additional information • Link for Additional information 1.1.3. Teachers of the Institution participate in following activities related to curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years 1. Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university 2. Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs 3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/)
Write description of initiatives in not more than 500 words File Description Upload Additional information Link for Additional information 1.1.2. The institution adheres to the academic calendar including for the conduct of CIE Write description in maximum of 500 words File Description Upload Additional information Link for Additional information Link for Additional information Teachers of the Institution participate in following activities related to curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/	
 Upload Additional information Link for Additional information 1.1.2. The institution adheres to the academic calendar including for the conduct of CIE Write description in maximum of 500 words File Description Upload Additional information Link for Additional information 1.1.3. Teachers of the Institution participate in following activities related to curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/ 	
Link for Additional information 1.1.2. The institution adheres to the academic calendar including for the conduct of CIE Write description in maximum of 500 words File Description Upload Additional information Link for Additional information 1.1.3. Teachers of the Institution participate in following activities related to curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years 1. Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university 2. Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs 3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/	
1.1.2. The institution adheres to the academic calendar including for the conduct of CIE Q _I M Write description in maximum of 500 words File Description • Upload Additional information • Link for Additional information 1.1.3. Teachers of the Institution participate in following activities related to curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years 1. Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university 2. Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs 3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/	
conduct of CIE Write description in maximum of 500 words File Description • Upload Additional information • Link for Additional information 1.1.3. Teachers of the Institution participate in following activities related to curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years 1. Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university 2. Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs 3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/	
conduct of CIE Write description in maximum of 500 words File Description Upload Additional information Link for Additional information Indicates of the Institution participate in following activities related to curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs Begin and Development of Curriculum for Add on/	
File Description • Upload Additional information • Link for Additional information 1.1.3. Teachers of the Institution participate in following activities related to curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years 1. Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university 2. Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs 3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/	
 Upload Additional information Link for Additional information 1.1.3. Teachers of the Institution participate in following activities related to curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/ 	
• Link for Additional information 1.1.3. Teachers of the Institution participate in following activities related to curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years 1. Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university 2. Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs 3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/	
1.1.3. Teachers of the Institution participate in following activities related to curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years 1. Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university 2. Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs 3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/	
curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years 1. Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university 2. Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs 3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/	
curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years 1. Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university 2. Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs 3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/	
and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last five years 1. Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university 2. Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs 3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/	
Q _n M five years 1. Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university 2. Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs 3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/	
2. Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/	
3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/	
certificate/ Diploma Courses	
4. Assessment /evaluation process of the affiliating University	
Options	
1. All of the above	
2. Any 3 of the above	
3. Any 2 of the above	
4. Any 1 of the above	
5. None of the above	
Data requirement: (As per Data Template)	
Number of teachers participated	
Name of the body in which full time teacher participated	
Total number of teachers	
Documents: Upload the scanned copies of the letters issued by the	
affiliating university / institutions w.r.t the activity in which the teachers	
are involved.	
File Description:	
Details of participation of teachers in various bodies/activities	
provided as a response to the metric	

Any additional information

Key Indicator- 1.2 Academic Flexibility (30)

3.5	Key Indicator- 1.2 Academic Flexibility (30)	***
Metric		Weightage
No. 1.2.1.	Percentage of Programmes in which Choice Based Credit System	
1.4.1.	(CBCS)/ elective course system has been implemented	10
	(CDC5)/ elective course system has been implemented	10
Q _n M	1.2.1.1. Number of Programmes in which CBCS/ Elective course system	
	implemented.	
	Data Requirement: (As per Data Template)	
	Name of all Programmes adopting CBCS	
	Name of all Programmes adopting elective course system	
	Number of Programmes in which CBCS or elective course system implemented	
	Formula: Total number of Programmes offered X 100	
	1 Official.	
	File Description (Upload)	
	Any additional information	
	Minutes of relevant Academic Council/ BOS meetings	
	Institutional data in prescribed format (Data Template)	
1.2.2.	Number of Add on /Certificate programs offered during the last five	10
O M	years	
Q _n M	1.2.2.1: How many Add on /Certificate programs are added within the	
	last 5 years	
	Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template)	
	The template is combined with 1.2.3	
	Names of the Add on /Certificate programs with 30 or more	
	contact hours	
	No. of times offered during the same year	
	• Total no. of students completing the course in the year	
	File Description (Upload) • Any additional information	
	Brochure or any other document relating to Add on /Certificate	
	programs	
1 2 2	• List of Add on /Certificate programs (Data Template)	
1.2.3	Average percentage of students enrolled in Certificate/Add-on programs as against the total number of students during the last five	10
	years	10
Q_nM	1.2.3.1. Number of students enrolled in subject related Certificate or	
	Add-on programs year wise during last five years	
	Data Requirement: (As per Data Template)	

• Tot	al number of students enrolled in certificate / Add –on
pro	grams
• Tot	al number of students across all the programs
Formula:	
D	Total number of students enrolled in certificate / Add -on programs
Percentage	per year = Total number of students across all the programs X 100
Average p	$ercentage = \frac{\sum Percentage \ per \ year}{5}$
File Descr	iption(Upload)
• An	y additional information
	tails of the students enrolled in Subjects related to
Cer	tificate/Add-on programs

Key Indicator- 1.3 Curriculum Enrichment (30)

Metric		Weightage
No.		
1.3.1.	Institution integrates crosscutting issues relevant to Professional	
	Ethics, Gender, Human Values, Environment and Sustainability into	10
	the Curriculum	
$\mathbf{Q_l}\mathbf{M}$		
	Upload a description in maximum of 500 words	
	File Description (Upload)	
	Any additional information	
	 Upload the list and description of courses which address the 	
	Professional Ethics, Gender, Human Values, Environment and	
	Sustainability into the Curriculum.	
1.3.2.	Average percentage of courses that include experiential learning	10
	through project work/field work/internship during last five years	
$\mathbf{Q_n}\mathbf{M}$		
	1.3.2.1: Number of courses that include experiential learning through	
	project work/field work/internship year wise during last five years	
	Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template)	
	Name of the Course	
	 Details of experiential learning through project work/field work/internship 	
	Name of the Programme	
	Formula:	
	Number of courses that include experiential learning through project work	
	Percentage Per Year = /field work/internship Number of courses in all Programmes X 100	
	Average percentage = $\frac{\sum Percentage per year}{5}$	
	File Description: (Upload)	
	Any additional information	

	Programme / Curriculum/ Syllabus of the courses	
	Minutes of the Boards of Studies/ Academic Council meetings	
	with approvals for these courses	
	MoU's with relevant organizations for these courses, if any	
	Average percentage of courses that include experiential learning	
	through project work/field work/internship (Data Template)	
1.3.3.	Percentage of students undertaking project work/field work/ internships (Data for the latest completed academic year)	10
Q _n M	1.3.3.1. Number of students undertaking project work/field work / internships	
	Data Requirement : (As per Data Template) • Name of the programme • No. of students undertaking project work/field work / internships Formula: Number of students undertaking project work /field work / internships Total number of students	
	 File Description:(Upload) Any additional information List of programmes and number of students undertaking project work/field work//internships (Data Template) 	

Key Indicator- 1.4 Feedback System (20)

Metric	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Weightage
No.		
1.4.1.	Institution obtains feedback on the syllabus and its transaction at the	10
	institution from the following stakeholders	
	1) Students 2)Teachers 3)Employers 4)Alumni	
Q_nM		
	Options:	
	A. All of the above	
	B. Any 3 of the above	
	C. Any 2 of the above	
	D. Any 1 of the above	
	E. None of the above	
	Data Requirement:	
	Report of analysis of feedback received from different stakeholders year	
	wise	
	File Description	
	URL for stakeholder feedback report	
	• Action taken report of the Institution on feedback report as stated in the	
	minutes of the Governing Council, Syndicate, Board of Management	
	(Upload)	
	(Opioau)	

	Any additional information (Upload)	
1.4.2	Feedback process of the Institution may be classified as follows:	
Q_nM	Options:	10
	A. Feedback collected, analysed and action taken and feedback	
	available on website	
	B. Feedback collected, analysed and action has been taken	
	C. Feedback collected and analysed	
	D. Feedback collected	
	E. Feedback not collected	
	Documents:	
	Upload Stakeholders feedback report, Action taken report of the institute	
	on it as stated in the minutes of the Governing Council, Syndicate,	
	Board of Management	
	File Description	
	Upload any additional information	
	URL for feedback report	

Criteria 2- Teaching- Learning and Evaluation (350)

Key Indicator- 2.1 Student Enrolment and Profile (40)

Metric	Key mulcator- 2.1 Student Emonnent and 1 forme (40)	Weightage
		weightage
No.		
2.1.1.	Average Enrolment percentage (Average of last five years)	
		20
	2.1.1.1. Number of students admitted year wise during last five years	
	2.1.1.2. Number of sanctioned seats year wise during last five years	
Q _n M	2.1.1.2. Ivalided of sufferioried seals year wise during last rive years	
Q _n w ₁	Data Paguirament last five years	
	Data Requirement last five years	
	Total number of Students admitted	
	 Total number of Sanctioned seats 	
	Total number of Students admitted	
	Percentage per year = Students admitted	
	Percentage per year = $\frac{statents attributed}{Total number of sanctioned seats} X100$	
	$\nabla_{\mathbf{p}}$	
	Average percentage = $\frac{\sum Percentage \ per \ year}{5}$	
	Average percentage =	
	File Description:	
	 Any additional information 	
	 Institutional data in prescribed format 	
2.1.2.	Average percentage of seats filled against seats reserved for various	20
	categories (SC, ST, OBC, Divyangjan, etc. as per applicable	_ = 0
Q_nM	reservation policy during the last five years	
V _n IVI	_ , , , ,	
	(exclusive of supernumerary seats)	
	2.1.2.1. Number of actual students admitted from the reserved categories	

year wise during last five years Year Number Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) Number of Students admitted from the reserved category Total number of seats earmarked for reserved category as per GOI or State government rule Formula: Actual number of students admitted from the reserved categories Percentage per year = Number of seats earmarked for reserved category as per GOI or State Government rule **)** Percentage per year Average percentage = File Description: (Upload) Any additional information Average percentage of seats filled against seats reserved (Data Template)

Key Indicator- 2.2. Catering to Student Diversity (50)

Metric	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Weightage
No.		
2.2.1.	The institution assesses the learning levels of the students and	
	organises special Programmes for advanced learners and slow	30
	learners	
Q_lM	Write description in maximum of 500 words	
	File Description:	
	Past link for additional Information	
	Upload any additional information	
2.2.2.	1 7	20
2.2.2.	Student- Full time teacher ratio (Data for the latest completed	20
	academic year)	
	Data requirement:	
Q_nM	 Total number of Students enrolled in the Institution 	
	 Total number of full time teachers in the Institution 	
	Formula: Students: teachers	
	File Description (Upload)	
	Any additional information	

Key Indicator- 2.3. Teaching- Learning Process (50)

Metric
No.

Weightage

2.3.1.	Student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies are used for enhancing learning experiences	20
Q _l M	Upload a description in maximum of 500 words	
	File Description:	
	Upload any additional information	
	Link for additional information	
2.3.2.	Teachers use ICT enabled tools for effective teaching-learning	15
	process.	
Q_lM		
	Write description in maximum of 500 words	
	File Description	
	Upload any additional information	
	 Provide link for webpage describing the ICT enabled tools for 	
	effective teaching-learning process.	
2.3.3.	Ratio of mentor to students for academic and other related issues	15
	(Data for the latest completed academic year)	
0.14	2.3.3.1. Number of mentors	
Q _n M	Number of students assigned to each Mentor	
	Formula: Mentor : Mentee	
	File Description	
	 Upload year wise, number of students enrolled and full time 	
	teachers on roll.	
	 Circulars pertaining to assigning mentors to mentees 	
	mentor/mentee ratio	

Key Indicator- 2.4 Teacher Profile and Quality (60)

Metric No.	1xcy malcator- 2.4 Teacher 110me and Quanty (00)	Weightage
2.4.1.	Average percentage of full time teachers against sanctioned posts during the last five years	20
Q _n M	Data Requirement for last five years (As per Data Template) • Number of full time teachers • Number of sanctioned posts	
	Formula: Number of full time teachers Number of sanctioned posts Nu	
	Average percentage = $\frac{\sum Percentage \ per \ year}{5}$	
	File Description (Upload)	

			me teachers	s and sanction	ned posts f	or 5years(Data	
	1	plate) additional i	nformation				
	-			authenticate	d by the H	ead of HEI	
			0.11				•
2.4.2.				achers with I Litt. during t		M. / M.Ch. / e vears	20
	(consider or	_			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, y com	
Q _n M							
				ers with Ph. I Litt. year wi			
	Year						
	Number						
	Data Requir	ement for l	est five vear	s: (As per Da	ata Templa	ita)	
	_		•	rs with PhD./	-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	_	erspeciality					
	• Tota	l number of	full time te	eachers			
	Formula:						
		Ph.D/	Number D.M./M.Ch./	of full time tead D.N. B Superspe	herswith ciality / D.Sc	./ D.Litt.	
	Percentage per	year = Time	Numb	er of full time to	achers	× 100	
	Average pe	rcentage =	∑ Percent	age per yea	r		
		_		5			
	File Descrip	ption (Uplo	ad)				
	• Any	additional i	nformation				
						D.M. / M.Ch. /	
		hers for 5 ye	•		and numbe	er of full time	
2.4.3.				ll time teach	ers in the	same	20
	· ·	Data for th	e latest com	pleted acade	emic year i	n number of	
Q _n M	years)						
Zu.v.	2.4.3.1: Tota	al experienc	e of full-tin	ne teachers			
	Data Requir	rement for la	ast five year	s (As per Dat	_		
		ne and Numberiences	ber of full ti	ime teachers	with years	of teaching	
	Formula:	-11011000					
	Sum of tota					e institution	
	File Descrip			ll time teach	ers		
	_	additional i					
	1			heir PAN, de	esignation,	dept and	

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

experience details(Data Template)	
experience details(Data Template)	

Key Indicator- 2.5. Evaluation Process and Reforms (30)

Metric No.		Weight
2.5.1.	Mechanism of internal assessment is transparent and robust in terms of frequency and mode	age 15
$\mathbf{Q_l}\mathbf{M}$	Upload a description not more than 500 words	
	File Description:	
	Any additional information	
	Link for additional information	
2.5.2.	Mechanism to deal with internal examination related grievances is transparent, time-bound and efficient	15
OM	Upload a description not more than 500 words	
Q_lM	File Description:	
	Any additional information	
	Link for additional information	

Key Indicator- 2.6 Student Performance and Learning Outcome (60)

Metric No.		Weight age
2.6.1.	Teachers and students are aware of the stated Programme and course outcomes of the Programmes offered by the institution.	15
Q_lM	Describe Course Outcomes (COs) for all courses and mechanism of communication within a minimum of 500 characters and maximum of 500 words	
	 File Description: Upload any additional information Past link for Additional information Upload COs for all courses (exemplars from Glossary) 	
2.6.2.	Attainment of Programme outcomes and course outcomes are evaluated by the institution.	15
Q _l M	Describe the method of measuring the level of attainment of POs, PSOs and COs in not more than 500 File Description: Upload any additional information Paste link for Additional information	

			uring the las	it five years	
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5
Number of students appeare					
d					
Number of					
students passes					
ProgNan	gramme coone of the Pr	ogramme			
ProgNanNurNur	gramme coons of the Property of Students	le ogramme dent appeare dents passed			
 Prog Nan Nur Nur Pass	gramme coone of the Property of Students of Students of Students percentage	de ogramme dent appeare dents passed e Total num	d ber of final y n the univer er of final ye	vear students sity examina ear students v sity examina	tion who appeare

Key Indicator- 2.7 Student Satisfaction Survey (60)

Metric		Weight
No.		age
2.7.1.	Online student satisfaction survey regarding to teaching learning	60
	process.	

Paste link for the annual report

Q _n M	(online survey to be conducted)	
	Data Requirement: (As per Data Template)	
	Name/Class/Gender	
	Student Id Number/Adhar Id number	
	Mobile number	
	Email Id	
	Degree Programme	
	(Database of all currently enrolled students need to be prepared and	
	shared with NAAC along with the online submission of QIF)	
	File Description:	
	Upload any additional information	
	Upload database of all currently enrolled students (Data Template)	

Criteria 3- Research, Innovations and Extension (110)

Key Indicator 3.1- Resource Mobilization for Research (15)

Metric	Key indicator 5.1- Resource Mobilization for Research (13)	Weight
No.		age
3.1.1.	Grants received from Government and non-governmental agencies for	5
0.14	research projects / endowments in the institution during the last five	
Q _n M	years (INR in Lakhs)	
	3.1.1.1: Total Grants from Government and non-governmental agencies	
	for research projects / endowments in the institution during the last five years (INR in Lakhs)	
	years (I (I II Earlis)	
	Year	
	INR in	
	Lakhs	
	Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template)	
	 Name of the Project/ Endowments 	
	 Name of the Principal Investigator 	
	Department of Principal Investigator	
	Year of Award	
	Funds provided	
	Duration of the project	
	 Name of the Project/ Endowments 	
	File Description(Upload)	
	Any additional information	
	 e-copies of the grant award letters for sponsored research 	
	projects / endowments	
	• List of endowments / projects with details of grants (Data	
	Template)	
3.1.2	Percentage of departments having Research projects funded by	5
	government and non government agencies during the last five years 3.1.2.1: Number of departments having Research projects funded by	

Q _n M	government and non-government agencies during the last five years			
	Year			
	Number			
	Data requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template)			
	Data requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) • Name of Principal Investigator			
	Duration of project			
	Name of the research project			
	Amount / Fund received			
	Name of funding agency			
	Year of sanction			
	Department of recipient			
	Formula:			
	Number of departments having Research projects funded by			
	government and non – government agencies			
	during the last five yearsX100			
	Total number of departments			
	File Description(Upload)			
	List of research projects and funding details(Data Template)			
	Any additional information			
	Supporting document from Funding Agency			
	Paste link to funding agency website			
3.1.3	Number of Seminars/conferences/workshops conducted by the	5		
3.1.3	institution during the last five years			
Q _n M	3.1.3.1: Total number of Seminars/conferences/workshops conducted by			
Qn ¹ V1	the institution year wise during last five years			
	Year			
	Number			
	Data Requirements: (As per Data Template)			
	Name of the workshops / seminars			
	Number of Participants			
	• Date (From -to)			
	Link to the activity report on the website			
	File Description(Upload)			
	Report of the event			
	Any additional information The first term of the first term			
	List of workshops/seminars during last 5 years (Data Template)			

Key Indicator 3.2- Research Publication and Awards (15)

Metric No.		Weight age
3.2.1. Q _n M	Number of papers published per teacher in the Journals notified on UGC website during the last five years 3.2.1.1. Number of research papers in the Journals notified on UGC website during the last five years	5
	Year Number	
	Data Requirement: (As per Data Template) • Title of paper • Name of the author/s • Department of the teacher • Name of journal • Year of publication • ISBN/ISSN number	
	Formula: Number of publications in UGC notified journals during the last five years	
	Average number of full time teachers during the last five years	
	 File Description (Upload) Any additional information List of research papers by title, author, department, name and year of publication (Data Template) 	
3.2.2.	Number of books and chapters in edited volumes/books published and papers published in national/international conference proceedings	10
Q _n M	per teacher during last five years 3.2.2.1. Total number of books and chapters in edited volumes/books published and papers in national/international conference proceedings year wise during last five years	
	Year Number Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) • Name of the teacher: Title of the paper • Title of the book published: Name of the author/s: Title of the	

proceedings of the conference
 Name of the publisher: National/International
• National/international : ISBN/ISSN number of the proceedings
• Year of publication:
Formula:
Total number of books
and chapters in edited volumes , books
published, and papers in national/international conference proceedings during last five years
Average number of full time teachers during the last five years
File Description: (Upload)
Any additional information
List books and chapters edited volumes/ books published (Data
Template)

Key Indicator 3.3- Extension Activities (60)

Metric No.							Weight age
3.3.1. Q _l M	sensitizing s impact there Describe th	students to eof during he impact o	social issue the last five of extension	s, for their years a activities	holistic de	d community, evelopment, and sing students to m of 500 words.	10
	File Description: • Paste link for additional information Upload any additional information						
3.3.2.						sion activities ng the last five	10
Q _n M	<i>years</i> 3.3.2.1. Tota	al number o om Governi	of awards an	nd recognit	ion receive	d for extension lies year wise	
	Year						
	Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) • Name of the activity • Name of the Award/recognition • Name of the Awarding government/ government recognized bodies • Year of the Award File Description: (Upload)						
	File Descrip	otion: (Upl	load)				

	Any additional information							
	•			sion activitie	se in last 5 v	aar (Data		
			us for extern	Sion activitie	is ili iasi 5 yi	zai (Data		
	Template) e-copy of the award letters							
3.3.3.	Number of			nrograms c	onducted h	v the	20	
3.3.3.				cross/YRC e			20	
Q_nM	programme	-			, ,	•		
ZIIZ	•			•	,	community		
	and NGOs	•			• /	•		
					_	onducted in		
						Government		
	during the la	_		Rea Cross	s/ YRC etc	., year wise		
	Year	ast five years	S					
	Number							
	rumber							
	Data Requir	ements for 1	ast five vear	rs (As per Da	ata Template	2)		
	-		-	ension and c	-			
						ent, industry,		
		munity with	_	•	8	, , ,		
		•						
	File Descrip							
	_	orts of the eve	_					
	-	additional inf						
			•	grammes cond		ndustry,		
3.3.4.	Average per			Data Template		activities at	20	
3.3.7.	3.3.3. above			ucipaiing in	exiension (iciiviiles di	20	
Q_nM		_	•	articipating i	n extension	activities		
C.I.				ıstry, commi				
		-	_	NSS/ NCC/	Red Cross/	YRC etc.,		
	year wise du	ıring last fiv	e years					
	T 7	<u> </u>	1	1	1			
	Year							
	Number							
	Data Requir	rements for 1	ast five vear	s: (As per D	ata Templat	e)		
	_	ne of the acti	-	s. (11s per D	ata rempiat	<i>C)</i>		
		ne of the sch	•					
	Year of the activity							
	 Number of teachers participating in such activities 							
	 Number of teachers participating in such activities 							
			_ 1	-				
	Formula:		Tatal Name	of atuale				
			partici	er of students pating in				
	Percentage	e per year :	such a	ctivities	X 100			
			Number (of students				

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

Aver	$age\ percentage = \frac{\sum Percentage\ per\ year}{5}$	
File D	escription:	
•	Report of the event	
•	Any additional information	
•	Average percentage of students participating in extension activities with Govt. or NGO etc (Data Template)	

Kev Indicators 3.4 – Collaboration (20)

Metric	Key Indicators 3.4 – Collaboration (20)	Weight					
No.		age					
3.4.1.	The Institution has several collaborations/linkages for Faculty	10					
Q_nM	exchange, Student exchange, Internship, Field trip, On-the-job training, research etc during the last five years						
	 Number of linkages for faculty exchange, student exchange, internship, field trip, on-the- job training, research etc year wise during the last five years 						
	Year						
	Number						
	 Title of the linkage Name of the partnering institution /industry/research lab with contact details Year of commencement Duration (From-To) Nature of linkage 						
	File Description: (Upload) • e-copies of linkage related Document						
	Any additional information						
	 Details of linkages with institutions/industries for internship (Data Template) 						
3.4.2.	Number of functional MoUs with national and international institutions, universities, industries, corporate houses etc. during the	10					
Q _n M	last five years 3.4.2.1. Number of functional MoUs with Institutions of national, international importance, other universities, industries, corporate houses etc. year wise during the last five years						

Year							
Number							
NanYeaDurList	rement for lanization wane of the instruction the actual and the result of the result of the actual and the act	ith which stitution/in MoU	MoU is sig dustry/cor	gned porate ho MoU	use	,	
File Descri	ption:						
• e-Co	opies of the uses	MoUs wit	h instituti	on./ indus	try/ cor	porate	
Any	additional	informatio	n				
int	ails of funct ernational i e years					,	

Criterion 4 - Infrastructure and Learning Resources (100)

Key Indicator – 4.1 Physical Facilities (30)

Metric No.		Weight
4.1.1. Q _l M	The Institution has adequate infrastructure and physical facilities for teaching- learning. viz., classrooms, laboratories, computing equipment etc.	age 5
	Describe the adequacy of infrastructure and physical facilities for teaching —learning as per the minimum specified requirement by statutory bodies within a maximum 500 words	
	File Description:	
	 Upload any additional information Paste link for additional information 	
4.1.2.	The Institution has adequate facilities for cultural activities, sports,	
	games (indoor, outdoor), gymnasium, yoga centre etc.	5
Q_lM	Describe the adequacy of facilities for sports, games and cultural activities which include specification about area/size, year of establishment and user rate within a maximum of 500 words	
	File Description	
	Upload any additional information	
	Paste link for additional information	

4.1.3.	Percentage facilities suc	•			rith ICT- ena	bled	10
Q_nM			20055, 22725,				10
	4.1.3.1: Num	ber of classro	oms and sen	ninar halls w	ith ICT faciliti	es	
	Data Requir	ements: (As]	per Data Ter	nplate)			
	•			ns with LCI			
	•				Fi/LAN facil	ities	
	•	Number of	of smart clas	ssrooms			
	•			ns with LM			
	•	Number of	of seminar h	alls with IC	CT facilities		
	Formula:						
		Number of c	TOTAL C			100	
		Total numl	ber of clasro	ooms/semir	nar halls X	100	
	Eile Deserie		in the inst	titution			
	File Descrip		ional info	nation			
	_	ad any addit					
		e link for add					
	_				inar halls with	n ICT	
	enab	led facilities	(Data Tem	plate)			
4.1.4.	1		l:4		~ ~1 ~ ~ · · · · · · ·	£	
4.1.4.		centage of e on during la	-		salary for in	jrastructure	10
$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{M}$	augmenian	m auring ia	si jive years	S(IIVK III LA	ikns)		10
QnIVI	4.1.4.1 Exp	enditure for	infrastructu	re augment	ation, exclud	ing salary	
	-	ring last five		-	accon, energe	ing summy	
		8	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	Year						
	INR in						
	Lakhs						
	• Expe	ements for la enditure for i l expenditure	nfrastructui	re augmenta	Data Templat ition	e)	
	Formula:						
			Expen	diture for i	nfrastructur	e	
	Parcente	go non troom			cluding salar	— y 100	
	rercenta	ge per year	Total exp	penditure e	xcluding sal	ary 100	
			Percen	ntage ner v	ear		
	Average pe	ercentage =		ntage per y 5	<u> </u>		
	File Descrip						
	• Uplo	ad any addit	ional inforr	nation			
	• Uplo	oad audited u	tilization st	atements			
		oad Details of Five years (D			cluding salary	during the	

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

Key Indicator – 4.2 Library as a learning Resource (20)

Metric No.		Weight age
4.2.1.	Library is automated using Integrated Library Management	
Q_lM	System (ILMS)	4
	Data Requirement for last five years: Upload a description of library	
	with,	
	Name of ILMS software	
	Nature of automation (fully or partially)	
	• Version	
	Year of Automation	
	File Description:	
	Upload any additional information	
	Paste link for Additional Information	
4.2.2.	The institution has subscription for the following e-resources	6
	1. e-journals	
Q _n M	2. e-ShodhSindhu	
	3. Shodhganga Membership	
	4. e-books	
	5. Databases 6. Remote access to e-resources	
	o. Remote access to e-resources	
	Options:	
	A. Any 4 or more of the above	
	B. Any 3 of the above	
	C. Any 2 of the above	
	D. Any 1 of the above	
	E. None of the above	
	Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template)	
	Details of membership:	
	Details of subscription:	
	File Description:	
	Upload any additional information	
	 Details of subscriptions like e-journals, e-ShodhSindhu, Shodhganga Membership etc (Data Template) 	
4.2.3	Average annual expenditure for purchase of books/e-books and subscription to journals/e-journals during the last five years (INR in	5
Q _n M	Lakhs)	
	4.2.3.1 Annual expenditure of purchase of books/e-books and subscription to journals/e- journals year wise during last five years (INR in Lakhs)	

	Year	
	INR in	
	Lakhs	
	Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template)	
	• Expenditure on the purchase of books/e-books	
	• Expenditure on the purchase of journals/e-journals in i th year	
	Year of Expenditure:	
	Formula:	
	$\frac{1}{5}X\sum_{i=1}^{5}Expd_{i}$	
	$\overline{5}^{X} \sum_{i} E^{x} p a_{i}$	
	Where:	
	Expd _i = Expenditure in rupees on purchase of books/e-books and	
	subscription to journals/e- journals in i th Year	
	File Description (Upload)	
	Any additional information	
	Audited statements of accounts	
	Details of annual expenditure for purchase of books/e-books and	
	journals/e- journals during the last five years (Data Template)	
4.2.4	Percentage per day usage of library by teachers and students (foot 5	
	falls and login data for online access)	
Q _n M	(Data for the latest completed academic year)	
	4.2.6.1. Number of teachers and students using library per day over last	
	one year	
	Data Requirement	
	Upload last page of accession register details	
	 Method of computing per day usage of library 	
	 Number of users using library through e-access 	
	Number of physical users accessing library	
	Formula:	
	Number of teachers and students	
	using library per day ————————————————————————————————————	
	Total number of teachers and students A 100	
	File Description(Upload)	
	Any additional information	
	File Description(Upload) • Any additional information	
	Details of library usage by teachers and students	

Key Indicator- 4.3 IT Infrastructure (30)

Metric		Weight
No.		age
4.3.1.	Institution frequently updates its IT facilities including Wi-Fi	05
Q_lM	Describe IT facilities including Wi-Fi with date and nature of updation within a maximum of 500 words	
	File Description	
	Upload any additional information	
	Paste link for additional information	
4.3.2.	Student – Computer ratio (Data for the latest completed academic year)	10
$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{M}$		
	Number of students : Number of Computers	
	Data Requirements:	
	 Number of computers in working condition 	
	 Total Number of students 	
	File Description	
	Upload any additional information	
	Student – computer ratio	
4.3.3.	Bandwidth of internet connection in the Institution	15
Q_nM	Options:	
	$A. \geq 50 \text{ MBPS}$	
	B. 30 - 50 MBPS	
	C. 10 - 30 MBPS	
	D. 10 - 5 MBPS	
	E. < 5 MBPS	
	Data Requirement:	
	Available internet bandwidth	
	File Description	
	Upload any additional Information	
	Details of available bandwidth of internet connection in the	
	Institution	

Key Indicator – 4.4 Maintenance of Campus Infrastructure (20)

Metric		Weight age				
No. 4.4.1	Average percentage of expenditure incurred on maintenance of infrastructure (physical and academic support facilities) excluding salary component during the last five years(INR in Lakhs)					
Q _n M	4.4.1.1. Expenditure incurred on maintenance of infrastructure (physical facilities and academic support facilities) excluding salary component year wise during the last five years (INR in lakhs)					
	Year					
	INR in Lakhs					
	Data Requirement year wise: (As per Data Template in Section B) Non salary expenditure incurred Expenditure incurred on maintenance of campus infrastructure					
	Formula:					
	$Average\ percentage = \frac{\sum Percentage\ per\ year}{5}$					
	 File Description: Upload any additional information Audited statements of accounts. Details about assigned budget and expenditure on physical facilities and academic support facilities (Data Templates) 					
4.4.2.	There are established systems and procedures for maintaining and					
Q_lM	utilizing physical, academic and support facilities - laboratory, library, sports complex, computers, classrooms etc.	10				
	Describe policy details of systems and procedures for maintaining and utilizing physical, academic and support facilities on the website within a maximum of 1000 words					
	 File Description: Upload any additional information Paste link for additional information 					

Criterion 5- Student Support and Progression (140) Key Indicator- 5.1 Student Support (50)

Metric No.		Weight age
5.1.1	Average percentage of students benefited by scholarships and freeships provided by the Government during last five years	20
Q _n M	5.1.1.1. Number of students benefited by scholarships and freeships provided by the Government year wise during last five years	
	Year Number	
	Data Requirement year wise: (As per Data Template) Name of the Scheme Number of students benefiting	
	Formula:	
	Number of students benefited by scholarships and freeships by government	
	Percentage per year = Number of students -X 100	
	Average percentage = $\frac{\sum_{\text{Percentage per year}} 5}{5}$	
	 File Description: upload self attested letter with the list of students sanctioned scholarship Upload any additional information Average percentage of students benefited by scholarships and freeships provided by the Government during the last five year (Data Template) 	r's
5.1.2.	Average percentage of students benefitted by scholarships, freeships etc. provided by the institution / non- government agencies during th last five years	05
Q _n M	5.1.2.1 Total number of students benefited by scholarships, freeships, provided by the institution / non- government agencies year wise during last five years	
	Year	

Number Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) • Name of the Scheme with contact information Number of students benefiting Formula: Percentage per year Total number of students benefited by scholarships and freeships provided by the institution or non - government agencies Total number of students Percentage per year Average percentage = **File Description:** Upload any additional information Number of students benefited by scholarships and freeships institution / non- government agencies in last 5 years (Date Template) 5.1.3. Capacity building and skills enhancement initiatives taken by the **10** institution include the following 1. Soft skills 2. Language and communication skills 3. Life skills (Yoga, physical fitness, health and hygiene) 4. ICT/computing skills Q_nM **Options:** A. All of the above B. 3 of the above C. 2 of the above D. 1 of the above E. none of the above Data Requirement: (As per Data Template) • Name of the capability building and skills enhancement initiatives • Year of implementation Number of students enrolled Name of the agencies involved with contact details **File Description (Upload)** Link to Institutional website Any additional information Details of capability building and skills enhancement initiatives

	(Data Template)	
5.1.4. Q _n M	Average percentage of students benefitted by guidance for competitive examinations and career counselling offered by the Institution during the last five years 5.1.4.1. Number of students benefitted by guidance for competitive examinations and career counselling offered by the institution year wise during last five years	10
	Year	
	Formula Number of students benefited by guidance for competitive examinations and career counselling offered by the institution Percentage per year = Number of students X 100	
	Average percentage = $\frac{\sum_{\text{Percentage per year}} 5}{5}$	
	 File Description (Upload) Any additional information Number of students benefited by guidance for competitive examinations and career counselling during the last five years (Data Template) 	
5.1.5. Q _n M	The Institution has a transparent mechanism for timely redressal of student grievances including sexual harassment and ragging cases 1. Implementation of guidelines of statutory/regulatory bodies 2. Organisation wide awareness and undertakings on policies with zero tolerance 3. Mechanisms for submission of online/offline students' grievances 4. Timely redressal of the grievances through appropriate committees	5
	Options: A. All of the above B. Any 3 of the above C. Any 2 of the above D. Any 1 of the above	

	E. None of the above	
] [Data Requirement: Upload the grievance redressal policy document with reference to prevention of sexual harassment committee and anti ragging committee, constitution of various committees for addressing the issues, minutes of	
t	the meetings of the committees, number of cases received and redressed.	
]	File Description (Upload)	
	 Minutes of the meetings of student redressal committee, prevention of sexual harassment committee and Anti Ragging committee 	
	Upload any additional information	
	 Details of student grievances including sexual harassment and ragging cases 	

Key Indicator- 5.2 Student Progression (30)

Metric		Weight
No. 5.2.1 Q _n M	Average percentage of placement of outgoing students during the last five years 5.2.1.1: Number of outgoing students placed year wise during the last	age 10
	five years Year Number	
	Data requirement for last five years (As per Data Template) • Name of the employer with contact details • Number of students placed Formula: Number of outgoing students placed Percentage per year = Number of outgoing students X 100 Average percentage = $ \sum_{\text{Number of outgoing students}} X 100 $	
	 File Description (Upload) Self attested list of students placed Upload any additional information Details of student placement during the last five years (Data Template) 	
5.2.2. Q _n M	Average percentage of students progressing to higher education during the last five years	15

	5.2.2.1. Number of outgoing student progression to higher education							
	Data Damain	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1-4-)				
	Data Requir Number of s	-	•	-				
		to PG:	ceding from	1				
		o MPhil:						
	PG to PhD:							
	• MPh	il to PhD:						
	PhD to Post doctoral:							
	Formula:		Maranh ou	of Outgoing				
			nrogressi	of Outgoing ng to higher	education			
	Percentage	per year =	Total numb	or of final v	yoar student	X100		
			Total Hullib	er or miar y	ear student	.5		
	File Descrip	otion (Uploa	ad)					
	_			tudent/alumi	ni			
	• Any	additional in	nformation					
	• Deta	ils of studen	t progressio	n to higher e	ducation (D	ata		
	Tem	plate)			· 			
5.2.3.	Average per		_				5	
	internationa			_		_		
	JAM/CLAT government			KE/ TUEFL	4 Civii Serv	ices/State		
Q_nM	government	examinano	ms)					
ZII	5.2.3.1. Nun	nber of stude	ents qualifyi	ng in state/ r	national/ inte	ernational		
	level examin							
	GMAT/CAT				e governme	nt		
	examination	s) year wise	during last	five years				
	Year							
	Number							
	1 (02220 02				l			
	5.2.3.2. Nun			-		rnational		
	level examin	_						
	GMAT/CAT				e governme	nt		
	examination	s) year wise	during last	five years				
	Year							
	Number							
	Data Requir			: (As per Da	ta Template)		
	Number of s		cted to					
	• JAM							
	• CLA							
	NET							
	• SLE							
	• GAT	<u> </u>						

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

•	GMAT
•	CAT
•	GRE
•	TOEFL
•	Civil Services
•	State government examinations
Formu	la:
Percent	age per year = Number of students
qualify	ing in state, national, international level exams
for t	Number of students appeared he state, national, International level exams
	$ge \ percentage = \frac{\sum_{\text{Percentage per year}} \text{Percentage}}{\sum_{\text{Percentage}} \text{Percentage}}$
Avera	$age\ percentage = \frac{2}{5}$
Eile D	agarintian (Imland)
riie D	escription (Upload) Upload supporting data for the same
-	
•	Any additional information
•	Number of students qualifying in state/ national/ international level examinations during the last five years (Data Template)

Key Indicator- 5.3 Student Participation and Activities (50)

Metric No.							Weight age
5.3.1 Q _n M	sports/cultural activities at university/state/national / international						
	Year						
	Number						
	Nam Univ	ne of the aw	vard/ medal te/National/ l	` •	ata Template	3)	

	File Description (Upload)						
	e-copies of award letters and certificates						
	Any additional information						
	Number of awards/medals for outstanding performance in						
	sports/cultural activities at university/state/national/international level during the last five year (Data Template)						
5.3.2	Institution facilitates students' representation and engagement in						
	various administrative, co-curricular and extracurricular activities						
$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{l}}\mathbf{M}$	(student council/ students representation on various bodies as per established processes and norms)						
	Describe the students' representation and engagement in various						
	administrative, co-curricular and extracurricular activities within a						
	maximum of 500 words						
	File Description						
	Paste link for additional information						
	Upload any additional information						
5.3.3.	Average number of sports and cultural events/competitions in which						
	students of the Institution participated during last five years	20					
	(organised by the institution/other institutions)						
Q_nM							
	5.3.3.1 Number of sports and cultural events/competitions in which						
	5.3.3.1. Number of sports and cultural events/competitions in which students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years						
	5.3.3.1. Number of sports and cultural events/competitions in which students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years						
	<u> </u>						
	students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years						
	students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years Year						
	students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years Year						
	students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years Year Number						
	Students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years Year Number Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template)						
	Students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years Year Number Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) • List of events/competitions Formula:						
	Students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years Year Number Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) • List of events/competitions Formula: Number of sports and cultural events/competitions						
	Students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years Year Number Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) • List of events/competitions Formula: Number of sports and cultural events/competitions in which students of the Institution participated during						
	Students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years Year Number Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) • List of events/competitions Formula: Number of sports and cultural events/competitions						
	Year						
	Students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years Year Number Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) List of events/competitions Formula: Number of sports and cultural events/competitions in which students of the Institution participated during the last 5 years 5 File Description						
	Students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years Year						
	Students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years Year						
	Students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years Year						
	Students of the Institution participated year wise during last five years Year						

Key Indicator- 5.4 Alumni Engagement (10)

Metric		Weight
No.		age
5.4.1	There is a registered Alumni Association that contributes significantly to the development of the institution through financial and/or other support services.	5
Q_lM	Describe contribution of alumni association to the institution within a maximum of 500 words	
	File Description:	
	Paste link for additional information	
	Upload any additional information	
5.4.2	Alumni contribution during the last five years (INR in Lakhs)	5
	Options:	
Q_nM	A. ≥ 5 Lakhs	
	B. 4 Lakhs - 5 Lakhs	
	C. 3 Lakhs - 4 Lakhs	
	D. 1 Lakhs - 3 Lakhs	
	E. <1 Lakhs	
	Data Requirement for last five years (year wise):	
	Alumni association / Name of the alumnus	
	Quantum of contribution	
	Audited Statement of account of the institution reflecting the	
	receipts.	
	File Description	
	Upload any additional information	

Criterion 6- Governance, Leadership and Management (100)

Key Indicator- 6.1 Institutional Vision and Leadership (10)

Metric No.		Weight age				
6.1.1	The governance of the institution is reflective of and in tune with the vision and mission of the institution					
Q_lM	Describe the vision and mission statement of the institution on the nature of governance, perspective plans and participation of the teachers in the decision making bodies of the institution within a maximum of 500 words					
	File Description					
	Paste link for additional informationUpload any additional information					

6.1.2	The effective leadership is visible in various institutional practices such as decentralization and participative management.	5
Q _l M	Describe a case study showing decentralization and participative management in the institution in practice within a maximum of 500 words	
	File Description • Paste link for additional information	
	 Upload any additional information 	

Key Indicator- 6.2 Strategy Development and Deployment (10)

Metric		Weight					
No.		age					
6.2.1	The institutional Strategic/perspective plan is effectively deployed	2					
Q_lM	Describe one activity successfully implemented based on the strategic plan within a maximum of 500 words						
	File Description						
	Strategic Plan and deployment documents on the website						
	Paste link for additional information						
	Upload any additional information						
6.2.2	The functioning of the institutional bodies is effective and efficient as visible from policies, administrative setup, appointment and service rules, procedures, etc.	4					
Q _l M	Describe the Organogram of the Institution within a maximum 500 words						
	File Description						
	Paste link for additional information						
	 Link to Organogram of the Institution webpage 						
	Upload any additional information						
6.2.3.	Implementation of e-governance in areas of operation	4					
Q _n M	 Administration Finance and Accounts Student Admission and Support Examination Options: All of the above Any 3 of the above Any 2 of the above Any 1 of the above 						
	E. None of the above						

Data Requirements: (As per Data Template)	
 Areas of e-governance 	
Administration	
Finance and Accounts	
Student Admission and Support	
Examination	
 Name of the Vendor with contact details 	
Year of implementation	
File Description (Upload)	
ERP (Enterprise Resource Planning) Document	
Screen shots of user interfaces	
Any additional information	
 Details of implementation of e-governance in areas of operation, Administration etc (Data Template) 	

Key Indicator- 6.3 Faculty Empowerment Strategies (30)

Metric			e i dediej			itegies (50)	Weight
No.							age
6.3.1	The institution has effective welfare measures for teaching and non-teaching staff						05
Q_lM	Provide the list of existing welfare measures for teaching and non-teaching staff within a maximum of 500 words						
		e link for ad	lditional info				
6.3.2	Average percentage of teachers provided with financial support to attend conferences/workshops and towards membership fee of						10
Q _n M	professional bodies during the last five years 6.3.2.1. Number of teachers provided with financial support to attend conferences/workshops and towards membership fee of professional bodies year wise during the last five years						
	Year						
	Number						
	• Nam	ne of the tea	cher ence/ worksl		-		

		-	fessional bo	dy for which	membershi	p fee is	
	prov	ided					
	Formula:						
		e per year =	vided with fi	nancial			
	support to a	ittend confer	ences,worksh e of profession	ops and			
	towarus me	mbersinp iee	or profession	iai boules			
	Nıı	mher of full t	ime teachers	X 1	100		
	144	mber of full (inic teachers				
			Percent	age her vear			
	Average pe	ercentage =		age per year 5	=		
	0 1	O		5			
	File Descrip	otion:					
	_	•	tional inform				
			ers provided kshops etc d		1 1		
		plate)	ksnops etc u	uring the las	t five years (Data	
6.3.3	Average nu		-	_		_	5
	programs of staff during			on for teach	ing and non	teaching	
			f professiona	ıl developme	ent /administ	rative	
	training Pro	grammes or	ganized by t	he institution	n for teachin		
Q_nM	teaching sta	ff year wise	during the la	ast five years	S		
	Year						
	Number						
	Data Requir	rement for la	st five years	· (As ner Da	ta Template)	
	_		essional deve	` •	-	•	
		ning staff		•			
		of the admi	inistrative ra	ining Progra	mme organi	sed for non-	
		es (From- to))				
		`					
	Formula:						
			umber of prof				
		or administra te	ative training eaching and no	on teaching sta	organized for aff		
	-			ast five years		_	
			,	•			
	File Descrip		•	D 1		(HOC	
			uman Resou		ment Centre	es (UGC	
	ASC	or other rel	evant centre	S).			

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

	Demonts of Academic Gueff Called and 11	
	Reports of Academic Staff College or similar centers Unload any additional information	
	Upload any additional information Details of professional development / administrative training	
	Details of professional development / administrative training Programmes organized by the University for teaching and non teaching stoff (Data Tamplata)	
6.3.4	teaching staff (Data Template)	5
0.3.4	Average percentage of teachers undergoing online/face-to-face Faculty development Programmes (FDP) during the last five years	5
Q _n M	(Professional Development Programmes, Orientation / Induction Programmes, Refresher Course, Short Term Course etc.)	
	6.3.4.1. Total number of teachers attending professional development Programmes viz., Orientation / Induction Programme, Refresher Course, Short Term Course year wise during the last five years	
	Years	
	Number	
	 Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) Number of teachers Title of the Programme Duration (From –to) Formula: Total Number of teaching staff attending such Programmes 	
	Number of Full time teachers Number of Full time teachers	
	Average percentage = $\frac{\sum_{\text{Percentage per year}} 5}{5}$	
	 File Description IQAC report summary Reports of the Human Resource Development Centres (UGC ASC or other relevant centers). Upload any additional information Details of teachers attending professional development programmes during the last five years (Data Template) 	
6.3.5	Institutions Performance Appraisal System for teaching and non-	5
	teaching staff	
Q_lM	Describe the functioning status of the Performance Appraisal System for teaching and non-teaching staff within a maximum of 500 words	
	File Description Paste link for additional information Upload any additional information	

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

Key Indicator- 6.4 Financial Management and Resource Mobilization (20)

Metric	ndicator- 6.4 Financial Management and Resource Mobilizat	Weight
No.		age
6.4.1	Institution conducts internal and external financial audits regularly	
Q_lM	Enumerate the various internal and external financial audits carried out during the last five years with the mechanism for settling audit objections within a maximum of 500 words	6
	File Description	
	Paste link for additional information	
	 Upload any additional information 	
6.4.2	Funds / Grants received from non-government bodies, individuals,	8
Q _n M	philanthropers during the last five years (not covered in Criterion III) 6.4.2.1: Total Grants received from non-government bodies, individuals,	Ü
	Philanthropers year wise during the last five years (INR in Lakhs)	
	Year	
	INR in	
	Lakhs	
	 Data Requirement for last five years (As per Data Template) Name of the non-government bodies, individuals, Philanthropers Funds / Grants received 	
	File Description	
	Annual statements of accounts	
	Any additional information	
	 Details of Funds / Grants received from of the non-government bodies, individuals, Philanthropers during the last five years (Data Template) 	
6.4.3	Institutional strategies for mobilisation of funds and the optimal	6
0.34	utilisation of resources	
Q_lM	Describe the resource mobilisation policy and procedures of the Institution within a maximum of 500 words	
	File Description	
	Paste link for additional information	

Key Indicator- 6.5 Internal Quality Assurance System (30)

Metric No.		Weight age
6.5.1 Q _l M	Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) has contributed significantly for institutionalizing the quality assurance strategies and processes Describe two practices institutionalized as a result of IQAC initiatives within a maximum of 500 words	10
	 File Description Paste link for additional information Upload any additional information 	
6.5.2 Q _l M	The institution reviews its teaching learning process, structures & methodologies of operations and learning outcomes at periodic intervals through IQAC set up as per norms and recorded the incremental improvement in various activities (For first cycle - Incremental improvements made for the preceding five years with regard to quality	10
	For second and subsequent cycles - Incremental improvements made for the preceding five years with regard to quality and post accreditation quality initiatives)	
	Describe any two examples of institutional reviews and implementation of teaching learning reforms facilitated by the IQAC within a maximum of 500 words each	
	File Description Paste link for additional information Upload any additional information	
6.5.3 Q _n M	 Quality assurance initiatives of the institution include: 1. Regular meeting of Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC); Feedback collected, analysed and used for improvements 	10
	 Collaborative quality intitiatives with other institution(s) Participation in NIRF any other quality audit recognized by state, national or international agencies (ISO Certification, NBA) 	
	Options: A. All of the above	
	B. Any 3 of the above	
	C. Any 2 of the above D. Any 1 of the above	
	E. None of the above	

Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template

Quality initiatives

AQARs prepared/ submitted
Collaborative quality initiatives with other institution(s)
Participation in NIRF
Any other quality audit recognized by state, national or international agencies (ISO Certification, NBA)

File Description
Paste web link of Annual reports of Institution
Upload e-copies of the accreditations and certifications
Upload details of Quality assurance initiatives of the institution(Data Template)

Criterion VII – Institutional Values and Best Practices (100)

Key Indicator - 7.1 Institutional Values and Social Responsibilities (50)

Metric No.		Weightage
1101	Gender Equity	
7.1.1 QıM	Measures initiated by the Institution for the promotion of gender equity during the last five years.	5
Quvi	Describe gender equity & sensitization in curricular and co-curricular activities, facilities for women on campus etc., within 500 words	
	Provide Web link to:	
	Annual gender sensitization action plan	
	• Specific facilities provided for women in terms of:	
	a. Safety and security	
	b. Counselling	
	c. Common Rooms	
	d. Day care center for young children	
	e. Any other relevant information	
	Environmental Consciousness and Sustainability	
7.1.2	The Institution has facilities for alternate sources of energy and energy	5
Q_nM	conservation measures	
	1. Solar energy 2. Biogas plant 3. Wheeling to the Grid	

	4. Sensor-based energy conservation	
	5. Use of LED bulbs/ power efficient equipment	
	Options:	
	A. 4 or All of the above	
	B. 3 of the above	
	C. 2 of the above	
	D.1of the above	
	E. None of the above	
	Upload:	
	Geotagged Photographs	
	Any other relevant information	
7.1.3 Q _l M	Describe the facilities in the Institution for the management of the following types of degradable and non-degradable waste (within 500 words)	4
QIM	Solid waste management	
	Liquid waste management	
	Biomedical waste management	
	• E-waste management	
	Waste recycling system	
	Hazardous chemicals and radioactive waste management	
	Provide web link to	
	Relevant documents like agreements/MoUs with Government and other	
	approved agencies	
	Geotagged photographs of the facilities	
	Any other relevant information	
7.1.4	Water conservation facilities available in the Institution:	4
Q_nM	1. Rain water harvesting	
Qn ^{IVI}	2. Borewell /Open well recharge	
	3. Construction of tanks and bunds	
	4. Waste water recycling	
	5. Maintenance of water bodies and distribution system in the campus	
	Out the same	
	Options: A. Any 4 or all of the above	
	B. Any 3 of the above	
	C. Any 2 of the above	
	D. Any 1 of the above	
	E. None of the above	
	Upload :	

	Geotagged photographs / videos of the facilities	
	Any other relevant information	
7.1.5	Green campus initiatives include:	4
	7.1.5.1. The institutional initiatives for greening the campus are as follows:	
Q_nM		
	1. Restricted entry of automobiles	
	2. Battery-powered vehicles	
	3. Pedestrian-friendly pathways	
	4. Ban on the use of Plastics	
	5. Landscaping with trees and plants	
	Options:	
	A. Any 4 or All of the above	
	B. Any 3 of the above	
	C. Any 2 of the above	
	D. Any 1 of the above	
	E. None of the above	
	2. Polic of the doore	
	Upload	
	Geotagged photos / videos of the facilities	
	Any other relevant documents	
	This other resevant decaments	
7.1.6	Quality audits on environment and energy regularly undertaken by the	5
71210	Institution.	
QnM		
C	7.1.6.1. The institutional environment and energy initiatives are confirmed	
	through the following	
	• Green audit	
	Energy audit	
	Environment audit	
	Clean and green campus recognitions / awards	
	Beyond the campus environmental promotion activities	
	Options:	
	A. Any 4 or all of the above	
	B. Any 3 of the above	
	C. Any 2 of the above	
	D. Any 1 of the above	
	E. None of the above	
	2. Trone of the doore	
	Upload:	
	Reports on environment and energy audits submitted by the auditing	
	agency	
	Certification by the auditing agency	
	Certificates of the awards received	
	Any other relevant information	
7.1.7	The Institution has disabled-friendly, barrier free environment	4

Q _n M	 Built environment with ramps/lifts for easy access to classrooms. Disabled-friendly washrooms Signage including tactile path, lights, display boards and signposts Assistive technology and facilities for persons with disabilities (<i>Divyangjan</i>) accessible website, screen-reading software, mechanized equipment Provision for enquiry and information: Human assistance, reader, scribe, soft copies of reading material, screen reading 	
	Options: A. Any 4 or all of the above B. Any 3 of the above C. Any 2 of the above D. Any 1 of the above E. None of the above	
	 Upload: Geotagged photographs / videos of the facilities Policy documents and information brochures on the support to be provided Details of the Software procured for providing the assistance Any other relevant information 	
	Inclusion and Situatedness	
7.1.8	Describe the Institutional efforts/initiatives in providing an inclusive	5
	environment i.e., tolerance and harmony towards cultural, regional,	
$\mathbf{Q_l}\mathbf{M}$	linguistic, communal socioeconomic and other diversities (within 500 words).	
	 Provide Web link to: Supporting documents on the information provided (as reflected in the administrative and academic activities of the Institution) Any other relevant information. 	
	Human Values and Professional Ethics	
7.1.9 Q _l M	Sensitization of students and employees of the Institution to the constitutional obligations: values, rights, duties and responsibilities of citizens Describe the various activities in the Institution for inculcating values for being	4
	responsible citizens as reflected in the Constitution of India within 500 words. Provide weblink to: Details of activities that inculcate values; necessary to render students in to responsible citizens Any other relevant information	
7.1.10 Q _n M	The Institution has a prescribed code of conduct for students, teachers, administrators and other staff and conducts periodic programmes in this regard.	5

	1. The Code of Conduct is displayed on the website	
	1. The Code of Conduct is displayed on the website	
	2. There is a committee to monitor adherence to the Code of Conduct	
	3. Institution organizes professional ethics programmes for students,	
	teachers, administrators and other staff	
	4. Annual awareness programmes on Code of Conduct are organized	
	Options:	
	A. All of the above	
	B. Any 3 of the above	
	C. Any 2 of the above	
	D. Any 1 of the above	
	E. None of the above	
	Upload:	
	Code of ethics policy document	
	 Details of the monitoring committee composition and minutes of the 	
	committee meeting, number of programmes organized, reports on the	
	various programs etc., in support of the claims.	
	Any other relevant information	
7.1.11	Institution celebrates / organizes national and international commemorative	5
$\mathbf{Q_l}\mathbf{M}$	days, events and festivals	
	Describe the efforts of the Institution in celebrating /organizing national and	
	international commemorative days, events and festivals during the last five years within 500 words	
	years within 500 words	
	Provide weblink to:	
	 Annual report of the celebrations and commemorative events for the 	
	last five years	
	 Geotagged photographs of some of the events 	
	Any other relevant information	

Key Indicator - 7.2 Best Practices (30)

Metric No.		Weightage
7.2.1 Q ₁ M	Describe two best practices successfully implemented by the Institution as per NAAC format provided in the Manual.	30
	Provide web link to: Best practices in the Institutional web site Any other relevant information	

Note:

Format for Presentation of Best Practices

1. Title of the Practice

This title should capture the keywords that describe the practice.

2. Objectives of the Practice

What are the objectives / intended outcomes of this "best practice" and what are the underlying principles or concepts of this practice (in about 100 words)?

3. The Context

What were the contextual features or challenging issues that needed to be addressed in designing and implementing this practice (in about 150 words)?

4. The Practice

Describe the practice and its uniqueness in the context of India higher education. What were the constraints / limitations, if any, faced (in about 400 words)?

5. Evidence of Success

Provide evidence of success such as performance against targets and benchmarks, review/results. What do these results indicate? Describe in about 200 words.

6. Problems Encountered and Resources Required

Please identify the problems encountered and resources required to implement the practice (in about 150 words).

7. Notes (Optional)

Please add any other information that may be relevant for adopting/implementing the Best Practice in other Institutions (in about 150 words).

Any other information regarding Institutional Values and Best Practices which the university would like to include.

Key Indicator - 7.3 Institutional Distinctiveness (20)

Metric No.		Weightage
7.3.1	Portray the performance of the Institution in one area distinctive to its priority and thrust within 1000 words	20
$\mathbf{Q_l}\mathbf{M}$		
	Provide web link to:	
	Appropriate web in the Institutional website	

Any other relevant information	


PG Colleges

REVISED ACCREDITATION FRAMEWORK

<u>Criterion 1 – Curricular Aspects (100)</u>

Key Indicator – 1.1 Curricular Planning and Implementation (20)

Metric No.		Weight age
1.1.1	The Institution ensures effective curriculum delivery through a well planned and documented process	10
$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{l}}\mathbf{M}$	Write description of initiatives in not more than 500 words File Description	
	Upload Additional informationLink for Additional information	
1.1.2	The institution adheres to the academic calendar including for the conduct of CIE Write description in maximum of 500 words	5
Q_lM	File Description Upload Additional information Link for Additional information	
1.1.3	Teachers of the Institution participate in following activities related to curriculum development and assessment of the affiliating University and/are represented on the following academic bodies during the last	5
Q_nM	five years 1. Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university 2. Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs 3. Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/ certificate/ Diploma Courses 4. Assessment /evaluation process of the affiliating University Options	



Key Indicator- 1.2 Academic Flexibility (30)

Metric No.		Weight age
1.2.1.	Percentage of Programmes in which Choice Based Credit System (CBCS)/ elective course system has been implemented	10
Q _n M	1.2.1.1 Number of Programmes in which CBCS/ Elective course systemplemented.	em
	Data Requirement: (As per Data Template)	
	Name of all Programmes adopting CBCS	
	Name of all Programmes adopting elective course system	
	Number of Programmes in which CBCS or elective course system implemented	
	Formula: Total number of Programmes offered X 100	
	File Description (Upload)	
	Any additional information	
	 Minutes of relevant Academic Council/ BOS meetings 	
	Institutional data in prescribed format (Data Template)	
1.2.2.	Number of Add on /Certificate programs offered during the last five years 1.2.2.1: How many Add on /Certificate programs are added within the	
	last 5 years	
	Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template)	
	The template is combined with 1.2.3	

	Names of the Add on /Certificate programs with 30 or more				
	contact hours				
	No. of times offered during the same year				
	• Total no. of students completing the course in the year File Description (Upload)				
	Any additional information				
	Brochure or any other document relating to Add on /Certificate				
	programs				
	List of Add on /Certificate programs (Data Template)				
1.2.3.	Average percentage of students enrolled in Add-on/Certificate programs as against the total number of students during the last five years	10			
Q _n M	1.2.3.1. Number of students enrolled in subject related Certificate or Add-on programs year wise during last five years				
	Data Requirement: (As per Data Template)				
	Total number of students enrolled in certificate / Add –on programs				
	Total number of students across all the programs				
	Formula: Total number of students enrolled in certificate / Add -on programs				
	Percentage per year = Total number of students across all the programs X 100				
	Average percentage = $\frac{\sum Percentage \ per \ year}{\sum Percentage \ per \ year}$				
	5				
	File Description(Upload)				
	Any additional information Output Description:				
	 Details of the students enrolled in Subjects related to certificate/Add-on programs 				

Key Indicator- 1.3 Curriculum Enrichment (30)

Metric		Weight
No.		age
1.3.1.	Institution integrates crosscutting issues relevant to Professional Ethics, Gender, Human Values, Environment and Sustainability into the Curriculum	10
Q_lM		
	Upload a description in maximum of 500 words	
	File Description (Upload)	
	Any additional information	
	Upload the list and description of courses which address the	

	Professional Ethics, Gender, Human Values, Environment and Sustainability into the Curriculum.	
1.3.2.	Average percentage of courses that include experiential learning through project work/field work/internship during last five years	10
	1.3.2.1: Number of courses that include experiential learning through	
Q_nM	project work/field work/internship year wise during last five years	
	Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template)	
	Name of the Course	
	 Details of experiential learning through project work/field work/internship 	
	Name of the Programme	
	Formula: Number of courses that include experiential learning through project work Percentage Per Year = /field work/internship Number of courses in all Programmes X 100	
	Average percentage = $\frac{\sum Percentage per year}{5}$	
	File Description: (Upload)	
	Any additional information	
	Programme / Curriculum/ Syllabus of the courses	
	Minutes of the Boards of Studies/ Academic Council meetings	
	with approvals for these courses	
	MoU's with relevant organizations for these courses, if any	
	Average percentage of courses that include experiential learning through project work/field work/internship (Data Template)	
1.3.3.	Percentage of students undertaking project work/field work / internship (Data for the latest completed academic year)	10
Q _n M	1.3.3.1. Number of students undertaking project work/field work / internships	
	Data Requirement : (As per Data Template)	
	Name of the programme	
	• No. of students undertaking project work/field work / internships Formula:	
	Number of students undertaking project work	
	/field work / internships × 100	
	Total number of students	
	File Description:(Upload)	
	Any additional information	
	 List of programmes and number of students undertaking project work/field work//internships (Data Template) 	

Key Indicator- 1.4 Feedback System (20)

Metric	Weight
No.	age

1.4.1.	Institution obtains feedback on the syllabus and its transaction at the institution from the following stakeholders	10
	2) Students 2)Teachers 3)Employers 4)Alumni	
Q_nM	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Options:	
	A. All of the above	
	B. Any 3 of the above	
	C. Any 2 of the above	
	D. Any 1 of the above	
	E. None of the above	
	Data Requirement:	
	Report of analysis of feedback received from different stakeholders year	
	wise	
	File Description	
	URL for stakeholder feedback report	
	Action taken report of the Institution on feedback report as stated in the	
	minutes of the Governing Council, Syndicate, Board of Management	
	(Upload)	
	Any additional information (Upload)	
1.4.2	Feedback process of the Institution may be classified as follows:	
$\mathbf{Q_nM}$	Options:	10
Cin	A. Feedback collected, analysed and action taken and feedback	
	available on website	
	B. Feedback collected, analysed and action has been taken	
	C. Feedback collected and analysed	
	D. Feedback collected	
	E. Feedback not collected	
	Documents:	
	Upload Stakeholders feedback report, Action taken report of the institute	
	on it as stated in the minutes of the Governing Council, Syndicate,	
	Board of Management	
	File Description	
	Upload any additional information	
	URL for feedback report	

Criteria 2- Teaching- Learning and Evaluation (350) Key Indicator- 2.1 Student Enrolment and Profile (40)

Metric		Weight
No.		age
2.1.1.	Average Enrolment percentage (Average of last five years)	20
	2.1.1.1. Number of students admitted year wise during last five years	
	2.1.1.2. Number of sanctioned seats year wise during last five years	
Q_nM		
	Data Requirement last five years	

	• Total	number of S	Students adı	mitted			İ
	• Total	number of S	Sanctioned s	seats			İ
			m . I	, c			İ
			0. 1	number of ts admitted			ı
	Percentage p	$er year = \frac{1}{T}$	otal number	of sanctioned	d seats X100		İ
					11100		ı
		7	7				ı
		. 4	Percent	age per ye	ear		ı
	Average per	centage = -		5			ı
	File Descript						ı
	•		ional inforn	nation			ı
	•	•	al data in p		ormat		ı
2.1.2.	Average per					d for various	20
	categories	~ ~	•	•		er applicable	— -
	reservation p	, ,		• ••	1	11	ı
	(exclusive of	supernume	erary seats)	·			ı
Q_nM		_	-				ı
	2.1.2.1. Num	ber of actual	l students a	dmitted fro	m the reserv	ed categories	Í
	year wise dur	ing last five	years				ı
		,		1			ı
	Year						ı
	Number						ı
							ı
	D . D .	. 6 1		(A D			ı
	Data Require		•	` •	-	,	ı
		er of Studer				•	ı
		number of s			erved catego	ory as per	ı
	GOLO	or State gove	ernment rul	9			ı
							ı
	Formula:						ı
	1 omiaia.						ı
				mber of student the reserved cate			ı
		Percentage per	year = Number	of seats earma	rked for X 100		ı
				d category as pe te Government			ı
							ı
		7	Percent	aae ner ve	ear		ı
	Average per	_					ı
	Tiverage per	cinage –		5			ı
	File Descript	tion: (Uploa	d)				İ
		dditional in					İ
			ge of seats	filled aga	inst seats re	eserved (Data	İ
	Temp	late)					1

Key Indicator- 2.2. Catering to Student Diversity (50)

Metric		Weight
No.		age
2.2.1.	The institution assesses the learning levels of the students and	
	organises special Programmes for advanced learners and slow	30

	learners	
Q_lM	Write description in maximum of 500 words	
	File Description:	
	Past link for additional Information	
	 Upload any additional information 	
2.2.2.	Student- Full time teacher ratio (Data for the latest completed	20
	academic year)	
	Data requirement:	
Q_nM	 Total number of Students enrolled in the Institution 	
	Total number of full time teachers in the Institution	
	Formula: Students: teachers	
	File Description (Upload)	
1	Any additional information	

Key Indicator- 2.3. Teaching- Learning Process (50)

Metric	Key indicator- 2.3. Teaching- Learning Process (50)	Weight
No.		age
2.3.1.	Student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative	20
	learning and problem solving methodologies are used for enhancing	
	learning experiences	
$\mathbf{Q_l}\mathbf{M}$		
	Upload a description in maximum of 500 words	
	File Description:	
	Upload any additional information	
	Link for additional information	
2.3.2.	Teachers use ICT enabled tools for effective teaching-learning	15
	process.	
	Write description in maximum of 500 words	
Q_lM	File Description	
	Upload any additional information	
	 Provide link for webpage describing the ICT enabled tools for 	
	effective teaching-learning process	
2.3.3.	Ratio of mentor to students for academic and other related issues	15
	(Data for the latest completed academic year)	
	2.3.3.1 Number of mentors	
Q _n M	Number of students assigned to each Mentor	
	Formula: Mentor : Mentee	
	File Description	
	Upload year wise, number of students enrolled and full time	

		teachers on roll.	
	•	Circulars pertaining to assigning mentors to mentees	
	•	mentor/mentee ratio	

Key Indicator- 2.4 Teacher Profile and Quality (60)

	Key Indicator- 2.4 Teacher Profile and Quality (60)								
Metric No.		Weight age							
2.4.1.	Average percentage of full time teachers against sanctioned posts during the last five years	20							
Q _n M	Data Requirement for last five years (As per Data Template) • Number of full time teachers • Number of sanctioned posts								
	Formula: Number of full time teachers Number of sanctioned posts X 100								
	Average percentage = $\frac{\sum Percentage \ per \ year}{5}$								
	Average percentage = 5								
	 File Description (Upload) Year wise full time teachers and sanctioned posts for 5years(Data Template) Any additional information List of the faculty members authenticated by the Head of HEI 								
2.4.2.	Average percentage of full time teachers with Ph. D. / D.M. / M.Ch. / D.N.B Superspeciality / D.Sc. / D.Litt. during the last five years (consider only highest degree for count)	20							
Q _n M	2.4.2.1. Number of full time teachers with <i>Ph. D. / D.M. / M.Ch. / D.N.B Superspeciality / D.Sc. / D.Litt.</i> year wise during the last five years								
	Year Number								
	Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) • Number of full time teachers with PhD./ D.M. / M.Ch. / D.N.B Superspeciality / D.Sc. / D.Litt. • Total number of full time teachers Formula: Number of full time teacherswith Percentage per year = Ph.D/ D.M./ M.Ch./ D.N. B Superspeciality / D.Sc./ D.Litt. Number of full time teachers								

	Average percentage = $\frac{\sum Percentage \ per \ year}{5}$					
	 File Description (Upload) Any additional information List of number of full time teachers with <i>Ph. D. / D.M. / M.Ch. / D.N.B Superspeciality / D.Sc. / D.Litt.</i> and number of full time teachers for 5 years (Data Template) 					
2.4.3.	Average teaching experience of full time teachers in the same institution (Data for the latest completed academic year in number of	20				
Q _n M	years)					
	 2.4.3.1: Total experience of full-time teachers Data Requirement for last five years (As per Data Template) Name and Number of full time teachers with years of teaching experiences 					
	Formula: Sum of total experience of full time teachers in the same institution Number of full time teachers					
	 File Description: (Upload) Any additional information List of Teachers including their PAN, designation, dept and experience details(Data Template) 					

Key Indicator- 2.5. Evaluation Process and Reforms (30)

Metric		Weight
No.		age
2.5.1.	Mechanism of internal assessment is transparent and robust in terms of frequency and mode	15
Q_1M	Upload a description not more than 500 words	
Q 12.2	File Description:	
	Any additional information	
	Link for additional information	
2.5.2.	Mechanism to deal with internal/external examination related grievances is transparent, time- bound and efficient	15
Q_lM	Upload a description not more than 500 words	
Q ₁ ,1	File Description:	
	Any additional information	
	Link for additional information	

1	

Metric No. 2.6.1.	teachers and Describe Co	re stated a d students.		_	rammes offe		Weight age			
2.6.1.	institution a teachers and Describe Co	re stated a d students.		_	rammes offe					
	institution a teachers and Describe Co	re stated a d students.		_	rammes offe		15			
Q_lM		_	institution are stated and displayed on website and communicated to teachers and students.							
	Q _I M Describe Course Outcomes (COs) for all Programmes and mechanism communication within a minimum of 500 characters and maximum of 500 words									
	File Description:									
	Upload any additional information									
			ditional info							
	• Uplo	oad COs for	all Program	nmes (exemp	olars from G	lossary)				
2.6.2.	Attainment of programme outcomes and course outcomes are evaluated by the institution.									
Q_lM	Describe the method of measuring the level of attainment of POs, PSOs and COs in not more than 500 File Description: • Upload any additional information									
	-	•								
2.6.3.	 Paste link for Additional information Average pass percentage of Students during last five years 2.6.3.1. Total number of final year students who passed the university examination year wise during the last five years 									
Q _n M	2.6.3.2. Total number of final year students who appeared for the university examination year wise during the last five years									
		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5				
	Number		1001 =			1 001 0				
	of									
	students									
	appeare d									
	Number									
	of									
	students									
	passes									
Data Requirement (As per Data Template) • Programme code • Name of the Programme										
	 Number of Student appeared 									

Number of Students passed	
Pass percentage	
Formula:	
$Percentage\ per\ year = \frac{\text{Total number of final year students who passed}}{\text{Total number of final year students who appeared}};$ for the university examinations	
Average percentage $=\frac{\text{Percentage per year}}{5}$	
File Description	
Upload list of Programmes and number of students passed and	
appeared in the final year examination (Data Template)	
Upload any additional information	
Paste link for the annual report	

Key Indicator- 2.7 Student Satisfaction Survey (60)

Metric No.	Trey indicator - 2.7 Student Satisfaction Survey (66)	Weight age
2.7.1.	Online student satisfaction survey regarding to teaching learning process.	60
Q _n M	(online survey to be conducted)	
	Data Baguinamanti (Agman Data Tamplata)	
	Data Requirement: (As per Data Template)	
	Name/Class/Gender	
	Student Id Number/Adhar Id number	
	Mobile number	
	Email Id	
	Degree Programme	
	(Database of all currently enrolled students need to be prepared and	
	shared with NAAC along with the online submission of QIF)	
	File Description:	
	Upload any additional information	
	Upload database of all currently enrolled students (Data Template)	

Criteria 3- Research, Innovations and Extension (120)

Key Indicator 3.1- Resource Mobilization for Research (15)

Metric		Weight age						
No. 3.1.1.	Grants received from Government and non-governmental agencies for							
	research projects, endowments, Chairs in the institution during the	5						
Q_nM	last five years (INR in Lakhs)							
	3.1.1.1: Total Grants from Government and non-governmental agencies							
	for research projects, endowments, Chairs in the institution during the							
	last five years (INR in Lakhs)							
	Year							
	INR in							
	Lakhs							
	Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template)							
	 Name of the Project/ Endowments, Chairs 							
	Name of the Principal Investigator							
	Department of Principal Investigator							
	Year of Award							
	• Funds provided							
	Duration of the project Name of the Project (Find appropriate Chairs)							
	Name of the Project/ Endowments, Chairs File Description (Upleed)							
	File Description(Upload) • Any additional information							
	 e-copies of the grant award letters for sponsored research 							
	projects / endowments							
	List of endowments / projects with details of grants (Data Template)							
3.1.2.	Percentage of teachers recognized as research guides (latest	5						
	completed academic year)							
O M	3.1.2.1. Number of teachers recognized as research guides							
Q_nM	Data Requirement:							
	 Number of teachers recognized as research guides Total number of full time teachers 							
	Total number of full time teachers							
	Formula:							
	Number of teachers							
	recognised							
	as research giudes Total number of full time teachers × 100							
	Total number of full time teachers							
	Documents: Upload copies of the letter of the university recognizing							
	faculty as research guides							
	File Description:							
	Any additional information Institutional data in prescribed format							
	Institutional data in prescribed format							
3.1.3.	Percentage of departments having Research projects funded by	5						

government and non government agencies during the last five years 3.1.3.1: Number of departments having Research projects funded by Q_nM government and non-government agencies during the last five years Year Number Data requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) Name of Principal Investigator • Duration of project • Name of the research project Amount / Fund received • Name of funding agency • Year of sanction • Department of recipient Formula: Number of departments having Research projects funded by government and non - government agencies during the last five years X100 Total number of departments File Description(Upload) • List of research projects and funding details(Data Template) • Any additional information Supporting document from Funding Agency Paste link to funding agency website

Key Indicator 3.2- Innovation Ecosystem (10)

Metric		Weight
No.		age
3.2.1.	Institution has created an ecosystem for innovations and has initiatives for creation and transfer of knowledge	5
Q _l M	Write description in a maximum of 500 words	
	File description	
	Upload any additional information	
	Paste link for additional information	
3.2.2.	Number of workshops/seminars conducted on Research Methodology, Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) and entrepreneurship during the	5
Q _n M	last five years	

3.2.2.1: Tot	al number of	workshop	s/semii	nars con	ducted o	on Resea	ırch	
Methodolog	Methodology, Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) and entrepreneurship							
year wise d	year wise during last five years							
	Year							
	Number							
NanNunDate	rements: (As ne of the worl nber of Partic e (From -to)	kshops / s ipants	eminars	3				
	x to the activi	• •	on the w	ebsite				
File Descri	ption(Upload	1)						
• Rep	ort of the eve	nt						
• Any	additional in	formation	ı					
List of wor	kshops/semin	ars during	g last 5	years (D	ata Ten	nplate)		

Key Indicator 3.3- Research Publication and Awards (25)

Metric		Weight age			
No. 3.3.1.	Number of Ph.Ds registered per eligible teacher during the last five				
Q _n M	years				
Q _n IVI					
	3.3.1.1 How many Ph.Ds registered per eligible teacher within last five years				
	3.3.1.2 Number of teachers recognized as guides during the last five years				
	Data Requirements for last five years: (As per Data Template)				
	Name of the Ph.D scholar				
	Name of the Department				
	Name of the guide/s Very of registration of the scholar				
	 Year of registration of the scholar Year of award of Ph.D 				
	Teal of award of Th.D				
	Formula: Number of Ph.D registered during the last five years Number of Teachers as a recognised guides during the last five years				
	File Description (Upload)				
	URL to the research page on HEI web site				
	• List of PhD scholars and their details like name of the guide,				
	title of thesis, year of award etc (Data Template)				
	Any additional information				

3.3.2.	Number of	research pa _l	pers per tead	chers in the .	Journals no	tified on	10				
	UGC website during the last five years										
$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{M}$	3.3.2.1. Number of research papers in the Journals notified on UGC										
	website during the last five years										
	X 7	1									
	Year										
	Number										
	Data Requir	ement· (Δς r	er Data Ten	nnlate)							
	_	of paper	oci Data Ten	iipiate)							
		e of the auth	or/s								
		artment of th									
	_	e of journal	c teacher								
		of publication	on								
		V/ISSN num									
	• ISBI	1/1551\ IIuIII	UCI								
	Formula:										
				ications in							
	7	notified joi				-					
	Average number of full time teachers										
	during the last five years										
	File Descri	ntion (Uploa	d)								
	The Besery	ption (e piou	· ··								
	• Any	additional in	formation								
	• List	of research p	apers by titl	e, author, de	partment, na	ame and					
		of publication			,						
3.3.3.		books and c			es/books pul	blished and	10				
	papers publ	lished in nat	ional/ interr	iational con	ference prod	ceedings					
$\mathbf{Q_n}\mathbf{M}$	per teacher	during last j	five years								
	2221 5					// 1					
		al number of		-							
	-	nd papers in		ernational co	onference pr	oceedings					
	year wise at	uring last fiv	e years								
	Year										
	Number										
		rement for la	t five vears	· (As per Da	ta Template	<u> </u>					
	-	e of the teac	•	-	ta Tempiate,	,					
		of the book			outhor/c · Ti	tle of the					
			-		auui01/8.11	tie of the					
	proceedings of the conferenceName of the publisher: National/International										
		onal/internat				ceedings					
		of publicati		A TOOLA HAIHI	or or the pro	Accumgs					
	- I cai	or publican	O11.				i				

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

Formula: Total number of books and chapters in edited volumes, books published, and papers in national/international conference proceedings during last five years Average number of full time teachers during the last five years File Description: (Upload) Any additional information List books and chapters edited volumes/ books published (Data Template)

Key Indicators 3.4 – Extension Activities (50)

Metric		Weight age							
No.									
3.4.1. Q ₁ M	Extension activities are carried out in the neighborhood community, sensitizing students to social issues, for their holistic development, and impact thereof during the last five years.								
	Describe the impact of extension activities in sensitising students to								
	social issues and holistic development within a maximum of 500 words								
	File Description:								
	Paste link for additional information								
	Upload any additional information								
3.4.2.	Number of awards and recognitions received for extension activities	10							
	from government/ government recognised bodies during the last five								
$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{M}$	years								
	3.4.2.1. Total number of awards and recognition received for extension activities from Government/ Government recognised bodies year wise during the last five years.								
	Year								
	Number								
	 Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) Name of the activity Name of the Award/recognition Name of the Awarding government/ government recognized bodies 								
	 Year of the Award File Description: (Upload) Any additional information 								

	Temp	olate)								
	• e-con	y of the av	ward lette	ers						
3.4.3.	Number of extension and outreach Programmes conducted by the institution through NSS/NCC/Red Cross/YRC etc., (including the									
Q _n M	programmes such as Swachh Bharat, AIDS awareness, Gender issues etc.)and/or those organised in collaboration with industry, community and NGOs during the last five years									
	3.4.3.1. Number of extension and outreached Programmes conducted in collaboration with industry, community and Non- Government Organizations through NSS/ NCC/ Red Cross/ YRC etc., during the last five years									
	Year									
	Number									
	rtumber									
3.4.4.	 Name comm File Descrip Report Any a Number industrial 	e and number of the conunity with tion (Uplots of the evaluational interpretation) and the conunity community of the conunity community community of the conunity comm	ber of the ollaborate on contact oad) ent organ aformation as nity etc for	e extension ting agency details ized n nd outreach or the last five	and outready: Non-goven Programmer years (Da	emplate) ch Programmes vernment, industry, nes conducted with ta Template) nsion activities at	20			
Q_nM	3.4.3. above during last five years 3.4.4.1. Total number of Students participating in extension activities conducted in collaboration with industry, community and Non-Government Organizations such as Swachh Bharat, AIDs awareness, Gender issue etc. year wise during last five years									
	Year									
	Number									
	 Data Requirements for last five years: (As per Data Template) Name of the activity Name of the scheme Year of the activity Number of teachers participating in such activities Number of students participating in such activities 									
	Formula: Percentage per year = Total Number of students participating in such activities Number of students Number of students									

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

	Percentage per year
Aver	$age\ percentage = $
File D	Description:
•	Report of the event
•	Any additional information
•	Average percentage of students participating in extension activities with Govt. or NGO etc (Data Template)

Key Indicator - 3.5 Collaboration (20)

Metric										Weight		
No.									age			
3.5.1.	Number of Collaborative activities for research, Faculty exchange, Student exchange/internship per year								10			
Q_nM												
	3.5.1.1 Number of Collaborative activities for research, Faculty exchange, Student exchange/ internship year wise during the last five years											
		Year										
		Number										
	Data Requir	rements for	last five	e year	s: (As	per Da	ta T	emplate)				
	_	of the Coll		•		•		1				
	Name of the partnering institution /industry/research lab with											
		act details										
	Year of commencement											
	• Duration (From-To)											
	Nature of Collaborative activity											
	File Description: (Upload)											
	e-copies of related Document											
	Any additional information											
	Details of Collaborative activities with institutions/industries for research, Faculty exchange, Student exchange/ internship (Data Template)											
3.5.2.	Number of	<u> </u>	<i>MoUs</i> w	vith i	nstitut	ions, o	othe	r univer	sities,			
	industries, d									10		
Q_nM												
	3.5.2.1. Nur											
	internationa	-				s, ındu	strie	es, corpo	rate houses			
	etc. year wis	se during th	e iast fi	ve ye	ars							
	Year											
	Number											

Data Requirement for last five years : (As per Data Template)	
 Organization with which MoU is signed 	
 Name of the institution/industry/corporate house 	
 Year of signing MoU 	
• Duration	
 List the actual activities under each MoU 	
 Number of students/teachers participating under MoUs 	
File Description:	
 e-Copies of the MoUs with institution./ industry/ corporate houses 	
Any additional information	
 Details of functional MoUs with institutions of national, 	
international importance, other universities etc during the last	
five years	

Criterion 4 - Infrastructure and Learning Resources (100)

Key Indicator – 4.1 Physical Facilities (30)

Metric No.		Weight age
4.1.1.	The Institution has adequate infrastructure and physical facilities for teaching- learning. viz., classrooms, laboratories, computing	5
Q_1M	Describe the adequacy of infrastructure and physical facilities for teaching —learning as per the minimum specified requirement by	
	statutory bodies within a maximum 500 words File Description:	
	Upload any additional information	
	Paste link for additional information	
4.1.2.	The Institution has adequate facilities for cultural activities, sports, games (indoor, outdoor), gymnasium, yoga etc.	5
Q_lM	Describe the adequacy of facilities for cultural activities, sports, games and cultural activities which include specification about area/size, year of establishment and user rate within a maximum of 500 words	
	File DescriptionUpload any additional information	
	Paste link for additional information	

4.1.3.	Percentage of classrooms and seminar halls with ICT- enabled facilities such as smart class, LMS, etc.	10					
Q_nM	juctimes such as smart class, 12/15, etc.	10					
	4.1.3.1: Number of classrooms and seminar halls with ICT facilities						
	Data Requirements: (As per Data Template)						
	Number of classrooms with LCD facilities						
	Number of classrooms with Wi-Fi/LAN facilities						
	Number of smart classrooms						
	Number of classrooms with LMS facilities						
	 Number of seminar halls with ICT facilities 						
	Formula: Number of classrooms and seminar halls with ICT facilities						
	Total number of classrooms/seminar halls in the institution						
	File Description • Upload any additional information						
	Paste link for additional information Links of Number of algorisams and seminar halls with ICT.						
	 Upload Number of classrooms and seminar halls with ICT enabled facilities (Data Template) 						
	chabled facilities (Bata Template)						
4.1.4.	Average percentage of expenditure, excluding salary for infrastructure						
	augmentation during last five years(INR in Lakhs)	10					
Q_nM							
	4.1.4.1. Expenditure for infrastructure augmentation, excluding salary year wise during last five years (INR in lakhs)						
	year wise during last rive years (rivix in lakits)						
	Year						
	INR in						
	Lakhs						
	Data Requirements for last five years: (As per Data Template)						
	Expenditure for infrastructure augmentation The following and the content of the content o						
	Total expenditure excluding salary						
	Formula:						
	Expenditure for infrastructure						
	Percentage per year = augmentation excluding salary × 100						
	Total expenditure excluding salary						
	<u></u>						
	Average percentage $=$ Percentage per year						
	Average percentage = $\frac{2}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{2}}}$						
	Average percentage – 5						
	File Description:						
	 Upload any additional information 						
	- Optode any additional information						

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

•	Upload audited utilization statements	
•	Upload Details of budget allocation, excluding salary during the	
	last five years (Data Template)	

Key Indicator – 4.2 Library as a learning Resource (20)

Metric		Weight
No. 4.2.1.	Library is automated using Integrated Library Management	age 4
4.2.1. Q _l M	Library is automated using Integrated Library Management System (ILMS)	4
Qivi	Data Requirement for last five years: Upload a description of library with,	
	Name of ILMS software	
	Nature of automation (fully or partially)	
	• Version	
	Year of Automation	
	File Description:	
	Upload any additional information	
	Paste link for Additional Information	
4.2.2.	The institution has subscription for the following e-resources	6
0.14	1. e-journals	
Q_nM	2. e-ShodhSindhu	
	3. Shodhganga Membership 4. e-books	
	5. Databases	
	6. Remote access to e-resources	
	Options:	
	A. Any 4 or more of the above	
	B. Any 3 of the above	
	C. Any 2 of the above	
	D. Any 1 of the above	
	E. None of the above	
	Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template)	
	Details of membership: Details of membership:	
	Details of subscription:	
	File Description:	
	Upload any additional information	
	 Details of subscriptions like e-journals, e-ShodhSindhu, 	
	Shodhganga Membership, Remote access to library resources,	
	Web interface etc (Data Template)	
4.2.3.	Average annual expenditure for purchase of books/e-books and subscription to journals/e- journals during the last five years (INR in	5

Q _n M	Lakhs)						
	4.2.3.1 Annual expenditure of purchase of books/e-books and						
	subscription to journals/e- journals year wise during last five years (INR						
	in Lakhs)						
	Year						
	INR in Lakhs						
	Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) • Expenditure on the purchase of books/e-books • Expenditure on the purchase of journals/e-journals in i th year • Year of Expenditure:						
	Formula: $\frac{1}{5}X\sum_{i=1}^{5}Expd_{i}$						
	$\overline{5}^{\lambda} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{E \times p u_i}}_{}^{E \times p u_i}$						
	Where: Expd _i = Expenditure in rupees on purchase of books/e-books and subscription to journals/e- journals in i th Year						
	File Description (Upload) • Any additional information						
	Audited statements of accounts						
	Details of annual expenditure for purchase of books/e-books and						
4.2.4.	journals/e- journals during the last five years (Data Template) Percentage per day usage of library by teachers and students (foot						
1.2.1.	falls and login data for online access)						
Q_nM	(Data for the latest completed academic year)						
	4.2.4.1. Number of teachers and students using library per day over last one year						
	Data Requirement						
	Upload last page of accession register details						
	Method of computing per day usage of library						
	Number of users using library through e-access						
	Number of physical users accessing library						
	Formula:						
	Number of teachers and students using library per day						
	Total number of teachers and students X 100						
	File Description(Upload)						
	Any additional information						

Details of library usage by teachers and students

Key Indicator- 4.3 IT Infrastructure (30)

Metric		Weight
No.		age
4.3.1.	Institution frequently updates its IT facilities including Wi-Fi	05
Q_lM	Describe IT facilities including Wi-Fi with date and nature of updation within a maximum of 500 words	
	File Description	
	Upload any additional information	
100	Paste link for additional information	4.0
4.3.2.	Student – Computer ratio (Data for the latest completed academic	10
OM	year)	
Q _n M	Number of students : Number of Computers	
	Data Requirements:	
	Number of computers in working condition	
	Total Number of students	
	File Description	
	Upload any additional information	
	Student – computer ratio	
4.3.3.	Bandwidth of internet connection in the Institution	15
	Options:	
Q_nM	$A. \geq 50 MBPS$	
	B. 30 MBPS – 50 MBPS	
	C. 10 MBPS – 30 MBPS	
	D. 10 MBPS – 05 MBPS	
	E. < 05 MBPS	
	Data Requirement:	
	Available internet bandwidth	
	File Description	
	Upload any additional Information	
	 Details of available bandwidth of internet connection in the 	
	Institution	

Key Indicator – 4.4 Maintenance of Campus Infrastructure (20)

Metric	Weight
No.	age

4.4.1	Average per infrastructu salary comp	re (physical	and acade	mic suppor	t facilities) e	excluding	10
Q _n M	4.4.1.1. Exp facilities and year wise d	d academic s	support facil	lities) exclu	ding salary	ure (physical component	
	Year						
	INR in Lakhs						
	• Exp	ement year v salary experenditure incu	nditure incu	rred	-	·	
	Formula:						
	$Percentage per year = \frac{ \begin{array}{c} Expenditure \ on \ maintenance \ of \\ physical \ and \ academic \\ \hline support facilities excluding \ salary \ component \\ \hline Total \ expenditure \ excluding \\ salary \ component \\ \end{array}} X100$						
	Average per	$rcentage = \frac{1}{2}$	Percenta 5	ge per year			
	File Descrip	otion:					
	_	ad any addi	tional infor	mation			
	• Aud	ited statemer	nts of accou	nts.			
		ils about ass ities and aca		-	-	•	
4.4.2.		ysical, acade	emic and su	pport facil		ning and tory, library,	10
Q_lM	sports comp	iex, comput	ers, ciassro	oms etc.			
	_	ysical, acade	emic and su	-		uintaining and website within	
	_	ption: oad any addited in the second in the					

Criterion 5- Student Support and Progression (130) Key Indicator- 5.1 Student Support (50)

Metric		Weight
No.	An ange a management of attribute beautiful by a balanching and	age
5.1.1 Q _n M	Average percentage of students benefited by scholarships and freeships provided by the Government during last five years 5.1.1.1. Number of students benefited by scholarships and freeships provided by the Government year wise during last five years	20
	Year	
	Number	
	Data Requirement year wise: (As per Data Template) Name of the Scheme Number of students benefiting	
	Formula:	
	Number of students benefited by scholarships and freeships by government	
	Percentage per year = Number of students X 100	
	Average percentage = $\frac{\sum_{\text{Percentage per year}} 5}{5}$	
	 File Description: upload self attested letter with the list of students sanctioned scholarship Upload any additional information Average percentage of students benefited by scholarships and 	
	freeships provided by the Government during the last five years (Data Template)	
5.1.2. Q _n M	Average percentage of students benefitted by scholarships, freeships etc. provided by the institution / non- government agencies during the last five years	05
Zii	5.1.2.1 Total number of students benefited by scholarships, freeships, etc provided by the institution / non- government agencies year wise during last five years	
	Year	
	Number	
	Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) Name of the Scheme with contact information	

	Number of students benefiting	
	Number of students benefiting	
	Formula:	
	D	
	Percentage per year	
	Total number of students benefited by scholarships and freeships	
	= provided by the institution or non - government agencies × 100	
	Total number of students	
	Percentage per year	
	Average percentage = 5	
	Ella Dannindiana	
	File Description: • Unload any additional information	
	 Upload any additional information Number of students benefited by scholarships and freeships 	
	institution / non- government agencies in last 5 years (Date	
	Template)	
5.1.3.	Capacity building and skills enhancement initiatives taken by the	10
	institution include the following	
	 Soft skills Language and communication skills 	
	3. Life skills (Yoga, physical fitness, health and hygiene)	
	4. ICT/computing skills	
Q_nM		
	Options:	
	A. All of the above	
	B. Any 3 of the above C. Any 2 of the above	
	D. Any 1 of the above	
	E. None of the above	
	Data Requirement: (As per Data Template)	
	Name of the capability building and skills enhancement	
	initiatives Very of implementation	
	Year of implementationNumber of students enrolled	
	 Number of students enrolled Name of the agencies involved with contact details 	
	Traine of the agencies involved with contact details	
	File Description (Upload)	
	Link to Institutional website	
	Any additional information	
	Details of capability building and skills enhancement initiatives	
	(Data Template)	
5.1.4.	Average percentage of students benefitted by guidance for competitive	10
	examinations and career counselling offered by the Institution during	
Q_nM	the last five years	

5.1.4.1. Number of students benefitted by guidance for competitive examinations and career counselling offered by the institution year wise during last five years

Year			
Number			

Data Requirement for last five years:(As per Data Template)

- Name of the scheme
- Number of students who have passed in the competitive exam
- Number of students placed

Formula

Number of students benefited by guidance for competitive examinations and career counselling offered by the institution

Percentage per year = Number of students X 100

Average percentage = $\frac{\sum Percentage per year}{5}$

File Description (Upload)

- Any additional information
- Number of students benefited by guidance for competitive examinations and career counseling during the last five years (Data Template)

5.1.5. The Institution has a transparent mechanism for timely redressal of student grievances including sexual harassment and ragging cases

 Q_nM

- 1. Implementation of guidelines of statutory/regulatory bodies
- **2.** Organisation wide awareness and undertakings on policies with zero tolerance
- 3. Mechanisms for submission of online/offline students' grievances
- **4.** Timely redressal of the grievances through appropriate committees

Options:

- A. All of the above
- B. Any 3 of the above
- C. Any 2 of the above
- D. Any 1 of the above
- E. None of the above

Data Requirement:

Upload the grievance redressal policy document with reference to prevention of sexual harassment committee and anti ragging committee, constitution of various committees for addressing the issues, minutes of the meetings of the committees, number of cases received and redressed.

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

5

File Description (Upload) Minutes of the meetings of student redressal committee, prevention of sexual harassment committee and Anti Ragging committee Upload any additional information Details of student grievances including sexual harassment and ragging cases

Key Indicator- 5.2 Student Progression (25)

Metric No.		Weight age				
5.2.1	Average percentage of placement of outgoing students during the last five years	10				
Q _n M	5.2.1.1: Number of outgoing students placed year wise during the last five years					
	Year					
	Number					
	 Data requirement for last five years (As per Data Template) Name of the employer with contact details Number of students placed Formula:					
	Formula:					
	Number of outgoing students placed					
	Percentage per year = Number of outgoing students X 100					
	Average percentage = $\frac{\sum_{\text{Percentage per year}} 5$					
	File Description (Upload)					
	Self attested list of students placedUpload any additional information					
	 Details of student placement during the last five years (Data Template) 					
5.2.2.	Average percentage of students progressing to higher education during the last five years	10				
Q _n M	5.2.2.1. Number of outgoing student progression to higher education					
	Data Requirement: (As per Data Template) Number of students proceeding from • UG to PG:					

	• PG to MPhil:							
	 PG t 	o PhD:						
		il to PhD:						
		to Post doc	toral:					
	♥ FIID	to Fost doc	wai.					
	Formula:							
	Number of Outgoing students							
	progressing to higher education							
	Percentage per year = $\frac{\text{progressing to higher education}}{\text{X}_{100}}$							
	Percentage per year = $\frac{\text{progressing to higher cuteation}}{\text{Total number of final year students}} X100$							
	File Description (Upload)							
	_	-	au) ng data for s	tudant/alum	ni			
	•		<u> </u>	tudent/aium	111			
	• Any	additional i	nformation					
	 Deta 	ils of studer	nt progressio	n to higher e	education (D	ata		
	Tem	plate)						
5.2.3.	Average per	centage of	students qua	ulifying in st	ate/national	/	5	
			ninations du					
	NET/SLET	GATE/ GM	IAT/CAT/G	RE/TOEFL	/ Civil Servi	ces/State		
	government	t examinatio	ons, etc.)					
Q_nM								
C	5.2.3.1. Nur	nber of stud	ents qualifyi	ng in state/ i	national/ inte	ernational		
			JAM/ NET/					
		_)) year wise		
	during last f		8,		,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
	during rase r	ive years						
	Year							
	Number							
	Nullibel							
	5 2 2 2 Num	nhan of atud	anta annaani	na in state/ n	otional/into	matianal		
			ents appearing					
		, ,	JAM/ NET /			· ·		
			State govern	nment exam	mations) yea	ir wise		
	during last f	ive years						
	T 7	I			1			
	Year							
	Number							
	-		ist five years	: (As per Da	ıta Template)		
	Number of s	students sele	ected to					
	 JAN. 	I						
	 NET 							
	• SLE	T						
	• GA7							
	• GM.							
	• CAT							
	• GRE							
	• TOE							
		l Services						
	• State	e governmer	nt examination	ons				

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

Formula:
Dargantaga man yaan —
Percentage per year = Number of students
qualifying in state,national,international level exams
Number of students appeared for the state, national, International level exams
Average percentage = $\frac{\sum_{\text{Percentage per year}} 5}{5}$
File Description (Upload)
 Upload supporting data for the same
Any additional information
Number of students qualifying in state/ national/international
level examinations during the last five years (Data Template)

Key Indicator- 5.3 Student Participation and Activities (45)

Metric							Weight	
No.							age	
5.3.1 Q _n M	Number of awards/medals for outstanding performance in sports/cultural activities at university/state/national / international level (award for a team event should be counted as one) during the last five years.							
	5.3.1.1: Number of awards/medals for outstanding performance in sports/cultural activities at university/state/national / international level (award for a team event should be counted as one) year wise during the last five years.							
	Year							
	Number							
	Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template) • Name of the award/ medal • University/State/National/ International • Sports/ Culture							
	File Descrip	otion (Uploa	ad)					
	e-copies of award letters and certificates							
	Any additional information							
	 Number of awards/medals for outstanding performance in sports/cultural activities at university/state/ national/international level during the last five year (Data Template) 							

5.3.2 Q ₁ M	various administrative, co-curricular and extracurricular activities following duly established processes and norms (student council, students representation on various bodies) Describe the students' representation and engagement in various administrative, co-curricular and extracurricular activities within a maximum of 500 words							05
	File Descri	ption						
			dditional in					
5.3.3.			litional info				. 1.1	20
5.3.3.	Average nu students of							20
	(organised					ire year.	,	
$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{M}$								
	5.3.3.1. Nur students of							
	Year Number							
	Number							
	Data Requir		last five yea competition	, .	Data T	[emplate])	
	Formula:							
	Number of in which stud	ents of the I	cultural event nstitution par ast 5 years 5					
	File Degarit	ntion						
	File Descri	ption ort of the e	vent					
	1		vent litional info	ormation				
	1	•			/compet	titions in	which	
	 Number of sports and cultural events/competitions in which students of the Institution participated during last five years 							
	(organised by the institution/other institutions (Data Template)							

Key Indicator- 5.4 Alumni Engagement (10)

Metric		Weight
No.		age
5.4.1	There is a registered Alumni Association that contributes significantly to the development of the institution through financial and/or other support services	5
Q_lM	Describe contribution of alumni association to the institution within a maximum of 500 words	

	File Description:						
	Paste link for additional information						
	Upload any additional information						
5.4.2	Alumni contribution during the last five years (INR in Lakhs)						
	Options:						
Q_nM	A. ≥ 5 Lakhs						
	B. 4 Lakhs - 5 Lakhs						
	C. 3 Lakhs - 4 Lakhs > Opt one						
	D. 1 Lakhs - 3 Lakhs						
	E. <1 Lakhs						
	Data Requirement for last five years (year wise):						
	Alumni association / Name of the alumnus						
	Quantum of contribution						
	Audited Statement of account of the institution reflecting the						
	receipts.						
	File Description						
	File Description						
	Upload any additional information						

Criterion 6- Governance, Leadership and Management (100)

Key Indicator- 6.1 Institutional Vision and Leadership (10)

Metric No.		Weight
6.1.1	The governance of the institution is reflective of and in tune with the vision and mission of the institution	age 5
Q_lM	Describe the vision and mission statement of the institution on the nature of governance, perspective plans and participation of the teachers in the decision making bodies of the institution within a maximum of 500 words	
	File Description	
	Paste link for additional information	
	Upload any additional information	
6.1.2	The effective leadership is visible in various institutional practices	5
	such as decentralization and participative management	
Q _l M	Describe a case study showing decentralization and participative management in the institution in practice within a maximum of 500 words	
	File Description	
	Paste link for additional information	
	Upload any additional information	

Key Indicator- 6.2 Strategy Development and Deployment (10)

Metric No.		Weight age
6.2.1	The institutional Strategic/ perspective plan is effectively deployed	
Q_lM	Describe one activity successfully implemented based on the strategic plan within a maximum of 500 words	2
ZI.	File Description	
	Strategic Plan and deployment documents on the website	
	Paste link for additional information	
	Upload any additional information	
6.2.2	The functioning of the institutional bodies is effective and efficient as visible from policies, administrative setup, appointment and service rules, procedures, etc.	4
$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{l}}\mathbf{M}$	Describe the Organogram of the Institution within a maximum 500 words	
	File Description	
	Paste link for additional information	
	Link to Organogram of the Institution webpage	
	Upload any additional information	
6.2.3.	Implementation of e-governance in areas of operation	4
Q_nM	 Administration Finance and Accounts Student Admission and Support 	
	4. Examination Options:	
	A. All of the above	
	B. Any 3 of the above	
	C. Any 2 of the above	
	D. Any 1 of the above	
	E. None of the above	
	Data Requirements: (As per Data Template)	
	Areas of e-governance	
	Administration	
	Finance and Accounts	
	Student Admission and Support Examination	
	 Name of the Vendor with contact details 	
	 Year of implementation 	
	File Description (Upload) • ERP (Enterprise Resource Planning) Document	
	• Screen shots of user interfaces	

•	Any additional information	
•	Details of implementation of e-governance in areas of operation,	
	Administration etc (Data Template)	

	Key Ind	icator- 6.3	3 Faculty 1	Empower	ment St	rategies (30)	
Metric No.							Weight age
6.3.1	The instituti teaching sta	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ctive welfar	e measures	for teachi	ng and non-	05
Q _l M	Provide the teaching state				teaching	and non-	
	File Descrip						
		e link for add ad any addi					
6.3.2 Q _n M	Upload any additional information Average percentage of teachers provided with financial support to attend conferences/workshops and towards membership fee of professional bodies during the last five years						10
	6.3.2.1. Number of teachers provided with financial support to attend conferences/workshops and towards membership fee of professional bodies year wise during the last five years						
	Year						
	Number						
	Nam supp	e of the teace e of confere ort provided e of the prof	cher ence/ worksh l	op attended	for which	n financial	
	Number of support to a	e per year = teachers pro ttend confere mbership fee	ences,worksh	ops and			
	Nu	mber of full t	ime teachers	X	100		
	Average pe	ercentage =	Percent	tage per yea	r –		

	File Descrip	otion:						
	_	ad any add	itional inf	ormation				
		ils of teach						
		erence, wor	kshops et	c during the	e last five	years (Data	
		plate)						
6.3.3	Average nui		-	_			_	5
	programs of	-		ution for to	eaching a	nd non	teaching	
	staff during	tne tast jiv	e years					
$\mathbf{Q_n}\mathbf{M}$	6.3.3.1. Tota	ıl number o	f profession	onal develo	pment /ac	dminist	rative	
C ==	training Pro							
	teaching star	ff year wise	during th	e last five	years			
	Year							
	Number							
	Doto Boguin	omant for 1	act fixe ve		"Doto To	mmlata'	`	
	Data Requir		•	` -		-		
	Title of the professional development Programme organised for teaching staff							
	 teaching staff Title of the administrative raining Programme organised for non- 							
	• Title of the administrative raining Programme organised for non-teaching staff							
	• Dates (From- to)							
	- Dates (From to)							
	Formula:							
	Total Number of professional development							
	Total Number of professional development or administrative training Programmes organized for							
	teaching and non teaching staff							
	during the last five years 5							
	5							
	File Description (Upload):							
	Reports of the Human Resource Development Centres (UGC)							
	ASC or other relevant centres).							
	Reports of Academic Staff College or similar centers							
	_			•				
	 Upload any additional information Details of professional development / administrative training 							
		rammes org		-			_	
	_	ning staff (I			isity for t	cuciiiig	, and non	
6.3.4	Average per		-		online/	face-to-	-face	5
	Faculty Dev	elopment I	Programm	nes (FDP) d	during th	e last fi	ve years	
			_	_				
Q_nM	(Professional Development Programmes, Orientation / Induction							
	Programmes, Refresher Course, Short Term Course etc.)							
	63.4.1 Total number of teachers attending professional development							
	6.3.4.1. Total number of teachers attending professional development Programmes viz., Orientation / Induction Programme, Refresher Course,							
	Short Term				_		iioi Course,	
	I DIKUL LULIU	Course vea	r wise diir	ing the last	five year	`S		

	Years								
	Nun Title	nber of teac e of the Propation (From	gramme -to) Fotal Numbe	· •	g staff)			
	Percentage per year = Number of full time teachers								
	Average percentage = $\frac{\sum_{\text{Percentage per year}} 5}{5}$								
	File Descri	ption							
	_	C report su	-						
	_		Human Resortered		oment Centre	es (UGC			
	• Uplo	oad any add	litional inform	mation					
	Details of teachers attending professional development programmes during the last five years (Data Template)								
6.3.5 Q ₁ M	Institutions Performance Appraisal System for teaching and non- teaching staff								
Qivi	Describe the functioning status of the Performance Appraisal System for teaching and non-teaching staff within a maximum of 500 words								
	File Descri	iption							
		-	dditional info	ormation					
	• Uplo	oad any add	litional infor	mation					

Key Indicator- 6.4 Financial Management and Resource Mobilization (20)

Metric		Weight
No.		age
6.4.1	Institution conducts internal and external financial audits regularly	6
Q_lM	Enumerate the various internal and external financial audits carried out during the last five years with the mechanism for settling audit objections within a maximum of 500 words	
	File Description	
	Paste link for additional information	
	Upload any additional information	

6.4.2	Funds / Grants received from non-government bodies, individuals, philanthropers during the last five years (not covered in Criterion III)				8		
Q _n M	6.4.2.1: Total Grants received from non-government bodies, individuals, Philanthropers year wise during the last five years (INR in Lakhs)						
	Year						
	INR in						
	Lakhs						
	 Data Requirement for last five years (As per Data Template) Name of the non-government bodies, individuals, Philanthropers Funds / Grants received File Description Annual statements of accounts Any additional information 						
	Details of Funds / Grants received from of the non-government bodies, individuals, Philanthropers during the last five years (Data Template)						
6.4.3	Institutional strategies for mobilisation of funds and the optimal					6	
	utilisation o	f resources					
Q_lM	Describe the management mobilization will be and management of the						
	Describe the resource mobilisation policy and procedures of the Institution within a maximum of 500 words						
	institution v	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		.0 ,, 0145			
	File Descrip	otion					
	• Paste	e link for ad	lditional info	ormation			
	• Uplo	ad any addi	itional infor	mation			

Key Indicator- 6.5 Internal Quality Assurance System (30)

Metric		Weight
No.		age
6.5.1	Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) has contributed significantly for institutionalizing the quality assurance strategies and processes	10
Q_lM		
	Describe two practices institutionalized as a result of IQAC initiatives within a maximum of 500 words	
	File Description	
	Paste link for additional information	
	Upload any additional information	
6.5.2	The institution reviews its teaching learning process, structures & methodologies of operations and learning outcomes at periodic	10
	intervals through IQAC set up as per norms and recorded the	
$\mathbf{Q_l}\mathbf{M}$	incremental improvement in various activities	
	(For first cycle - Incremental improvements made for the preceding	

	five years with regard to quality				
	For second and subsequent cycles - Incremental improvements made for the preceding five years with regard to quality and post accreditation quality initiatives)				
	Describe any two examples of institutional reviews and implementation of teaching learning reforms facilitated by the IQAC within a maximum of 500 words each				
	File Description • Paste link for additional information • Upload any additional information				
6.5.3	Quality assurance initiatives of the institution include:	10			
Q _n M	 Regular meeting of Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC); Feedback collected, analysed and used for improvements Collaborative quality intitiatives with other institution(s) Participation in NIRF any other quality audit recognized by state, national or international agencies (ISO Certification NBA) 				
	agencies (ISO Certification, NBA) Options:				
	A. All of the above				
	B. Any 3 of the above				
	C. Any 2 of the above				
	D. Any 1 of the above				
	E. None of the above				
	Data Requirement for last five years: (As per Data Template Quality initiatives				
	 AQARs prepared/ submitted Collaborative quality initiatives with other institution(s) Participation in NIRF 				
	Any other quality audit recognized by state, national or international agencies (ISO Certification, NBA)				
	File Description				
	Paste web link of Annual reports of Institution Unload a copies of the aggreditations and cartifications.				
	Upload e-copies of the accreditations and certifications Upload any additional information				
	Upload any additional informationUpload details of Quality assurance initiatives of the				
	institution(Data Template)				

Criterion VII – Institutional Values and Best Practices (100)

Key Indicator - 7.1 Institutional Values and Social Responsibilities (50)

Metric No.		Weightage
	Gender Equity	

7.1.1	Measures initiated by the Institution for the promotion of gender equity during the last five years.	5
QıM	Describe gender equity & sensitization in curricular and co-curricular activities, facilities for women on campus etc., within 500 words	
	Provide Web link to:	
	Annual gender sensitization action plan	
	• Specific facilities provided for women in terms of:	
	a) Safety and security	
	b) Counselling	
	c) Common Rooms	
	d) Day care center for young children	
	e) Any other relevant information	
	Environmental Consciousness and Sustainability	
7.1.2 Q _n M	The Institution has facilities for alternate sources of energy and energy conservation measures	5
	1. Solar energy 2. Biogas plant 3. Wheeling to the Grid 4. Sensor-based energy conservation 5. Use of LED bulbs/ power efficient equipment Options:	
	A. 4 or All of the above	
	B. Any 3 of the above C. Any 2 of the above	
	D. Any 1 of the above	
	E. None of the above	
	Upload:	
	Geotagged Photographs	
	Any other relevant information	
7.1.3	Describe the facilities in the Institution for the management of the following	4
Q_lM	 types of degradable and non-degradable waste (within 500 words) Solid waste management 	
	 Liquid waste management 	
	Biomedical waste management	
	• E-waste management	
	Waste recycling system	
	Hazardous chemicals and radioactive waste management	
	Provide web link to	

QnM	<i>institution</i>7.1.6.1. The institutional environment and energy initiatives are confirmed	
7.1.6	Quality audits on environment and energy are regularly undertaken by the	5
	 Various policy documents / decisions circulated for implementation Any other relevant documents 	
	Geotagged photos / videos of the facilities Various policy documents / decisions circulated for implementation	
	Upload	
	E. None of the above	
	D. Any 1 of the above	
	B. Any 3 of the above C. Any 2 of the above	
	A. Any 4 or All of the above	
	Options:	
	5. landscaping with trees and plants	
	3. Pedestrian Friendly pathways4. Ban on use of Plastic	
	2. Use of Bicycles/ Battery powered vehicles	
$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{M}$	Restricted entry of automobiles	
OM	7.1.5.1. The institutional initiatives for greening the campus are as follows:	
7.1.5	Green campus initiatives include (4)	4
	Geotagged photographs / videos of the facilitiesAny other relevant information	
	-	
	Upload :	
	L. I tolle of the moore	
	D. Any 1 of the above E. None of the above	
	C. Any 2 of the above	
	B. Any 3 of the above	
	Options: A. Any 4 or all of the above	
	4. Waste water recycling5. Maintenance of water bodies and distribution system in the campus	
	3. Construction of tanks and bunds	
$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{M}$	2. Borewell /Open well recharge	
ОМ	Rain water harvesting	
7.1.4	Water conservation facilities available in the Institution:	4
	Any other relevant information	
	 Geotagged photographs of the facilities 	
	 Relevant documents like agreements/MoUs with Government and other approved agencies 	
	Palayant documents like agreements/MoUs with Covernment and other	

	through the following				
	through the following				
	1.Green audit				
	2. Energy audit				
	3.Environment audit				
	4.Clean and green campus recognitions/awards				
	5. Beyond the campus environmental promotional activities				
	Options:				
	A. Any 4 or all of the above				
	B. Any 3 of the above				
	C. Any 2 of the above				
	D. Any 1 of the above				
	E. None of the above				
	2. I tone of the troote				
	Upload:				
	Reports on environment and energy audits submitted by the auditing				
	agency				
	 Certification by the auditing agency 				
	Certificates of the awards received				
	Any other relevant information				
7.1.7	The Institution has disabled-friendly, barrier free environment	4			
7.1.7	1. Built environment with ramps/lifts for easy access to classrooms.	4			
$\mathbf{O}\mathbf{M}$	2. Disabled-friendly washrooms				
Q_nM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	3. Signage including tactile path, lights, display boards and signposts				
	4. Assistive technology and facilities for persons with disabilities (
	Divyangjan) accessible website, screen-reading software, mechanized				
	equipment				
	5. Provision for enquiry and information: Human assistance, reader,				
	scribe, soft copies of reading material, screen reading				
	Options:				
	A. Any 4 or all of the above				
	B. Any 3 of the above				
	C. Any 2 of the above				
	D. Any 1 of the above				
	E. None of the above				
	Upload:				
	 Geotagged photographs / videos of the facilities 				
	 Policy documents and information brochures on the support to be 				
	provided				
	 Details of the Software procured for providing the assistance 				
	Any other relevant information				
	- 1 my other relevant information				
	Inclusion and Situatedness				
7.1.8	Describe the Institutional efforts/initiatives in providing an inclusive	5			
	environment i.e., tolerance and harmony towards cultural, regional,	_			
Q_lM	linguistic, communal socioeconomic and other diversities (within 500 words).				
ZI.T.	(in the second s				

	D '1 W/11'1.		
	Provide Web link to: Supporting documents on the information provided (as reflected in the		
	• Supporting documents on the information provided (as reflected in the administrative and academic activities of the Institution)		
	Any other relevant information.		
	1 22.1		
	Human Values and Professional Ethics		
7.1.9	Sensitization of students and employees of the Institution to the constitutional	4	
	obligations: values, rights, duties and responsibilities of citizens		
$\mathbf{Q_l}\mathbf{M}$			
	Describe the various activities in the Institution for inculcating values for being		
	responsible citizens as reflected in the Constitution of India within 500 words.		
	Provide weblink to:		
	 Details of activities that inculcate values; necessary to render students 		
	in to responsible citizens		
	Any other relevant information		
7.1.10	The Institution has a presembed and of conduct for students togethers	5	
Q_nM	The Institution has a prescribed code of conduct for students, teachers, administrators and other staff and conducts periodic programmes in this	3	
Zu.v.	regard.		
	1. The Code of Conduct is displayed on the website		
	2. There is a committee to monitor adherence to the Code of Conduct		
	3. Institution organizes professional ethics programmes for students,		
	teachers, administrators and other staff		
	4. Annual awareness programmes on Code of Conduct are organized		
	Options:		
	A. All of the above		
	B. Any 3 of the above		
	C. Any 2 of the above		
	D. Any 1 of the above E. None of the above		
	E. None of the above		
	Upload:		
	Code of ethics policy document		
	Details of the monitoring committee composition and minutes of the		
	committee meeting, number of programmes organized, reports on the various		
	programs etc., in support of the claims.		
	Any other relevant information		

7.1.11 Q _l M	Institution celebrates / organizes national and international commemorative days, events and festivals	5
	Describe the efforts of the Institution in celebrating /organizing national and international commemorative days, events and festivals during the last five years within 500 words	
	Provide weblink to: • Annual report of the celebrations and commemorative events for the last five years • Geotagged photographs of some of the events • Any other relevant information	

Key Indicator - 7.2 Best Practices (30)

Metric		Weightage
No.		
7.2.1	Describe two best practices successfully implemented by the Institution as	30
$\mathbf{Q_l}\mathbf{M}$	per NAAC format provided in the Manual.	
	Provide web link to:	
	Best practices in the Institutional web site	
	Any other relevant information	

Note:

Format for Presentation of Best Practices

1. Title of the Practice

This title should capture the keywords that describe the practice.

2. Objectives of the Practice

What are the objectives / intended outcomes of this "best practice" and what are the underlying principles or concepts of this practice (in about 100 words)?

3. The Context

What were the contextual features or challenging issues that needed to be addressed in designing and implementing this practice (in about 150 words)?

4. The Practice

Describe the practice and its uniqueness in the context of India higher education. What were the constraints / limitations, if any, faced (in about 400 words)?

5. Evidence of Success

Provide evidence of success such as performance against targets and benchmarks, review/results. What do these results indicate? Describe in about 200 words.

6. Problems Encountered and Resources Required

Please identify the problems encountered and resources required to implement the practice (in about 150 words).

7. Notes (Optional)

Please add any other information that may be relevant for adopting/implementing the Best Practice in other Institutions (in about 150 words).

Any other information regarding Institutional Values and Best Practices which the university would like to include.

Key Indicator - 7.3 Institutional Distinctiveness (20)

Metric		Weightage
No.		
7.3.1	Portray the performance of the Institution in one area distinctive to its	20
	priority and thrust within 1000 words	
Q_lM		
	Provide web link to:	
	Appropriate web in the Institutional website	
	Any other relevant information	

5. Data Templates / Documents

(Quantitative Metrics)

The online formats (Templates) for submitting data with respect to Quantitative Metrics (Q_nM) are given in consecutive pages.

Kindly Note:

For each Quantitative Metric the kinds of data to be uploaded are indicated in tabular form and/ or documents required are listed.

- Documents such as minutes of meeting, decisions, statements of accounts, award letters, letters of appointments, etc., need to be uploaded as required; wherever these are in bulk, hyperlinks to the appropriate website be given.
- There could be some variation in the metrics from the QIF; this is due to rendering it to the IT format for online submission.
- The list of documents to be uploaded is only suggestive. If the Institution has any other relevant documents to substantiate its claims, the same may also be uploaded.

UG Colleges

Data Templates/ Documents - Quantitative Metrics (Q_nM)

Criterion I Curricular Aspects (100)						
Key Indicator - 1.1 Curricular Planning and Implementation (20)						
1.1.3 Teachers of the Institution	participate in following activities rel	ated to curriculum development	and assessment of the affiliating University and/a	re represented on the following academic	bodies during the last five years (5)	
 Academic council/BoS of Affiliating university Setting of question papers for UG/PG programs Design and Development of Curriculum for Add on/ certificate/ Diploma Courses Assessment /evaluation process of the affiliating University 						
Year	Name of teacher participated		Name of the body in which full time teach	Name of the body in which full time teacher participated		
Key Indicator - 1.2 Academic I						
	which Choice Based Credit System (You go a land of the good of	T*14.40	
Programme Code	Programme name	Year of Introduction	Status of implementation of CBCS / elective course system (Yes/No)	Year of implementation of CBCS / elective course system	Link to the relevant document	

 $1.2.2\ Number\ of\ Add\ on\ / Certificate\ programs\ offered\ during\ the\ last\ five\ years\ (10)$

1.2.3 Average percentage of students enrolled in Add-on/Certificate programs as against the total number of students during the last five years (10)

ourse Code (if any)	Year of offering	Year -1 No. of times offered during the same year	Duration of course	Number of students enrolled in the year	Number of Students completing the course in the
				ine jeni	year
	i				
	"	Year 2			
ourse Code (if any)	Year of offering	No. of times offered during the same year	Duration of course	Number of students enrolled in the year	Number of Students completing the course in the year
					year
		Year 3			
ourse Code (if any)	Year of offering	No. of times offered during the same year	Duration of course	Number of students enrolled in the year	Number of Students completing the course in the year
	I	Year 4			
ourse Code (if any)	Year of offering	No. of times offered during the same year	Duration of course	Number of students enrolled in the year	Number of Students completing the course in the year
					year
		Year 5			
ourse Code (if any)	Year of offering	No. of times offered during the same year	Duration of course	Number of students enrolled in the year	Number of Students completing the course in the year
	ourse Code (if any) ourse Code (if any)	ourse Code (if any) Year of offering ourse Code (if any) Year of offering	Ourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year Year 3 Ourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year Year 4 Ourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year Year 5 Ourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year	Ourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year Year 3 Ourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year No. of times offered during the same year Year 4 Ourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year Year 4 Ourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year Year 5 Ourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year	Ourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year Year 3 Ourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year Nourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year Year 4 Ourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year Nourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year Nourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year Nourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year Nourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the same year Nourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the year Nourse Code (if any) Year of offering No. of times offered during the year of yea

Key Indicator - 1.3 Curriculum Enrichment (30)

1.3.2 Average percentage of courses that include experiential learning through project work/field work/internship during last five years (10)

Program name	Program	code		ne Course that in roject work/field	clude experiential learning work/internship	Course code	Year of offering	Name of the student studied course on experiential learning through project work/field work/internship		Link to the relevant document	
1.3.3 Percentage	of students	undertaking	g project work/	field work/interns	hip (Data for the latest comple	ted academic year)	(10)	•			
Programme nam	ne	Program	Code	List of student	s undertaking project work/f	field work/internsh	ip		Link to the rel	evant document	
* To check with	SOP if the s	ame studen	t can be counte	ed more than once							
Criterion II Tea	ching-Lear	ning and E	valuation (350))							
Key Indicator - 2											
2.1.1 Average enr											
2.1.1 Tiverage cm	onnent i ere	centage (21v	cruge or last in	ve years) (20)		Year -	1				
Programme nam	Programme name Programme Code		ode	Number of seats sanctioned				Numb	Number of Students admitted		
						Year -	2				
							2		1	00.	
Programme nam	ıe	ŀ	Programme Co	ode	Number of seats sanctioned				Number of Students admitted		
						X 7	2				
		T			1	Year -	3				
Programme nam	ne	F	Programme Co	ode	Number of seats sanctioned		Numb	Number of Students admitted			
						Year -	<u> </u>				
Duognommo	•	T	Dungmamme C	a da	Number of costs cor-+		T		N1	on of Ctudonta admitted	
Programme nam	Programme name Programme Code		oae	Number of seats sanctioned			Numo	Number of Students admitted			
						Year -	5				
Programme nam	ne	F	Programme Co	ode	Number of seats sanctione	d			Numb	per of Students admitted	
2.1.2 Average pe	ercentage of	seats filled	against seats re	eserved for variou	s categories (SC, ST, OBC, Di	ivyangjan, etc. as pe	r applicable re	servation policy)	during the last fiv	ve years (exclusive of super	numerary seats) (20)

Manual for Affiliated/Constituent UG & PG Colleges Number of seats earmarked for reserved category as per GOI or State Government rule Number of students admitted from the reserved category SC ST OBC Gen Others SC ST OBC Gen Others Year * In case of Minority Institutions, the column Others may be used and the status of reservation for minorities specified along with supporting documents. Key Indicator - 2.4 Teacher Profile and Quality (60) 2.4.1 Average percentage of full time teachers against sanctioned posts during the last five years (20) 2.4.3 Average teaching experience of full time teachers in the same institution (Data for the latest completed academic year in number of years) (20) Name of the Full-Nature of appointment Name of the Department PAN Designation Year of appointment Total years of Experience in the Is the teacher still serving the (Against Sanctioned post, time teacher same institution institution / If not last year of the temporary, permanent) service of Faculty to the Institution * Also to be used for verification of teacher data for metric 2.2.2 & 2.3.3 2.4.2 Average percentage of full time teachers with Ph.D. / D.M. / M.Ch. / D.N.B Super speciality / D.Sc. / D.Litt. during the last five years (consider only highest degree for count) (20) Name of full time teacher with Qualification (Ph.D./D.M/M.Ch./D.N.B Super speciality/D.Sc./D'Lit) and Year of Is the teacher still serving the institution/If not last year of the service of Faculty to the Ph.D./D.M/M.Ch./D.N.B Super obtaining Institution speciality/D.Sc./D'Lit.

Key Indicator - 2.6 Student Performance and Learning Outcomes (40)										
2.6.3 Aver	2.6.3 Average pass percentage of Students during last five years (30)									
Year	Program Code	Program Name	Number of students appeared in the final year examination	Number of students passed in final year examination						

2.7 Student Satisfaction Survey (60)

2.7.1 Online student satisfaction survey regard to teaching learning process (all currently enrolled students). (Online survey to be conducted and details of the students in the format mentioned below should be uploaded). (60)

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

Manual for Affiliated/Constituent UG & PG Colleges Name of the State of Domicile Nationality if other than Indian Email ID Program name Unique Year of joining Gender Category student **Enrolment ID** Number / College ID/ University enrolment number Criterion III – Research, Innovations and Extension (110) Key Indicator - 3.1 Resource Mobilization for Research (15) 3.1.1 Grants received from Government and non-governmental agencies for research projects, endowments in the institution during the last five years (INR in Lakhs) (5) 3.1.2 Percentage of departments having Research projects funded by government and non government agencies during the last five years (5) Name of the Project/ Name of the Principal Investigator/Co-Department of Name of the Funding Year of **Amount Sanctioned Duration of the Endowments, Chairs** investigator **Principal Investigator** Award project Agency Type (Government/non-Government) 3.1.3 Number of Seminars/conferences/workshops conducted by the institution during the last five years (5) Year Name of the workshop/ seminar/conference **Number of Participants** Date From - To Link to the Activity report on the website Key Indicator - 3.2 Research Publication and Awards (15) 3.2.1 Number of papers published per teacher in the Journals notified on UGC website during the last five years (5) Title of paper Name of the author/s Department of the teacher Name of journal Year of publication ISSN number Link to the recognition in UGC enlisted Journal / Digital Object Identifier (doi) Number

3.2.2 Number of books and chapters in edited volumes/books published and papers published in national/ international conference proceedings per teacher during last five years (10)

Sl. No	Name of the teacher	Title of the book/chapters published	Title of the paper	Title of the proceedings of t conference	Name of the conference	National / International	Year of publication	ISBN/ISSN number of the proceeding	Affiliating Institute at the time of publication	Name of the publisher
Key Indi	icator - 3.3- Exten	sion Activities (60)								
3.3.2 Nu	mber of awards and	1 recognitions received	for extension activities f	rom government /g	overnment recognised b	odies during the last fiv	ve years (10)			
Name of	the activity	Name of the Av Institution	ward/ recognition for	Name o	f the Awarding govern	ment/ government rec	cognised bodies		Year of award	
	erage percentage of		Organising unit/ agency/		Name of the scheme	Year of	f the activity	Number of studer	nts participated in such activition	es
•	icator - 3.4 Collab									
3.4.1 The Institution has severa Sl. No. Title of the collaborative activity		llaborative agency with contact details					Duration Nature of the activity		Link to the relevant document	

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

Name of the institution/ industry/ corporate house with whom MoU is signed			Year of signing MoU	Dura		List the actual activities under each MOU and web-links year-wise	Number of students/teachers participated under MoUs
Criterion IV	- Infrastructure and Learning Resources (100)						
Key Indicato	r - 4.1 Physical Facilities (30)						
4.1.3 Percenta	ge of classrooms and seminar halls with ICT - enable	d facilities such as sm	nart class, LMS, etc. (10)				
Room number wifi/LAN fact	r or Name of classrooms/Seminar Hall with LCD ilities	/ Type of ICT	facility		Link to	geo tagged photos and master time table	
	percentage of expenditure, excluding salary for infra oort facilities) excluding salary component during the			INR in Lakhs) (10) & 4.4.1	Average percentage of expenditure incurred on	maintenance of infrastructure (physical and
Year	Budget allocated for infrastructure augmentation	Expenditure for in augmentation	frastructure	Total expendi excluding Sala		Expenditure on maintenance of academic facilities (excluding salary for human resources)	Expenditure on maintenance of physical facilities (excluding salary for human resources)

Key Indicator - 4.2 Library as a Learning Resource (20)

4.2.2 The institution has subscription for the following e-resources (6)
1. e-journals, 2. e-ShodhSindhu, 3. Shodhganga membersip, 4. e-books, 5. Databases, 6. Remote access to e- resources

4.2.3 Average annual expenditure for purchase of b	books/ e-books and subscription to j	ournals/e-journals during the last five	years (INR in Lakhs) (5)						
Year 1									
Library resources	If yes, details of memberships/subscriptions	Expenditure on subscription to e-journals, e-books (INR in lakhs)	Expenditure on subscription to other e-resources (INR in lakhs)	Total Library Expenditure	Link to the relevant document				
Books									
Journals									
e – journals									
e-books									
e-ShodhSindhu									
Shodhganga									
Databases									
Local and / or Remote access to e- resources (Specify)									
		Year	2						
Library resources	If yes, details of memberships/subscriptions	Expenditure on subscription to e-journals, e-books (INR in lakhs)	Expenditure on subscription to other e-resources (INR in lakhs)	Total Library Expenditure	Link to the relevant document				
Books									
Journals									
e – journals									
e-books									
e-ShodhSindhu									
Shodhganga									
Databases									
Local and / or Remote access to e- resources (Specify)		Year	3						
		1 ear	J						

Library resources	If yes, details of memberships/subscriptions	Expenditure on subscription to e-journals, e-books (INR in lakhs)	Expenditure on subscription to other e-resources (INR in lakhs)	Total Library Expenditure	Link to the relevant document				
Books									
Journals									
e – journals									
e-books									
e-ShodhSindhu									
Shodhganga									
Databases									
Local and / or Remote access to e- resources (Specify)									
		Year	4						
Library resources	If yes, details of memberships/subscriptions	Expenditure on subscription to e-journals, e-books (INR in lakhs)	Expenditure on subscription to other e-resources (INR in lakhs)	Total Library Expenditure	Link to the relevant document				
Books									
Journals									
e – journals									
e-books									
e-ShodhSindhu									
Shodhganga									
Databases									
Local and / or Remote access to e- resources (Specify)									
	Year 5								

Total Library

Expenditure

Link to the relevant document

Expenditure on subscription to other e-resources (INR in lakhs)

Expenditure on subscription to e-journals, e-books (INR in

lakhs)

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

If yes, details of memberships/subscriptions

Library resources

Books

					<u>Manı</u>	ual for 1	Affiliated/(<u> Constitue</u>	ent UG	& PG College:	<u>s</u>			
5 1 Λ Δν	erage percentage of students benefit	tted by guidance for a	competitive eva	minations and	career councelling	offered by th	he institution du	ring the last	five years (10)				
J.1.4 AV	stage percentage of students benefit	ned by guidance for c	Joinpetitive exai	illiations and c	areer counselling c	mered by th	ne institution du	ring the last	live years (10)				
Year	Name of the Activity conducted examinations offered by the inst	by the HEI to offer titution during the la	Name of the counselling	e Activity c offered by	conducted by the institution	e HEI to of during the l	fer guidanc last five year	e for career rs		er of students I through campus nent	Link to the relevand document	nt		
	Name of the Activity	Number of participate	f students atten ed	ıded /	Details of ca	areer couns	selling		ımber of stu rticipated	idents attended /				
Key Indi	icator - 5.2 Student Progression (3	30)												
5.2.1 Ave	erage percentage of placement of ou	itgoing students durin	ng the last five y	/ears (10)										
Year	Name of student placed	and contact details		Program gr	ram graduated from Name of the employer				ver with contact details			Pay package at appointment		
5 2 2 A	erage percentage of students progre	:	-41 4141-	- 1+ C:	(15)									
5.2.2 AV	arage percentage of students progre	ssing to nigher educa	ation during the	: last live years	(15)									
Name of educatio	student enrolling into higher on	Program gr	raduated from		Name of institut	ion joined			Name	e of programme adr	nitted to			
5.2.3 Ave	erage percentage of students qualify	ving in state/national/	international le	evel examinatio	ns during the last fi	ive years (e	g: JAM/GATE/	CLAT/GMA	AT/CAT/GR	E/ TOEFL/ Civil Ser	vices/State	government examina	tions, etc.) (5)	
0.2.011	auge percentage of statemed quality	ing in suite initiality			as during the rust in	ve jems (eg	5. 0.11.12	02.11, 0.11.	11, 0111, 010	3 10212 011120	, rees, state	go vermient eminin	13013, 6161)	
Year	Registration number/roll number for the exam	Names of students selected/ qualified												

Manual for Affiliated/Constituent UG & PG Colleges NET SLET GATE **GMAT** CAT GRE JAM **IELTS** TOEFL Civil Other examinations State Services governmen conducted by the State / **Central Government** examinatio Agencies (Specify) ns Instruction: Please do not include individual university's entrance examination. Key Indicator - 5.3 Student Participation and Activities (50 5.3.1 Number of awards/medals for outstanding performance in sports/cultural activities at university/state/national / international level (award for a team event should be counted as one) during the last five years.(20) Name of the award/ medal Team / Individual University/State/National/ International Sports/ Cultural Name of the student Year 5.3.3 Average number of sports and cultural activities/events in which students of the Institution participated during last five years (organised by the institution/other institutions)(20) Date of event/activity (DD-MM-YYYY) Name of the event/activity Name of the student participated Note: Classify the data and provide year wise Criterion VI – Governance, Leadership and Management (100) **Key Indicator - 6.2 Strategy Development and Deployment (10)** 6.2.3 Implementation of e-governance in areas of operation (4) 1. Administration, 2. Finance and Accounts, 3. Student Admission and Support, 4. Examination Name of the Vendor with contact details Areas of e governance Year of implementation Administration

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

Finance and Accounts

					<u>Man</u>	ual for	Affiliate	d/Co	onstituent UG & PG Coll	eges	
Student Adm	ission and Support										
Examination											
Kev Indicato	or - 6.3 Faculty Empowermo	ent Strategie	es (30)								
•	• •	_		d conferences/wo	rkshop and toward	s members	ship fee of pro	ofession	nal bodies during the last five years (1	0)	
					1						
Year	Name of teacher		f conference/ worksh provided	op attended for v	vhich financial	Name of provided		onal bo	ody for which membership fee is	Amount of	support received (in INR)
6 2 2 A		-1			. 1 1 41	- <i>C</i>		1-:	-4-ff 1		
6.3.3 Average	e number of professional dev	elopment /ad	ministrative training	programs organize	ed by the institution	n for teachi	ng and non te	eacning	staff during the last five years (5)		
Dates (from-	to) (DD-MM-YYYY)		Title of the profession teaching staff	nal development	program organis	sed for	Title of the teaching st		nistrative training program organise	ed for non-	No. of participants
Note: Classif	y the data and provide year w	vise									
	e percentage of teachers unde Development Programmes, (
Name of tead	ther who attended			Title of the pro	gram				Duration (from – to) (DD-MM-YY	YYY)	
Note: Classif	y the data and provide year v	vise									
Key Indicate	or - 6.4 Financial Managem	ent and Res	ource Mobilization (2	30)							
6.4.2 Funds /	Grants received from non-go	vernment bo	dies, individuals, phil	anthropers during	the last five years	(not covere	ed in Criterion	i III) (8	8)		
Year	Name of the non go funding agencies/ in		Purpose of the Gra	ant	Funds/ Grants (lakhs)	received (I	NR in	Link	to Audited Statement of Accounts	reflecting the	e receipts

Key Indicator - 6.5 Internal Quality Assurance System (30)

6.5.3 Quality assurance initiatives of the institution include:(10)

- 1. Regular meeting of Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC); Feedback collected, analysed and used for improvements 2. Collaborative quality initiatives with other institution(s)
- 3. Participation in NIRF
- 4...any other quality audit recognized by state, national or international agencies (ISO Certification, NBA)

Year	Conferences, Seminars, Workshops on quality conducted	Academic Administrative Audit (AAA) and initiation of follow up action	Participation in NIRF along with Status.	ISO Certification. and nature and validity period	NBA or any other certification received with program specifications.	Collaborative quality initiatives with other institution(s) (Provide name of the institution and activity	Orientation programme on quality issues for teachers and students organised by the institution, Date (From-To) (DD- MM-YYYY)

148

PG Colleges

Data Templates/ Documents - Quantitative Metrics (Q_nM)

Criterion i Curriculai Asj	JCC13 (100)										
Key Indicator - 1.1 Curric	ular Planning and l	npleme	ntation (20)								
1.1.6 Teachers of the Institution last five years (5)	ution participate in fo	lowing	activities related to curr	iculum deve	elopment and assess	ment of the affiliating	University and/are represented on t	he following academic bodies during the			
Academic council/BoS of Setting of question paper. Design and Developmen Assessment /evaluation p	s for UG/PG progran t of Curriculum for A	s dd on/ c		rses							
Year	Name of teache	partici	pated	Name	of the body in whic	h full time teacher pa	rticipated				
	•			*							
Key Indicator - 1.2 Acade	Key Indicator - 1.2 Academic Flexibility (30)										
1.2.1 Percentage of program	ns in which Choice B	sed Cre	dit System (CBCS)/elec	tive course	system has been imp	lemented (10)					
Programme Code	Programme name		Year of Introductio	n	Link to the relevant document						
1.2.2 Number of Add on /C	ertificate programs of	fered du	ring the last five years (10)							
1.2.3 Average percentage of	students enrolled in	Add-on/	Certificate programs as	against the	total number of stude	ents during the last five	years (10)				
					Year -1						
Name of Add on /Certifica programs offered	Name of Add on /Certificate or ograms offered (if any) Year of offering the same year No. of times offered during the same year No. of times offered during the same year No. of times offered during the same year No. of times offered during the same year Number of Students completing the course in the year										
					Year 2						
Name of Add on /Certifica programs offered	Course Cod (if any)	Yea	0	No. of times he same yea	offered during ar	Duration of course	Number of students enrolled in the year	Number of Students completing the course in the year			
		· ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

							ear 3	<u>iui joi 1</u>	<u> 1,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	<u>/Constituent</u>	<u> </u>	<u>G Coneges</u>
Name of Add on /Cer programs offered	tificate	Course Code (if any)	Year of o	ffering	No. of tin	nes offered durir		Duratio	n of course	Number of stude enrolled in the ye		Number of Students completing the course in the year
Name of Add on /Cer programs offered	tificate	Course Code (if any)	Year of o	ffering	No. of tin	nes offered durir	ear 4	Duration	n of course	Number of stude enrolled in the ye		Number of Students completing the course in the year
						Ye	ear 5					
Name of Add on /Cer programs offered	tificate	Course Code (if any)	Year of o	ffering	No. of tin	mes offered durir year	ng	Duration	n of course	Number of stude enrolled in the ye		Number of Students completing the course in the year
Key Indicator - 1.3 C	urriculum	Enrichment (30	0)									
1.3.2 Average percenta	age of cours	ses that include e	experiential le	arning through	project wo	ork/field work/inte	rnship	during las	t five vears (1	0)		
Program name	Program	r code N	Name of the experiential l	Course that incearning through the work/inte	lude h	Course code	Year offer	r of	Name of the experientia	e student studied co I learning through work/internship		Link to the relevant document
1.22 P	1		1 /0" 11	10. 11. 2	S	1 1			10)			
1.3.3 Percentage of stu	udents unde			* .		-		•				
Programme name		Program Cod	le	List of studen	ts underta	aking project wo	rk/fielo	d work/int	ernship		Link to t	he relevant document

Criterion II Teaching-Learning and Evaluation (350)

Key Indicator - 2.1 Student	Enrolment and Profile (40)		, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
2.1.1 Average enrolment Per	centage (Average of last five years)	(20)		
		Year - 1		
Programme name	Programme Code	Number of seats sanctioned	Number of Students admitted	
		Year - 2		
Programme name	Programme Code	Number of seats sanctioned	Number of Students admitted	
		Year - 3		
Programme name	Programme Code	Number of seats sanctioned	Number of Students admitted	
		Year - 4		
Programme name	Programme Code	Number of seats sanctioned	Number of Students admitted	
		Year - 5		
Programme name	Programme Code	Number of seats sanctioned	Number of Students admitted	

2.1.2 Average percentage of seats filled against seats reserved for various categories (SC, ST, OBC, Divyangjan, etc. as per applicable reservation policy) during the last five years (exclusive of supernumerary seats) (20)

	Number of rule	f seats ear	marked for res	served category a	s per GOI or State Government	Number of students admitted from the reserved category							
Year	SC ST OBC Gen Others					sc	ST	OBC	Gen	Others			

^{*} In case of Minority Institutions, the column others may be used and the status of reservation for minorities specified along with supporting documents.

Key Indicator - 2.4 Teacher Profile and Quality (60)

2.4.1 Average percentage of full time teachers against sanctioned posts during the last five years (20)

2.4.3 Average teaching experience of full time teachers in the same institution (Data for the latest completed academic year in number of years) (20)

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

Name of the Full-time teacher	PAN	Designation	Year of appointment	Nature of appointment (Against Sanctioned post, temporary, permanent)	Name of the Department	Total years of Experience in the same institution	Is the teacher still serving the institution/If not last year of the service of Faculty to the Institution

^{*} Also to be used for verification of teacher data for metric 2.2.2 & 2.3.3

2.4.2 Average percentage of full time	4.2 Average percentage of full time teachers with Ph.D. / D.M. / M.Ch. / D.N.B Superspeciality / D.Sc. / D.Litt. during the last five years (consider only highest degree for count) (20)												
3.1.2 Percentage of teachers recognize	1.2 Percentage of teachers recognized as research guides (latest completed academic year) (5)												
3.3.1 Number of Ph.Ds registered per	3.1 Number of Ph.Ds registered per eligible teacher during the last five years(5)												
Name of full time teacher with Ph.D./D.M/M.Ch./ D.N.B Superspeciality/ D.Sc./D'Lit.	Qualification (Ph.D./D.M/M.Ch./ D.N.B Superspeciality/ D.Sc./D'Lit.) and Year of obtaining	Whether recognised as research Guide for Ph.D./D.M/M.Ch./ D.N.B Superspeciality/ D.Sc./D'Lit.	Year of Recognition as Research Guide	Is the teacher still serving the institution/If not last year of the service of Faculty to the Institution	Name of the scholar	Year of registratio n of the scholar	Title of the thesis for scholar						

	ntor - 2.6 Student Performance a	8	60)	
Year	Program Code	Program Name	Number of students appeared in the final year examination	Number of students passed in final year examination

2.7 Student Satisfaction	Survey (60)											
2.7.1 Online student satisf	faction survey regard t	o teaching learni	ng process (all cu	rrently enrolled students).								
(Online survey to be cond	Online survey to be conducted and details of the students in the format mentioned below should be uploaded). (60)											
X		La				~	T		T ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** **			
Name of the student	Gender	Category	State of	Nationality if other	Email ID	Program	Unique Enrolment ID /	Mobile	Year of joining			
			Domicile	than Indian		name	College ID/	Number				
							University enrolment					
							number					

							\underline{M}	<u>[anual j</u>	or Affilia	ted/Cons	<u>tituent</u>	UG & PG Co	<u>lleges</u>	
C!4!	. III D	T	-4: J E-4-	(120)		•		•				•		
			ations and Exte											
			obilization for l											
3.1.1 Gr	ants received f	rom Gover	nment and non-	governmental as	gencies for rese	arch projects	, endowments	, Chairs in	the institution d	during the last	t five years	(INR in Lakhs) (5)		
3 1 3 Pe	centage of de	nartments	having Research	projects funde	d by governme	nt and non go	overnment age	encies durin	g the last five y	vears (5)				
	the Project/		Name of the		Department (r of Award	Amount	<u> </u>	ation of the	Name	of the Funding	Type (Governme	ent/non-
	nents, Chairs		Investigator/		Principal	100	orrawara	Sanction			Agend	8	Government)	
			investigator		Investigator									
Key Inc	icator - 3.2 In	novation l	Ecosystem (10)											
3.2.2 Nu	mber of works	hops/semi	nars conducted of	on Research Me	thodology, Inte	lectual Prop	erty Rights (II	PR) and ent	repreneurship d	during the last	t five years	(5)		
Year	Name of the	workshop	/ seminar/conf	erence	Number	of Participa	nts		Date From -	То	Link t	o the Activity repo	rt on the website	
Voy In	liantam 22D	ogoowah D	ublications and	Awarda (25)										
			ublications and	•	G-1 UCC	1	41 14 C	(10)						
Title of			per teachers in the of the	Department of		me of	Year of pu		ISSN numl	ber	Link to t	he recognition in UC	GC enlisted Journal /	Digital Object
		autho	or/s	teacher	jou	rnal	_				Identifie	er (doi) Number		
2221	l £ l l		: 4:4-41	/11111	1:-111		1 :		1	1:		uring last five years	(10)	
3.3.3 N	mber of books	and chapt	ers in edited voi	umes/books put	nisnea ana papo	ers published	a in national/ i	nternationa	i conterence pr	oceedings per	r teacher d	uring last live years	(10)	
Sl. No.	Name of	the	Title of the	Title of	f the Title	of the	Name of	the N	National /	Year o	f	ISBN/ISSN	Affiliating	Name of the
51. 140.	teacher	шс	book/chapter		proce	edings of	conferen		nternational	publica		number of the	Institute at the	publisher
			published		the c	onference						proceeding	time of	
l													publication	

3.4.2 Numb	per of awards and	recognitions received for extension	n activities	from government /go	overnment re	cognised bo	lies during the last	five years (10)			
Name of th		Name of the Award/ recognition or Institution	Name of t	the Awarding gover	nment/ gov	ernment rec	ognised bodies		Year of award		
etc. and/or	those organised is	nd outreached Programmes condu n collaboration with industry, com students participating in extension	munity and	NGOs during the las	st five years	(15) &	RC etc., (including	g the programmes such as	Swachh Bharat, AIDS awareness, Gender issues		
Name of the activity		Organising unit/ agency/ collaborating agency		Name of the scheme Yea		Year of the activity		Number of students par	ticipated in such activities		
									_		
Key Indica	tor - 3.5 Collabo	ration (20)									
3.5.1Numb	er of Collaborativ	e activities for research, Faculty e	exchange, St	tudent exchange/ inte	ernship per y	ear (10)					
Sl. No. Title of the collaborating agency with contact details participant		Year of collaborat	ion	Duration	Nature of the activity	Link to the relevant document					

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

Key Indicator - 3.4 Extension Activities (50)

<u>Manual for Affiliated/Constituent UG & PG Colleges</u>

3.5.2 Number of functional MoUs with institutions, other universities, industries, corporate houses etc. during the last five years (10)

Name of the institution/ in MoU is signed	dustry/ corporate house with whom	Year of signing MoU	Duration		List the actual activities under each MOU and web-links year-wise				rs participated under MoUs	
riterion IV – Infrastru	ture and Learning Resources (100	0)								
ey Indicator - 4.1 Physi	cal Facilities (30)									
1.3 Percentage of classro	oms and seminar halls with ICT - en	nabled facilities such as sn	nart class, LMS, etc	(10)						
oom number or Name	of classrooms/Seminar Hall with l	LCD / wifi/LAN facilities			Т	ype of ICT	C facility	Link to ge time table	o tagged photos and master	
(Data for the latest comp	leted academic year)									
	of expenditure, excluding salary for of expenditure incurred on maintenance.	-				mponent d	uring the last five	e years (INR	in lakhs) (10)	
Budget alloc augmentatio		Expenditure for infrastructure augmentation		otal expenditure xcluding Salary			maintenance of academic ing salary for human		Expenditure on maintenance of physical facilities (excluding sala for human resources)	
be discussed with IA and	FO and look at NIRF									
ey Indicator - 4.2 Libra	ry as a Learning Resource (20)									
	abscription for the following e-resoundhu, 3. Shodhganga membership,		Remote access to	e- resources						
-	nditure for purchase of books/ e-boo				s (INR in Lakhs)	(5)				
				Year 1						
ibrary resources	If yes, details of Expenditure on subscriptions journals, e-books (INF							7	Link to the relevant documen	
	memberships/subscriptio	ns journals	, e-books (INR in	lakhs) other e-	esources (INR i	n lakhs)	Expenditure			

		1/10/1/////	jor rijjuuded, constituent	courses	
Journals					
e – journals					
e-books					
e-ShodhSindhu					
Shodhganga					
Databases					
Local and / or Remote access to e- resources (Specify)					
		Year 2			
Library resources	If yes, details of memberships/subscriptions	Expenditure on subscription to e- journals, e-books (INR in lakhs)	Expenditure on subscription to other e-resources (INR in lakhs)	Total Library Expenditure	Link to the relevant document
Books					
Journals					
e – journals					
e-books					
e-ShodhSindhu					
Shodhganga					
Databases					
Local and / or Remote access to e- resources (Specify)					
		Year 3			
Library resources	If yes, details of memberships/subscriptions	Expenditure on subscription to e- journals, e-books (INR in lakhs)	Expenditure on subscription to other e-resources (INR in lakhs)	Total Library Expenditure	Link to the relevant document
Books					
Journals					
e – journals					
e-books					
e-ShodhSindhu					
Shodhganga					

		Manuat	<u>jor Affilialea/Constitueni</u>	O & I O Coneges	
Databases					
Local and / or Remote access to e- resources (Specify)					
		Year 4		•	
Library resources	If yes, details of memberships/subscriptions	Expenditure on subscription to e- journals, e-books (INR in lakhs)	Expenditure on subscription to other e-resources (INR in lakhs)	Total Library Expenditure	Link to the relevant document
Books					
Journals					
e – journals					
e-books					
e-ShodhSindhu					
Shodhganga					
Databases					
Local and / or Remote access to e- resources (Specify)					
	<u> </u>	Year 5	l	<u>l</u>	
Library resources	If yes, details of memberships/subscriptions	Expenditure on subscription to e- journals, e-books (INR in lakhs)	Expenditure on subscription to other e-resources (INR in lakhs)	Total Library Expenditure	Link to the relevant document
Books					
Journals					
e – journals					
e-books					
e-ShodhSindhu					
Shodhganga					
Databases					
Local and / or Remote access to e- resources (Specify)					

Criterion	V - Student Support and Pro	ogression (130)					
Key Indic	cator - 5.1 Student Support (5	50)					
5.1.1 Ave	rage percentage of students be	nefited by scholarships and freeships provided b	y the Government during th	ne last five years (20)			
5.1.2 Ave	rage percentage of students be	enefited by scholarships, freeships, etc. provided	by the institution besides g	overnment schemes durir	ng the last five years (05)		
Year	Name of the scheme	Number of students benefited by amount			ents benefited by the institution	n's Link to relevant docu	ment
		Number of students	Amount	Number of stude	ents Amount		
1. Soft ski		cement initiatives taken by the institution include cation skills, 3. Life skills (Yoga, physical fitnes Date of implementation (DD-MM-YYYY)	s, health and hygiene), 4. Io	CT/computing skills ber of students enrolled	Name of the ag	encies/consultants involved wi	th contact details
	rage percentage of students ber	nefitted by guidance for competitive examination	ns and career counseling of	ered by the institution du			
		1	C	•			
Year	Year Name of the Activity conducted by the HEI to offer guidance for competitive examinations offered by the institution during the last five years			nducted by the HEI to one institution during the	offer guidance for career last five years	Number of students placed through campus placement	Link to the relevant document
	Name of the Activity Number of students attended / participated		Details of career counse	ling Number particip	r of students attended / ated		

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

Key Indicator - 5.2 Student Progression (25)

Manual for Affiliated/Constituent U	UG &	PG	Colleges
-------------------------------------	------	----	----------

5.2.1 Ave	rage percentage of pl	acement of outgo	ing students	during the	last five yea	rs (10)							
Year	Name of s	tudent placed a	nd contact	details		Program graduated from Name				e of the em	ployer with	contact details	Pay package at appointment
5.2.2 Ave	rage percentage of st	udents progressir	g to higher	education	during the la	st five yea	rs (10)						
Name of student enrolling into higher education		Program	gram graduated from			Name of institution joined					me of programme admitted	to	
5.2.3 Ave etc.) (05)	rage percentage of st	udents qualifying	in state/nati	ional/ intern	ational leve	l examinat	ions during	the last fi	ve years (eg	: JAM/GAT	E/ CLAT/GI	MAT/CAT/GRE/ TOEFL/ Ci	vil Services/State government examinations,
Year													
		NET	SLET	GATE	GMAT	CAT	GRE	JAM	IELTS	TOEFL	Civil Services	State government examinations	Other examinations conducted by the State / Central Government Agencies (Specify)
Instruction	n: Please do not incl	ude individual u	niversity's	entrance ex	amination.								
Key Indi	cator - 5.3 Student I	Participation and	Activities	(45)									
5.3.1 Nun	nber of awards/medal	ls for outstanding	performanc	e in sports/	cultural activ	vities at un	iversity/sta	te/nationa	l / internatio	nal level (aw	ard for a tea	m event should be counted as	s one) during the last five years.(20)
Year	Name of the	Name of the award/ medal		Team / Individual			University/State/National/ International				ıl	Sports/ Cultural	Name of the student

5.3.3 Avera	ge number of sports and cul	tural activ	rities/events in which stude	nts of the Institution partic	cipated during last fi	ve years (organised by th	e institution/other institut	ions) (20)	
Date of eve	nt/activity (DD-MM-YYY	Y)		Name of the event/acti	ivity	Name of the student p	participated		
Note: Classi	ly the data and provide ye	ar wise							
Criterion V	I – Governance, Leadersh	nip and M	lanagement (100)						
Key Indica	tor - 6.2 Strategy Develop	ment and	Deployment (10)						
	mentation of e-governance i tration, 2. Finance and Acco		tudent Admission and Sup						
Areas of e	governance		Name of the Vendor wi	th contact details			Year of implementation	n	
Administra	ion								
Finance and	Accounts								
Student Ad	mission and Support								
Examinatio	n								
Key Indica	tor - 6.3 Faculty Empower	ment Str	ategies (30)						
6.3.2 Avera	ge percentage of teachers pr	ovided w	th financial support to atte	nd conferences/workshop	and towards member	ership fee of professional	bodies during the last fiv	re years (10)	
Year Name of teacher Name of conference/ workshop attended for which financial support provided				attended for which	Name of the professional body for which membership fee is provided			Amount of support received (in INR)	
6.3.3 Avera	ge number of professional d	levelopme	nt /administrative training	programs organized by th	e institution for teac	hing and non teaching sta	aff during the last five year	ars (5)	
Dates (from	1-to) (DD-MM-YYYY)		Title of the professional teaching staff	development program o	organised for	Title of the administrorganised for non-tea	ative training program ching staff	No. of participants	

Manual for Affiliated/Constituent UG & PG Colleges Note: Classify the data and provide year wise 6.3.4 Average percentage of teachers undergoing online/ face-to-face Faculty Development Programmes (FDP) during the last five years (Professional Development Programmes, Orientation / Induction Programmes, Refresher Course, Short Term Course etc.) (5) Name of teacher who attended Title of the program Duration (from – to) (DD-MM-YYYY) Note: Classify the data and provide year wise **Key Indicator - 6.4 Financial Management and Resource Mobilization (20)** 6.4.2 Funds / Grants received from non-government bodies, individuals, philanthropers during the last five years (not covered in Criterion III) (8) Year Name of the non government funding agencies/ **Purpose of the Grant** Funds/ Grants received (INR in lakhs) Link to Audited Statement of Accounts reflecting the individuals receipts Key Indicator - 6.5 Internal Quality Assurance System (30) 6.5.3 Quality assurance initiatives of the institution include: (10) 1. Regular meeting of Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC); Feedback collected, analysed and used for improvements 2. Collaborative quality initiatives with other institution(s) 3. Participation in NIRF 4..any other quality audit recognized by state, national or international agencies (ISO Certification, NBA Conferences, Seminars, **Academic Administrative** Participation ISO NBA or any other Collaborative quality initiatives Year Orientation programme on quality issues Workshops on quality Audit (AAA) and in NIRF Certification. certification received with other institution(s) for teachers and students organised by the conducted initiation of follow up along with and nature and with program (Provide name of the institution institution, Date (From-To) (DD-MMaction Status. validity period specifications. and activity YYYY)

Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

Declaration by the Head of the Institution

I certify that the data included in this Self-Study Report (SSR) are true to the best of my knowledge.	
This SSR is prepared by the institution after internal discussions, and no part thereof have outcomed	.as

I am aware that the Peer Team will validate the information provided in this SSR during the peer team visit.

Signature of the Head of the institution
with seal:

Place: Date:

Section C: Appendices

- 1. Appendix 1: Glossary & Notes
- 2. Appendix 2: Abbreviations
- 3. Appendix 3: Guidelines for Institutions to opt out 'Non Applicable Metrics'
- 4. Appendix 4 : Optional Metrics for 'Affiliated/Constituent Colleges'

Appendix 1: Glossary & Notes

GLOSSARY

Advanced

Learners

Academic Audit	:	An	exercise	whicl	n serves to j	orov	vide assura	nce that the	dele	egated 1	responsibilities
		for	quality	and	standards	of	academic	provision	are	being	appropriately
		disc	charged.								

Academic : The schedule of the institution for the academic year, giving details of all Calendar academic and administrative events.

Academic : Choice offered to the students in the curriculum offering and the curriculum Flexibility

Accreditation : Certification of quality that is valid for a fixed period, which in the case of NAAC is five years

: Students who perform very much better than the class averages

Assessment : Performance evaluation of an institution or its units based on certain established

criteria Assessors : Trained academics or experts who represent NAAC on peer teams.

Attainment of : COs are to be attained by all students at the end of a formal course. While the Course method of computation of attainment of COs is not unique, each institution has **Outcomes** to follow a well-defined direct method of computing CO attainment based on the (COs) student performance in all assessment instruments, and indirect method of computing COs through course exit survey of students

Benchmarks : An example of good performance that serves as a standard for comparison of one's own performance. It is a technique in which an institution measures its performance against that of the best of others.

> : is a statistical analysis of written publications, such as books or articles : A mixing of different learning environments such as traditional face-to-face classroom methods with modern computer-mediated activities.

: A teaching module which helps to close the gap between two levels of competence.

: A term used to describe fuels that neither contribute to nor reduce the amount of carbon (measured in the release of carbon dioxide) into the atmosphere.

: The strategies adopted by institution to fulfill the needs of a heterogeneous group of students.

: A mode of learning in higher education which facilitates a student to have some freedom in selecting his/her own choices, across various disciplines for completing a UG / PG program. All UG and PG programs, as per UGC, have to implement CBCS

: The number of times a research papers is referred to by other researchers in refereed journals, and is a measure of validity of its contents. : Activities, which support the curriculum such as field trips, display of academic

achievements, quiz, debate, discussion, seminars, role-play, etc : Formal agreement/ understanding between any two or more institutions for training, research, student/ faculty exchange or extension support.

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

: Career Education Centre

164

(CBCS)

Graduate)

Bibliometrics

Bridge Course

Carbon Neutral

Catering to

Student

Diversity CEC (Under

Blended

Learning

Choice Based Credit System

Citation Index

Co-Curricular **Activities**

Collaboration

Completion Rates(course/)

: The ratio of the total number of learners successfully completing a course/graduating from a programme in a given year to the total number of learners who initially enrolled on the course/programme.

Constituencies

: All the academic, administrative and support units of the institution.

Counseling

: Assisting and mentoring students individually or collectively for academic, career, personal and financial decision-making.

Course

: A course is a unit of 2 to 6 credits in a formal program. A 3-credit course will have three classroom sessions of one-hour duration during each week for the entire semester. Example: Program: BA Economics; Course: Kerala Economy; Credits: 3:0:1

Course Outcomes (COs) : COs are statements that describe what students should be able to do at the end of a course. They can be 6±2 for courses with 2 to 4 credits, and 8±2 for courses with 5 to 6 credits. (examples are given in the "Notes")

Course Outlines : List of the course modules, similar to a table of contents in a book or the outline used for writing papers. The outline defines the scope and content of the course.

Course Schedule

: Details of classes being offered, its time, location, faculty, and its unique number which students must know in order to register. The course schedule is published prior to the commencement of registration for each semester / session.

Credit

: A credit system is a systematic way of describing an educational programme by attaching credits to its components. University Grants Commission defines one credit as

1 Theory period of one hour per week over a semester 1 Tutorial period of one hour per week over a semester 1 Practical period of two hour per week over a semester

Criteria

: Pre-determined standards of functioning of an institution of higher education that form the basis of assessment and accreditation as identified / defined by NAAC.

Cross Cutting Issues

: Cross cutting issues refer to the abilities of students to have sufficient disciplinary knowledge, to engage in public discussions on related issues; are careful consumers of scientific and technological information related to their everyday lives; are able to continue to learn outside school; and have the skills to enter careers of their choice.

Curriculum Design and Development

Process of defining the contents of units of study and usually obtained through needs assessment, feedback from stakeholders and expert groups. Curriculum design and curriculum development are procedures which are closely linked to the description of learning outcomes.

Cycles of Accreditation

: An institution undergoing the accreditation process by NAAC for the first time is said to be in Cycle 1 and the consecutive five year periods as Cycle 2, 3 and so on.

Dare Database
- International
Social Sciences
Directory
Demand Ratio

: Provides access to world wide information on social science, peace, and human rights research and training institutes, social science specialists, and social science periodicals.

: The ratio of the number of seats available in a program/institute to the number of valid applications

Dual degree

: Pursuing two different university degrees in parallel, either at the same institution or at different institutions (sometimes in different countries), completing them in less time than it would take to earn them separately.

EBSCO host

: Is an online reference resource with designed to cater to user needs and preferences at every level of research, with over 350 full text and secondary databases available.

Eco system for Innovations

: Eco system for innovation comprises of material resources (funds, equipment, facilities, etc.) and the human resources (students, faculty, staff, industry representatives, etc.) and linkages among them that make up the institutional entities to promote the development of products and systems that are likely to have significant economic value.

E-learning Resources

: Learning resources available on Internet

e-PG Pathshala: High quality, curriculum-based, interactive content in different subjects across all disciplines of social sciences, arts, fine arts & humanities, natural & mathematical sciences, linguistics and languages developed under the initiative of MHRD, under its National Mission on Education through ICT (NMEICT) Mission. http://epgp.inflibnet.ac.in/

e-Shodhganga

Shodhganga@INFLIBNET provides a platform for research students to deposit their Ph.D. theses and make it available to the entire scholarly community in open access.

ShodhSindhu

: e-Shodh Sindhu (https://www.inflibnet.ac.in/ess) provides current as well as archival access to more than 15,000 core and peer-reviewed journals and a number of bibliographic, citation and factual databases in different disciplines from a large number of publishers and aggregators to its member institutions including centrally-funded technical institutions.

Elective Courses : A choice available to students to select from among a large number of subjects.

Emerging Areas

: New areas of study and research deemed important to pursue. These areas may have been identified by national agencies or international bodies.

Enrichment Courses

: Value added courses offered by institution for student empowerment. They enhance the curriculum by amplifying, supplementing and replacing such parts or features as have become ineffective or obsolete.

Evaluation Process and Reforms Examination Management System

: Assessment of learning, teaching and evaluation process and reforms to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the system.

: Examination management system is a well-defined document or a software application for the planning, administration, documentation, tracking, evaluation of students responses, and announcement of grades/marks obtained by students in all formal learning activities in an educational program

Experiential Learning

: Is a process of learning through experience and is more specifically defined as "learning through reflection on doing".

Extension Activities

: The aspect of education, which emphasizes neighbourhood services. These are often integrated with curricula as extended opportunities intended to help, serve reflect and learn. The curriculum- extension interface has educational values, especially in rural India.

Faculty Development **Program Feedback**

: Programs aimed at updating the knowledge and pedagogical skills of faculty.

: Formative and evaluative comments given by tutors on the performance of individual learners.

Evaluative comments made by stakeholders to the institution on the quality and effectiveness of a defined process.

Response from students, academic peers and employers for review and design of curriculum.

Field Project

: Formal projects students need to undertake that involve conducting surveys outside the college/university premises and collection of data from designated communities or natural places

Financial Management Flexibility

: Budgeting and optimum utilization of financial resources.

: A mechanism through which students have wider choices of Programmes to choose from, as well as, multiple entry and exit points for Programmes /courses.

Functional MoUs

: Memoranda of Understanding that are currently operational, signed by the Institute with national and international agencies

Full Time Teachers

: A teacher employed for at least 90 per cent of the normal or statutory number of hours of work for a full-time teacher over a complete academic year is classified as a full-time teacher.

Gender Audit

: A tool and a process based on a methodology to promote organizational learning at the individual, work unit and organizational levels on how to practically and effectively mainstream gender.

Graduate Attributes

: The disciplinary expertise or technical knowledge that has traditionally formed the core of most university courses. They are qualities that also prepare graduates as agents for social good in an unknown future.

Green Audit

: The process of assessing the environmental impact of an organization, process, project, product, etc

Grievance Redressal

: Mechanisms for receiving, processing and addressing dissatisfaction expressed, complaints and other formal requests made by learners, staff and other stakeholders on the institutional provisions promised and perceived.

H-index (Hirsch Index)

: An index that attempts to measure both the productivity and impact of the published work of a scientist or scholar. The index is based on the set of the scientist's most cited papers and the number of citations that they have received in other publications.

Human Resource Management

: The process of assessing the human power requirements, recruiting, monitoring the growth and appraising them periodically and plan the staff development programs for the professional development and provide the necessary incentives and feedback.

Humanities International Complete

: A comprehensive database covering journals, books and reference sources in the humanities. This database provides citation information for articles, essays and reviews, as well as original creative works including poems and fiction. Photographs, painting and illustrations are also referenced

ICT

: Information and Communication Technology Consists of the hardware, software, networks and media for the collection, storage, processing, transmission and presentation of information (voice, data, text, images) as well as related services.

Impact factor (IF)

: A measure of the citations to science and social sciences journals. The impact factor for a journal is calculated based on a three-year period and can be considered to be the average number of times published papers are cited up to 2 years after publication.

Inclusion, Inclusiveness : Inclusiveness in educational institutions refers to the educational experiences practiced with reference to gender, ethnicity, social class and differently abled.

INFLIBNET Database

: Information and Library Network Centre maintains a database on books, theses and serials

Infrastructure

: Physical facilities like building, play fields, hostels etc. which help run an institutional Programme.

Institutional Information for Quality Assessment (IIQA)

: IIQA is a requirement, which needs to be submitted online by all categories of HEIs

Institutional Distinctiveness : Institutional distinctiveness is characterized by its reason for coming to existence, vision, mission, nature of stakeholders, access to resources, cultural ambience and physical location

Institutional Social Responsibility (ISR) : Focuses on the institution's responsibilities to the public in terms of protection of public health, safety and the environment, the public ethical behaviour and the need to practice good citizenship.

Interdisciplinar y research : An integrative approach in which information from more than one discipline is used in interpreting the content of a subject, phenomenon, theory or principle.

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) : Forming Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) is to be established in every accredited institution as a post-accreditation quality sustenance measure. http://www.naac.gov.in/IQAC.asp

Internal Quality Assurance System (IQAS) Internship

- : Self regulated responsibilities of the higher education institutions aimed at continuous improvement of quality for achieving academic and administrative excellence.
- : A designated activity that carries some credits involving more than 25 days of working in an organization under the guidance of an identified mentor

ISO Certification : ISO 9001 certification enhances customer satisfaction by meeting customer requirements. The institution is able to provide right services. ISO certification enhances functional efficiency of an organization.

Leadership

: Term used for setting direction and create a student- focused, learning oriented climate, clear and visible values and high expectation by ensuring the creation of strategies, system and methods for achieving excellence, stimulating innovation and building knowledge and capabilities

Learning Management Systems : A learning management system (LMS) is a software application for the administration, documentation, tracking, reporting and delivery of educational courses or training Programmes. They help the instructor deliver material to the students, administer tests and other assignments, track student progress, and manage record-keeping. MOODLE is an example of open source LMS

Learning Outcomes : Specific intentions of a Programme or module, written in clear terms. They describe what a student should know, understand, or be able to do at the end of that Programme or module

Library as a Learning Resource : The library holdings in terms of titles of books, journals and other learning materials and technology aided learning mechanism, which enable the students to acquire information, knowledge and skills required for their study.

Levels of Outcomes

- ➤ **Programme Outcomes**: POs are statements that describe what the students graduating from any of the educational Programmes should be able to do.
 - ➤ **Programme Specific Outcomes**: PSOs are statements that describe what the graduates of a specific educational Programme should be able to do.
 - ➤ Course Outcomes: COs are statements that describe what students should be able to do at the end of a course

New Technologies NIRF

- : Digital tools and resources (hardware and software) and their application in the field of education.
- National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF), approved by the MHRD, outlines a methodology to rank institutions across the country. The parameters and sub-parameters associated with this mechanism are evolving from year to year. https://www.nirfindia.org/Docs/Ranking Methodology And Metrics 2017.pdf

N-LIST

: N-LIST stands for "National Library and Information services Infrastructure for Scholarly Content". http://nlist.inflibnet.ac.in/faq.php

OBE: Outcome Based Education

: OBE is an educational theory that bases each part of an educational system around goals (outcomes). Each student should have achieved the goal by the end of the educational experience

Open Educational Resources Optimum Utilization of Infrastructure

- : Educational materials and resources offered freely and openly for anyone to use and under some licenses to re-mix, improve and redistribute.
- : The infrastructure facilities are made available to the student for their maximum utilization. e.g. Extended hours for computer center and library, sharing of facilities for interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary Programmes.

Organogram

: Organogram is the word, a diagram that shows the structure of an organization and the relationships between the relative ranks of its part and position/ job. It is also known as Organisational Structure.

Outcome

: An outcome of an educational Programme is what the student should be able to do at the end of a Programme/ course/ instructional unit.

Outreach Activities

: Is the practice of conducting local public awareness activities through targeted community interaction

Participative Learning

: Participatory Learning and Action is a family of approaches, methods, attitudes, behaviours and relationships, which enable and empower people to share, analyze and enhance their knowledge of their life and conditions, and to plan, act, monitor, evaluate and reflect.

Participative Management

: Refers to an open form of management where employees are actively involved in the institution's decision making process.

Perspective Development

: Is a blue print regarding the objectives and targets of long term growth

Physical Facilities

: Infrastructure facilities of the institution to run the educational Programmes efficiently and the growth of the infrastructure to keep pace with the academic growth of the institution.

Policy for Promotion of Research

: Processes defined by the institution to facilitate the teachers to write research proposals, seek funding, conduct research, publish, and evaluate and reward the

research done.

Pre-qualifiers

: For the Assessment and Accreditation (A&A) in revised framework the NAAC has proposed a pre-qualifier test. It is a condition for peer team visit and will be based on Institutional system generated score (SGS) in all Q_nM after undergoing DVV process. As a Pre-qualifier, the institution should score at least 30% in Quantitative Metrics (Q_nM) as per the final score after the DVV Process. If the HEI does not clear the Pre-qualifier stage then they will have to apply afresh by submitting the IIQA and its fees.

Problem Based Learning (PBL)

: Is a student-centred pedagogy in which students learn about a subject through the experience of solving an open-ended problem found in trigger material. The PBL process does not focus on problem solving with a defined solution, but it allows for the development of other desirable skills and attributes. This includes knowledge acquisition, enhanced group collaboration and communication.

Programme

: A range of learning experiences offered to students in a formal manner over a period of one-to-four years leading to certificates/ diplomas/ degrees. Examples: BA (Economics) BSc (Physics). All possible formal degree Programmes are identified by UGC

Programme Options

: A range of courses offered to students to choose at various levels leading to degrees/ diplomas/ certificates.

Programme Outcomes

: Programme Outcomes (POs) are what knowledge, skills and attitudes a graduate should have at the time of graduation. While no agency has formally defined the POs of General Higher Education 3-year degree Programmes in India, POs of all professional Programmes in engineering and other areas are identified at national level by the concerned accrediting agency. POs are not specific to a discipline.

Promotion of Research and Research Support System Remedial

: The process of promoting research culture among faculty and students by facilitating faculty and student participation in research budget allocation, research fellowship and other faculties.

Courses Research : Courses offered to academically disadvantaged students in order to help them cope with academic requirements.

: Systematic intellectual investigations aimed at discovering, interpreting and revising human knowledge.

Research Grant

: Grant generated/ received from different agencies by the institution for conducting research projects.

Research Output : Quality research outcome beneficial for the discipline, society, industry and dissemination of knowledge including theoretical and practical findings.

Resource Mobilization

SCOPUS

: Generation of funds through internal and external sources such as donations, consultancy, self-financing courses and so on.

Seed money for

The world's largest abstract and citation database of peer-reviewed literature and quality web sources.Funds provided to a teacher or a group of teachers by the institution to get the research

Research Situatedness

initiated to facilitate the preparation of formal research proposal for funding.

: Situatedness refers to involvement within a context. It also refers to placement of

SJR (SCImago Journal Rank) learning experiences in authentic contexts or settings
This takes three years of publication data into account to assign relative scores to all the sources (journal articles, conference proceedings, review articles, etc.) in a

citation network (Journals in SCOPUS database).

Slow Learners

: Students who perform very much below the class averages

SNIP (Source Normalized Impact per Person)

: Is the ratio of the source's average citation count per paper in a three year citation window over the "citation potential" of its subject field?

Person) Stakeholder Relationship

: Affiliation and interaction with groups or individuals who have an interest in the actions of the institutions and the ability to influence its actions, decisions, policies, practices or goals of the organization.

Strategic Plan

: A specific, action-oriented medium or long-term plan for making progress towards a set of institutional goals.

Strategy Development

: Formulation of objectives, directives and guidelines with specific plans for institutional development.

Student Centric Methods

: Methods of instruction that focus on products of learning by the students

Student Profile

The student community of the institution, their strength and the diversity in terms of economic and social strata, location and other demographic aspects such as gender, age, religion, caste, rural/ urban.

Student Progression

: Vertical movement of students from one level of education to the next higher level successfully or towards gainful employment.

Student Support

: Facilitating mechanism for access to information fee structure and refund policies and also guidance and placement cell with student welfare measures to give necessary learning support to the students.

SWAYAM

: SWAYAM is a Programme initiated by Government of India and designed to achieve the three cardinal principles of Education Policy viz., access, equity and quality. https://swayam.gov.in/

Teacher Quality

: A composite term to indicate the qualification of the faculty, the adequacy meant for recruitment procedures, professional development, recognition and teachers characteristics.

Twinning Programmes

: An arrangement between two institutions where a provider in source country A collaborates with a provider in Country B to allow students to take course credits in Country B and/or in source Country A. Only one qualification is awarded by the provider in source Country A. Arrangements for twinning Programmes and awarding of degrees usually comply with national regulations of the provider in source Country A.

Value Added Courses

: Courses of varying durations which are optional, and offered outside the curriculum that add value and helping them students in getting placed.

NOTES

It is considered necessary to provide some exemplars for the different levels of learning outcomes at higher education level. While no agency has defined the POs of General Higher Education three year programme in India, POs of all professional Programes in engineering and other areas are identified at the national level by the concerned accrediting agency. Given below is set of POs of an engineering Programme identified by National Board of Accreditation (NBA). In respect of PSOs and COs, examples from science and social science disciplines are given. These are not comprehensive or exhaustive. But, they point out the manner in which these outcomes can be stated for any educational Programme/course. In case the HEI has these already stated, they may be submitted; however, if at any of these three levels outcomes are not listed, they may be developed and uploaded in Institutional website.

Sample for

Credits

1 Theory period of one hour per week over a semester

1 Tutorial period of one hour per week over a semester

1 Practical period of two hour per week over a semester

ISO Certification

ISO 9001:2015 implementations help to manage the resources effectively, as you will be able to utilise all your resources to its maximum extent. Once the institution obtains ISO 9001 certificate it creates path to improve the processes continually.

Programme Outcomes

For Every degree Programme broad expectations should be listed by the University. Examples are given below from NBA for an Engineering Degree Programme.

- PO1. **Engineering knowledge**: Apply the knowledge of mathematics, science, engineering fundamentals, and an engineering specialization to the solution of complex engineering problems.
- PO2. **Problem analysis**: Identify, formulate, research literature, and analyze complex engineering problems reaching substantiated conclusions using first principles of mathematics, natural sciences, and engineering sciences.
- PO3. **Design/development of solutions**: Design solutions for complex engineering problems and design system components or processes that meet the specified needs with appropriate consideration for the public health and safety, and the cultural, societal, and environmental considerations.

- PO4. Conduct investigations of complex problems: Use research-based knowledge and research methods including design of experiments, analysis and interpretation of data, and synthesis of the information to provide valid conclusions.
- Pos. **Modern tool usage**: Create, select, and apply appropriate techniques, resources, and modern engineering and IT tools including prediction and modeling to complex engineering activities with an understanding of the limitations.
- Po6. **The engineer and society**: Apply reasoning informed by the contextual knowledge to assess societal, health, safety, legal and cultural issues and the consequent responsibilities relevant to the professional engineering practice.
- PO7. **Environment and sustainability**: Understand the impact of the professional engineering solutions in societal and environmental contexts, and demonstrate the knowledge of, and need for sustainable development.
- PO8. **Ethics**: Apply ethical principles and commit to professional ethics and responsibilities and norms of the engineering practice.
- PO9. **Individual and team work**: Function effectively as an individual, and as a member or leader in diverse teams, and in multidisciplinary settings.
- PO10. **Communication**: Communicate effectively on complex engineering activities with the engineering community and with society at large, such as, being able to comprehend and write effective reports and design documentation, make effective presentations, and give and receive clear instructions.
- PO11. **Project management and finance**: Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the engineering and management principles and apply these to one's own work, as a member and leader in a team, to manage projects

and in multidisciplinary environments.

PO12. **Life-long learning:** Recognize the need for, and have the preparation and ability to engage in independent and lifelong learning in the broadest context of technological change.

POs of General Higher Education Programmes should be identified by the University/Autonomous College offering the three year Programmes

Sample POs of General Higher Education Programmes: Students of all undergraduate general degree Programmes at the time of graduation will be able to

- PO1. Critical Thinking: Take informed actions after identifying the assumptions that frame our thinking and actions, checking out the degree to which these assumptions are accurate and valid, and looking at our ideas and decisions (intellectual, organizational, and personal) from different perspectives.
- PO2.**Effective Communication**: Speak, read, write and listen clearly in person and through electronic media in English and in one Indian language, and make meaning of the world by connecting people, ideas, books, media and technology.
- PO3. **Social Interaction**: Elicit views of others, mediate disagreements and help reach conclusions in group settings.
- PO4. **Effective Citizenship**: Demonstrate empathetic social concern and equity centred national development, and the ability to act with an informed awareness of issues and participate in civic life through volunteering.
- PO5. **Ethics**: Recognize different value systems including your own, understand the moral dimensions of your decisions, and accept responsibility for them.

- PO6. **Environment and Sustainability**: Understand the issues of environmental contexts and sustainable development.
- PO7. **Self-directed and Life-long Learning**: Acquire the ability to engage in independent and life-long learning in the broadest context socio-technological changes

Programme Specific Outcomes

Sample PSOs of BSc Zoology

- PSO1. Understand the nature and basic concepts of cell biology, Biochemistry, Taxonomy and ecology.
- PSO2. Analyse the relationships among animals, plants and microbes
- PSO3. Perform procedures as per laboratory standards in the areas of Biochemistry, Bioinformatics, Taxonomy, Economic Zoology and Ecology
- PSO4. Understand the applications of biological sciences in Apiculture, Aquaculture, Agriculture and Medicine

Sample PSOs of BA Economics

- PSO1: Understand the behaviour of Indian and World economy,
- PSO2: Analyse macroeconomic policies including fiscal and monetary policies of India
- PSO3:Determine economic variables including inflation, unemployment, poverty, GDP, Balance of Payments using statistical methods
- PSO4: Understand the behaviour of financial and money markets and perform cost-benefit analysis for making investment decisions

Course Outcomes

- **Sample COs** of the course "Animal Diversity Non Chordata"
- CO1 Describe general taxonomic rules on animal classification
- CO2 Classify Protista up to phylum using examples from parasitic adaptation
- CO3 Classify Phylum Porifera with taxonomic keys
- CO4 Describe the phylum Coelenterata and its polymorphism
- CO5 Write down the life history of Fasciola and its

- classification
- CO6 Describe Phylum Nematoda and give examples of pathogenic Nematodes
- CO7 Identify the characters of Phylum Annelida with its classification
- CO8 Write down the classification and characteristics of Phylum Arthropoda
- CO9 Identify the given Mollusca with respect to economic importance
- CO10 Write down the classification and characteristics of Phylum Echinodermata, Phylum Hemichordata and minor phylas

Appendix 2: Abbreviations

A&A (A/A) - Assessment and Accreditation

AC - Academic Council

ACM - Associates of Computing Machinery

AMC - Annual Maintenance Contract AVRC - Audio-Visual Research Centre

AICTE - All India Council for Technical Education

AQAR - Annual Quality Assurance Report

BoS - Board of Studies

BCUD - Board of College and University Development

CAL - Computer Aided Learning
 CAS - Center for Advanced Studies
 CAT - Common Aptitude Test
 CBCS - Choice Based Credit System

CD - Compact Diskette

CDC - College Development Council

CEC - Consortium for Educational Communication

CGPA - Cumulative Grade Point Average

Cr - Criteria

Cr-GPA(s) - Criterion-wise Grade Point Average(s)

COHSSIP - Committee for Humanities and Social Science Improvement Programme

COSIP - Committee for Science Improvement Programme

COSIST - Committee for Strengthening of Infrastructure Improvement Programme

in Science and Technology

CSA - Centre for Social Action

CSIR - Council of Scientific and Industrial Research

CPE - Colleges with Potential for Excellence

DELNET - Developing Library Network
DEP - Distance Education Programmes

DRS - Departmental Research Support of UGC
DSA - Departmental Special Assistance of UGC
DST - Department of Science and Technology
EMRC - Educational Multimedia Research Centre

FIST - Fund for the Improvement of Science and Technology Infrastructure

GATE - Graduate Aptitude Test in Engineering
GATS - General Agreement on Trade in Services
GMAT - Graduate Management Admission Test

GRE - Graduate Record Examination
IAS - Indian Administrative Services

ICHR - Indian Council of Historical Research
 ICPR - Indian Council of Philosophical Research
 ICSSR - Indian Council of Social Science Research
 ICT - Information and Communication Technology
 IEEE - Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers
 IIQA - Institutional Information for Quality Assessment

NAAC for Quality and Excellence in Higher Education

Copyright Reg. No: L-94712/2020

IQAC
 IQAS
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell
 Internal Quality Assurance System
 Information and Library Network

INQAAHE - International Network for Quality Assurance Agencies in Higher

Education

INSA - Indian National Science Academy
 IPR - Intellectual Property Rights

ISR - Institutional Social Responsibility

IUC - Inter University Centre

KI - Key Indicator

KI-GP(s) - Key Indicator-wise Grade Point(s)

MHRD - Ministry of Human Resource and Development

MoC - Memorandum of Contract

MoU - Memorandum of Understanding
 MIR - Minimum Institutional Requirements
 MIS - Management Information System

NCTE - National Council for Teacher Education

NET - National Eligibility Test

NGO - Non Governmental Organization

NME-ICT - National Mission on Education through Information and Technology

NPE - National Policy Education

NPTEL - National Programmed Teaching Enhanced Learning

OMR - Optical Mark Recognition

OPAC - Online Public Access Catalogue

PTR - Peer Team Report

QAA - Quality Assurance Agency
SAP - Special Assistance Programme
SET/SLET - State Level Eligibility Test
SJR - SCImago Journal Rank

SLQACC - State Level Quality Assurance Co-ordination Committee

SNIP - Source Normalized Impact per Paper

SSR - Self-Study Report

SWOC - Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Challenges

TEI - Teacher Education Institution

TOEFL - Test of English as a Foreign Language

UGC - University Grants Commission

UNESCO - United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

UNO - United Nation Organization

UNICEF - United Nations Children Educational Foundation

UNDP - United Nation Development ProgrammeUSIC - University Science Instrumentation Centre

Wi-Fi - Wireless Fidelity
YRC - Youth Red Cross



Appendix 3

NATIONAL ASSESSMENT AND ACCREDITATION COUNCIL, BENGALURU Revised Accreditation Framework Guidelines for Institutions to opt out 'Non Applicable Metrics'

NAAC is continuously considering feedback from stakeholders about Revised Accreditation Framework (RAF). While inclusion of a variety of metrics in Quality Indicator Framework (QIF), it is necessary to keep in mind the broad canvas of best practices in maintaining quality, making all of them mandatory to the institutions is not a feasible approach.

The competent authority of NAAC on the basis of recommendations of Core Working Group (CWG) in consultation with the statistical experts has approved the provision to institutes to opt out some of the metrics which may not be applicable to them for various reasons.

Following are the rules for non applicable metrics:

- a) Maximum weightage of metrics that can be opted out shouldn't exceed 30 weightage (up to 3%).
- b) Metrics with maximum of total 10 weightage per criteria can be opted out.
- c) Criteria 1, 2 and 7 are essential. No metrics can be opted out.
- d) Metrics identified as optional can only be opted out (list of optional metrics are enclosed).
- e) Qualitative metrics cannot be opted out.

The optional metrics across 4 criteria have been identified for Affiliated/constituent colleges (Appendix 4) which can only be opted out. The calculation of Cumulative Grade Point Average (CGPA) of Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) will be done excluding the 3% metrics as opted out by the HEIs. This decision is aimed at helping HEIs as they will not be assessed on metrics not applicable to them.

 ${\bf Appendix\ 4: Optional\ Metrics\ for\ `Affiliated/Constituent\ Colleges'-\ \ PG}$

Sl. No.	Metric No.	Metric
1.	3.1.2	Percentage of teachers recognized as research guides (latest
	Q _n M	completed academic year) (5)
2.	3.2.2	Number of workshops/seminars conducted on Research Methodology, Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) and
	Q _n M	entrepreneurship during the last five years (5)
3.	3.3.1	Number of Ph.D.s registered per eligible teacher during the last five
	Q _n M	years (5)
4.	3.4.2	Number of awards and recognitions received for extension activities
	Q_nM	from government/government recognised bodies during the last five years (10)
5.	3.5.2	Number of functional MoUs with national and international
	Q _n M	institutions, universities, industries, corporate houses etc. during the last five years (10)
6.	4.1.4	Average percentage of expenditure, excluding salary for
	Q _n M	infrastructure augmentation during last five years (INR in Lakhs) (10)
7.	4.4.1	Average percentage of expenditure incurred on maintenance of
	Q_nM	infrastructure (physical and academic support facilities) excluding salary component during the last five years (INR in lakhs) (10)
8.	5.1.2	Average percentage of students benefitted by scholarships, freeships, etc. provided by the institution and non-government during the last five years (5)
	Q_nM	
9.	5.2.1	Average percentage of placement of outgoing students during the
	Q _n M	last five years (10)
10.	5.2.2	Average percentage of students progressing to higher education during the last five years (10)
	Q _n M	
11.	5.2.3	Average percentage of students qualifying in state/national/ international level examinations during the last five years (eg: JAM/NET/SLET/GATE/ GMAT/CAT/GRE/ TOEFL/ Civil
	$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{M}$	Services/State government examinations, etc.) (5)

10		
12.	5.4.2	Alumni contribution during the last five years (INR in lakhs)
	Q_nM	Options:
		$A. \geq 5 Lakhs$
		B. 4 Lakhs - 5 Lakhs
		C. 3 Lakhs - 4 Lakhs Opt One
		D. 1 Lakhs - 3 Lakhs
		E. <1 Lakhs
		(5)
13.	6.2.3	Implementation of e-governance in areas of operation
		1. Administration
		2. Finance and Accounts
	Q_nM	3. Student Admission and Support
	Q _n .v.	4. Examination
		Options:
		A. All of the above
		B. Any 3 of the above
		C. Any 2 of the above
		D. Any 1 of the above
		E. None of the above
		(4)
14.	6.3.3	Average number of professional development /administrative
		training programs organized by the institution for teaching and non teaching staff during the last five years (5)
	$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{M}$	teaching staff auring the tast five years (5)
15.	6.4.2	Funds / Grants received from non-government bodies, individuals,
		philanthropers during the last five years (not covered in Criterion III) (8)
	$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{M}$	<i>III</i>) (8)

Optional Metrics for 'Affiliated/Constituent Colleges' - $\,UG\,$

Sl. No.	Metric No.	Metric
16.	3.1.2	Percentage of departments having Research projects funded by government and non government agencies during the last five years
	Q_nM	(5)
17.	3.1.3	Number of Seminars/conferences/workshops conducted by the institution during the last five years (5)
	Q _n M	institution during the tast five years (3)
18.	3.3.2	Number of awards and recognitions received for extension activities from government / government recognised bodies during the last five years (10)
	$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{M}$	
19.	3.4.2	Number of functional MoUs with national and international institutions, universities, industries, corporate houses etc. during the last five years (10)
	Q _n M	
20.	4.1.4	Average percentage of expenditure, excluding salary for infrastructure augmentation during last five years (INR in Lakhs) (10)
	Q_nM	
21.	4.4.1	Average percentage of expenditure incurred on maintenance of infrastructure (physical and academic support facilities) excluding salary component during the last five years (INR in lakhs) (10)
	Q _n M	
22.	5.1.2 Q _n M	Average percentage of students benefitted by scholarships, freeships, etc. provided by the institution besides government during the last five years (05)
		Average percentage of students benefitted by scholarships, freeships etc. provided by the institution / non- government agencies during the last five years
23.	5.2.1	Average percentage of placement of outgoing students during the last five years
	Q _n M	(10)
24.	5.2.2	Average percentage of students progressing to higher education during the last five years (15)
	$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{M}$	

25.	5.2.3 Q _n M	Average percentage of students qualifying in state/national/ international level examinations during the last five years (eg: JAM/GATE/ CLAT/GMAT/CAT/GRE/ TOEFL/ Civil Services/State government examinations, etc.) (05)
26.	5.4.2	Alumni contribution during the last five years (INR in lakhs)
		Options:
	$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{M}$	$A. \geq 5 Lakhs$
		B. 4 Lakhs – 5 Lakhs
		B. 3 Lakhs - 4 Lakhs Opt One
		C. 1 Lakhs - 3 Lakhs
		E. <1 Lakhs
		(5)
27.	6.2.3	Implementation of e-governance in areas of operation
		1. Administration
		2. Finance and Accounts
		3. Student Admission and Support
	$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{M}$	4. Examination
		Options:
		A. All of the above
		B. Any 3 of the above
		C. Any 2 of the above
		D. Any 1 of the above
		E. None of the above
		(4)
28.	6.3.3	Average number of professional development /administrative training programs organized by the institution for teaching and non
	Q_nM	teaching staff during the last five years (5)
29.	6.4.2	Funds / Grants received from non-government bodies, individuals,
	$\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{M}$	philanthropers during the last five years (not covered in Criterion III) (8)

For Communication with NAAC

The Director

National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC)

(An Autonomous Institution of the University Grants Commission)

P.O. Box No. 1075, Nagarbhavi, Bengaluru- 560 072

Phone: + 91-08-2321 0261/62/63/64/65

Fax: + 91-08-2321 0268, 2321 0270

Email: director.naac@gmail.com

Website: www.naac.gov.in

